

Error 1: In the third chapter of my thesis, the subjects S4, S5, S7, S8, S10, S13, S15, S17 were analysed under the study protocol version B, but should have been analysed under the study protocol version A. (protocol versions on thesis pg. 38, Table 6).

Correction 1: After rearranging the statistical values for the participants S4, S5, S7, S8, S10, S13, S15, S17 in Tables 43, 44 and 45 (on thesis pg. 114, 115 and 116), according to their respective study protocol of data collection, (i.e., Protocol A), the updated tables and results are as follows:

On thesis pg. 45-46, under the section “Results after performing exploratory data analysis”:

Result 1: Remains unchanged.

Visual plots were correctly analysed according to the respective protocols of data collection.

Result 2: Remains unchanged.

It was observed that 14 out of 15 participants had a higher LF/HF power ratio in either of stress or amused conditions as compared to the neutral condition.

Corrected table 43 (originally on thesis pg. 114) attached below.

Result 3: Partially changed.

After comparing the mean values of temperature (displayed in corrected Table 45, attached below), 6 participants had highest mean temperature during or after stressful activity. In the same table, 10 participants were seen to have recorded their lowest mean temperature in relaxed states (either of Relaxed 1 (meditation 1) and Relaxed 2 (meditation 2)).

Result 4: Changed and improved.

Highest eda_mean for 13 participants (in corrected Table 44, attached below) was recorded in the time period just after stressful activity (post_stress) or in the relaxation activity that followed the stressful situation. This is in concordance with the Result 1, where the same can be seen in visual EDA plots.

Error 2: Citation formatting (in List of References, thesis pg. 69)

Previous:

[59] R. W. Picard, “MIT Media Laboratory; Perceptual Computing; 20 Ames St., Cambridge, MA 02139 picard@media.mit.edu, <http://www.media.mit.edu/~picard/>,” p. 16.

Correction:

[59] R. W. Picard, “Affective Computing”, *M.I.T Media Laboratory Perceptual Computing Section Technical Report No. 321*, 1995.

Corrected table 43, on thesis pg. 114 (Appendix B):

Participants	Neutral	Stressed	Amused
S2	1.510593266	0.390587978	2.765667867
S3	0.575394286	4.352645349	13.28612573
S6	0.385171591	0.606492642	0.213068938
S9	0.793163126	0.433102313	4.129703246
S11	0.445677793	0.477417128	1.693836235
S14	0.413526357	0.521605093	0.892636338
S16	0.45944188	0.503270132	0.398780743
S4	3.35379574	0.267406717	0.225093028
S5	2.573040256	14.49776616	1.101205969
S7	0.685969815	1.907333144	0.355582432
S8	0.427705439	1.404459035	37.82024511
S10	0.61828314	1.141265266	17.61614406
S13	2.515446043	3.142182801	1.524805164
S15	9.93203727	0.896311156	42.13093007
S17	0.387513921	2.293178532	0.405386875

Table 43: LF/HF power ratio for all participants. Participants in orange were studied under protocol A, and participants in purple were studied under protocol B.

Corrected table 44, on thesis pg. 115 (Appendix B):

Participants (Protocol B)	Neutral	Stressful Activity	Post_Stress	Relaxed 1	Amusing Activity	Relaxed 2
S2	0.698104251	0.114234257	0.44516844	0.980755548	0.452889623	0.209086095
S3	1.6339823	0.445804828	1.669038445	0.697514743	0.449593742	0.374245291
S6	0.9998792	0.454606853	7.011504755	4.913355873	1.577574285	2.091170579
S9	0.2506024	0.348648448	0.981242804	0.946466969	0.508551382	0.391053926
S11	3.4778684	2.479751468	2.895547335	4.886637432	3.799062187	2.945831097
S14	0.2806453	0.296247719	0.331928204	0.318026949	0.312554469	0.341142787
S16	0.2806009	0.295514656	1.307337715	1.692310293	0.840071564	0.500902757
Participants (Protocol A)	Neutral	Amusing Activity	Relaxed 1	Stressful Activity	Post_Stress	Relaxed 2
S4	0.3131825	0.139323958	0.158218885	0.146881342	1.202008897	1.113279311
S5	0.9365627	0.767052864	1.730517996	1.512306042	2.906584481	2.66331054
S7	5.0553206	4.72811748	4.186324974	4.346560577	5.9427414	7.369754393
S8	0.3841185	0.289286978	0.245446847	0.254599553	0.601342446	0.81643192
S10	0.3828844	0.399660046	0.396349805	0.446193128	2.132589957	1.737692519
S13	7.4110559	4.670375738	3.477049401	5.156471257	10.04264275	13.47057437
S15	0.2635721	0.277511713	0.377944073	0.452705101	0.891466611	1.342173556
S17	1.6552717	1.2790937	1.058047465	0.949016981	1.032340942	1.070655454

Table 44: Table of mean EDA values for all participants. Participants in orange were studied under protocol A, and participants in purple were studied under protocol B. The values highlighted in blue are the highest eda_mean for that participant across their individual affective states. Similarly, the values highlighted in green are lowest eda_mean for that participant across their individual affective states.

Corrected table 45, on thesis pg. 116 (Appendix B):

Participants (Protocol B)	Neutral	Stressful Activity	Post_Stress	Relaxed 1	Amusing Activity	Relaxed 2
S2	35.7740312	35.75918619	34.59663784	32.66927906	33.06924133	34.29040906
S3	33.01025	33.20402878	32.31627134	31.43723593	30.75059957	30.69488387
S6	32.746562	34.02529653	33.09626044	31.47309167	30.77695985	32.30446218
S9	33.927581	34.59605401	33.38401184	32.5100119	31.47817081	31.1617996
S11	34.194933	34.38178151	33.63093992	32.52128321	31.84303284	31.54632432
S14	32.149201	32.18839986	32.6300191	32.4622828	32.93294455	33.07591971
S16	29.570159	30.75506074	31.04900024	30.69912	30.92793154	30.41908328
Participants (Protocol A)	Neutral	Amusing Activity	Relaxed 1	Stressful Activity	Post_Stress	Relaxed 2
S4	32.624813	32.9250733	33.04270172	32.86740438	32.61599822	32.1095541
S5	34.211019	35.50671062	34.79859016	34.3760053	34.24123841	32.87140204
S7	33.43146	34.11509242	33.98665668	33.34112457	33.36049875	32.65321237
S8	33.675395	33.75208883	33.58482451	33.22788918	32.62917743	32.23045791
S10	33.326549	33.61842575	34.09913015	34.38548721	34.01614639	32.9740526
S13	34.744295	34.80543816	34.93864316	34.80064304	34.34975531	33.04020971
S15	29.857742	29.97717655	30.31812463	29.96219715	30.14578887	30.04499101
S17	33.446952	33.44449016	33.00053643	32.54559368	32.27753305	32.66283042

Table 45: Table of mean temperature values for all participants. Participants in orange were studied under protocol A, and participants in purple were studied under protocol B. The values highlighted in blue are the highest temp_mean for that participant across their individual affective states. Similarly, the values highlighted in green are lowest temp_mean for that participant across their individual affective states.