

**Title:** Association of physician sex and gender with patient outcomes in acute care: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

**Authors:**

Cole Etherington, PhD<sup>1</sup>

Joseph Burns, MSc<sup>1</sup>

Sylvain Boet, MD, PhD<sup>1 2</sup>

Catherine Kennedy, BHSc<sup>1 3</sup>

Romanah Ahmed<sup>1</sup>

Manvinder Kaur<sup>1</sup>

Amin Zahrai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology and Pain Medicine, The Ottawa Hospital

<sup>3</sup>School of Medicine, St. George's University, Grenada, West Indies

**Corresponding author:**

Dr. Sylvain Boet, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine & Department of Innovation in Medical Education, 1053 Carling Avenue. Ottawa, ON K1Y 4E9, University of Ottawa, Canada, Telephone: 613-737-8187, Fax: 613-737-8189, [sboet@toh.ca](mailto:sboet@toh.ca).

**Prior presentation:**

**Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank Alexandra Davis, BA, MLIS for her help in the development and review of the search strategies. Thank you to Valentina Ly, BSc, MLIS for her help in the update of search strategies.

**Word count:**

**Number of tables:**

**Number of figures:**

**Abbreviated title:** Physician sex patient outcomes in acute care.

**Summary Statement:** This systematic review aims to identify and assess the association of physician sex and gender across acute care services.

**Funding:**

**Conflicts of Interest:** Not applicable

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Background**

There are significant differences in the processes of medical care of female and male physicians. Though these have been extensively studied in the fields of primary and surgical care, there is a lack of understanding regarding the impacts of physician sex/gender on patients' outcomes in an acute care setting.

### **Methods**

Only prospective or retrospective original quantitative studies were included if they investigate the impact of physician sex/gender on patient outcomes in an acute care setting. Searches were conducted in the electronic database Medline (via OVID), Embase, Web of Science (Core collection) from inception to February 25, 2020. Studies were screened and data was extracted in duplicate two independent reviewers. An updated search was conducted in the electronic database Medline (via OVID), Embase, Web of Science on December 23, 2021.

### **Results**

### **Conclusions**

## INTRODUCTION

Every year, approximately 43 million patients around the world experience morbidity or mortality as a result of adverse events that occur in hospitals.<sup>1</sup> Among the many factors that play a role in these events, research increasingly suggests that processes of care often differ according to physician sex and gender.<sup>2 3 4</sup> These differences are, in turn, associated with inequitable outcomes among patients.<sup>5 6</sup>

Sex refers to a biological variable which differentiates between male and female anatomical and physiological characteristics. Gender, a socially constructed concept, encompasses behavioral, cultural, or psychological features which are dynamic and context dependent.<sup>7 8</sup> At the clinical level, sex and gender play an important role in processes of care. For example, observable differences exist in the communication and decision-making styles between female and male physicians.<sup>2</sup> Female physicians are also more likely than their male colleagues to adhere to evidence based clinical practice, spend more time consulting patients, and adopt patient centered communication styles in cardiac or primary care.<sup>9 10 11</sup> As a result, patients may be more likely to receive preventative care and reoccurring diagnostic measures when treated by a female physician.<sup>3</sup> As well, it was found that the 30 day mortality and readmission rates in patients with the 8 most common medical conditions (acute renal failure, arrhythmia, COPD, gastrointestinal bleeding, heart failure, pneumonia, sepsis, and urinary tract infections) were lowest among female physicians.<sup>5</sup> At the same time, Amacher *et al* (2017) found that a team of male medical students had less of a delay in the commencement of chest compressions as a consequence of their leadership style. The observed resuscitation performance was greater than that of a team of female students.<sup>10</sup>

Although physician sex and gender have critical implications for patient outcomes, the influence of these attributes has yet to be systematically summarized in acute care. Understanding how processes of care and patient outcomes may differ among female and male physicians at a system level can provide key insights to inform future education and training interventions. This systematic review aimed to identify and assess the association of physician sex and gender across acute care services.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This systematic review will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The protocol will be published on the University of Ottawa's research repository.

### **Eligibility Criteria**

Studies will be included if they examine the impact of physician sex or gender on patient outcomes in an acute care setting, including the following: critical care, emergency, prehospital care, short term stabilization, surgery, trauma and/or urgent care. Studies which investigate patient outcomes outside of acute care or that examine only patient sex differences will be excluded. Potential patient outcomes include: mortality, physiological/clinical life impact (cognitive; emotional; physical; role; social functioning), adverse events, resource use (economic; further intervention required; hospital; societal/career burden). Only published prospective or retrospective original quantitative studies will be eligible for inclusion in this review. There may be additional measures of patient outcomes when the studies are under review and will be subsequently added. Editorials, letters, conference abstracts, and qualitative studies will be excluded.

### **Search strategy and information sources**

The search strategy will be developed in collaboration with an information specialist. The strategy will then be reviewed by an additional information specialist using PRESS guidelines.<sup>12</sup> Searches will be conducted in the electronic databases: Medline, Embase, Web of Science (Core collection) from inception to February 5, 2020. Language restrictions will not be applied; however, data will only be extracted from those selected studies published in English or French. The final list of included articles will be reviewed by sex and gender and patient safety experts to confirm the accuracy and relevance of the studies. The search will be regularly updated until a prespecified date via database alerts and regularly performed repeat search updates. An updated search was performed on December 23, 2021.

### **Study selection**

An electronic screening form will be used to identify relevant references. At the first level of screening, titles and abstracts will be screened as per eligibility criteria in duplicate by two independent reviewers. Full texts of relevant studies will be reviewed in the second level of screening. At each level of screening, any disagreements will be resolved through consensus or consultation with a third independent review, if required.

### **Data items and abstraction**

Data will be extracted in duplicate by two independent reviewers using an electronic form. The form will include such items as publications details (e.g. first author, year, country of data collection), clinical context (e.g. acute care; critical care, emergency, prehospital care, short term stabilization, surgery, trauma and/or urgent care), population demographics (e.g. physician sex, physician specialty), study details (e.g. research objective, methodology), outcomes assessed (e.g. mortality; physiological/clinical; life impact; resource use following treatment by a physician of either sex), and study results (reported impact of physician sex on patient outcomes).

## REFERENCES

1. Jha, a K. *et al.* The global burden of unsafe medical care: analytic modelling of observational studies. *BMJ Qual Saf* **22**, 809–815 (2013).
2. Alspach, J. G. Are Women Really Better Physicians Than Men Are? *Crit Care Nurse* **38**, 13–15 (2018).
3. Kim, C. *et al.* Is physician gender associated with the quality of diabetes care? *Diabetes Care* **28**, 1594–1598 (2005).
4. Berthold, H. K., Gouni-Berthold, I., Bestehorn, K. P., Bohm, M. & Krone, W. Physician gender is associated with the quality of type 2 diabetes care. *J Intern Med* **264**, 340–350 (2008).
5. Tsugawa, Y. *et al.* Comparison of Hospital Mortality and Readmission Rates for Medicare Patients Treated by Male vs Female Physicians. *JAMA Intern Med* **177**, 206–213 (2017).
6. Covinsky, K. E., Chren, M. M., Harper, D. L., Way, L. E. & Rosenthal, G. E. Differences in patient-reported processes and outcomes between men and women with myocardial infarction. *J Gen Intern Med* **15**, 169–174 (2000).
7. Peters, S. A. E. & Norton, R. Sex and gender reporting in global health: new editorial policies. *BMJ Glob Health* **3**, e001038–e001038 (2018).
8. De Loof, A. Only two sex forms but multiple gender variants: How to explain? *Commun Integr Biol* **11**, e1427399–e1427399 (2018).
9. Roter, D. L., Hall, J. A. & Aoki, Y. Physician gender effects in medical communication: a meta-analytic review. *JAMA* **288**, 756–764 (2002).

10. Baumhakel, M., Muller, U. & Bohm, M. Influence of gender of physicians and patients on guideline-recommended treatment of chronic heart failure in a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Heart Fail* **11**, 299–303 (2009).
11. Andersen, M. R. & Urban, N. Physician gender and screening: do patient differences account for differences in mammography use? *Women Health* **26**, 29–39 (1997).
12. Sampson, M. *et al.* An evidence-based practice guideline for the peer review of electronic search strategies. *J Clin Epidemiol* **62**, 944–952 (2009).