



# Selected longitudinal results for OnLAC years 10 & 11 for a sample of 2875 young people in care aged 10-16 in year 10

Bob Flynn & Meagan Miller

Centre for Research on Educational and  
Community Services

University of Ottawa




# Mean age of OnLAC sample of 2875 young people in care aged 10-16 in Year 10 (2010-2011) & 11-17 in Year 11 (2011-2012)




$N = 2875$

- Male  $n = 1660$  (58%)
- Female  $n = 1215$  (42%)



# Placement settings for young people in care, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




# Health status of young people in care (youth-reported), OnLAC Years 10 & 11




■ Year 10 (n = 2726)

■ Year 11 (n = 2626)






# Young people in care who have had a dental exam within the last year (as reported by worker), OnLAC Years 10 & 11




# Young people in care who have had a medical exam within the last year (as reported by worker), OnLAC Years 10 & 11






# Types of school attended by young people in care (as reported by worker), OnLAC Years 10 & 11

■ Year 10 (n = 2848)      ■ Year 11 (n = 2832)



Mean placement satisfaction (as rated by young person in care), for male ( $n = 1387$ ) & female ( $n = 1021$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Decreased ( $p < .01$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .58$ )**

**M > F ( $p < .05$ )**



# Mean perceived quality of relationship with female caregiver (as rated by young person in care), for male ( $n = 683$ ) & female ( $n = 680$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



Note: The relationship with Caregiver 1 (if female) is being rated by the young person here.


**M: No change ( $p = .56$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .68$ )**

**M > F ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean perceived quality of relationship with male caregiver (as rated by young person in care), for male ( $n = 245$ ) & female ( $n = 41$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



Note: The relationship with Caregiver 1 (if male) is being rated by the young person here.


**M: No change ( $p = .69$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .91$ )**


**M & F: No diff ( $p = .13$ )**



# Mean parenting-dimension scores, as rated separately by caregivers and young people in care, OnLAC Year 11 only



Mean academic achievement (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1107$ ) & female ( $n = 794$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: No change ( $p = .83$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .63$ )**

**F > M ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean level of hope (as rated by the young person in care), for male ( $n = 1091$ ) & female ( $n = 827$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Increased ( $p < .05$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .90$ )**

**M & F: No diff ( $p = .06$ )**



Mean level of active coping (as rated by the young person in care), for male ( $n = 1095$ ) & female ( $n = 841$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Increased ( $p < .05$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .42$ )**

**M & F: No diff ( $p = .59$ )**



Mean positive mental health (as rated by the young person in care), for male ( $n = 1002$ ) & female ( $n = 727$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



**M: Increased ( $p < .001$ )**

**F: Decreased ( $p < .001$ )**


**M > F: ( $p = .01$ )**



uOttawa



# Mean SDQ Prosocial Behaviour (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1464$ ) & female ( $n = 1038$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Decreased ( $p < .05$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .24$ )**

**F > M ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean SDQ Emotional Symptoms (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1480$ ) & female ( $n = 1033$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



**M: No change ( $p = .37$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .12$ )**


**F > M ( $p < .001$ )**



uOttawa



# Mean SDQ Hyperactivity (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1495$ ) & female ( $n = 1047$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: No change ( $p = .07$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .12$ )**

**M > F ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean SDQ Conduct Problems (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1479$ ) & female ( $n = 1031$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



**M: No change ( $p = .68$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .37$ )**


**M > F ( $p < .01$ )**



uOttawa



# Mean SDQ Peer Relations Problems (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1480$ ) & female ( $n = 1037$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Decreased ( $p < .01$ )**  
**F: No change ( $p = .31$ )**

**M > F ( $p < .01$ )**



# Mean SDQ Total Difficulties (as rated by the caregiver), for male ( $n = 1391$ ) & female ( $n = 970$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: Decreased ( $p < .05$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .59$ )**

**M > F ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean External Developmental Assets (as rated by the worker), for male ( $n = 1437$ ) & female ( $n = 1037$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



**M: Decreased ( $p < .01$ )**

**F: Decreased ( $p < .01$ )**


**F > M ( $p < .05$ )**



uOttawa



# Mean Internal Developmental Assets (as rated by the worker), for male ( $n = 1437$ ) & female ( $n = 1037$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11




**M: No change ( $p = .95$ )**

**F: No change ( $p = .20$ )**

**F > M ( $p < .001$ )**



# Mean Total Developmental Assets (as rated by the worker), for male ( $n = 1437$ ) & female ( $n = 1037$ ) youths, OnLAC Years 10 & 11



**M: No change ( $p = .20$ )**

**F: Decreased ( $p < .05$ )**

**F > M ( $p < .001$ )**



# Acknowledgements



The collaboration and financial support of the Ontario Ministry of Children and Youth Services and the Ontario Association of Children's Aid Societies are gratefully acknowledged.

Thanks also to the numerous young people in care, caregivers, lead hands, practitioners, supervisors, quality-assurance staff, executive personnel, and OnLAC research assistants who have contributed much to the OnLAC project since the beginning.





Questions or comments?

Thank you!



uOttawa

