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ABSTRACT

A phenomenological model with stratification of temperature and species concentration was developed to simulate engine misfire. The fuel mixture in the combustion chamber is divided into equal mass particles, each of which has its own temperature and species concentration. The model incorporates the coalescence dispersion model for finite rate mixing and the mass entrainment model for flame propagation. The chemical rate of the first order reaction for the combustion of propane in air is used to formulate a set of ordinary differential equations for the evolution of temperature and species concentration. An ODE solver of the predictor corrector method was developed to solve the equations.

Two types of engine misfire limits were simulated: the ignition limit and partial burn limit. The model was tested extensively for the ignition limit, including the effect of turbulence intensity, intake temperature and pressure, equivalence ratio, ignition energy, spark gap distance, and engine RPM. The turbulence intensity, intake temperature and equivalence ratio were observed to have the strongest effect on ignition limit. Examples of partial burn cycles were also obtained. The concept of age mixing was implemented as an attempt to simulate partial burn more adequately, but more studies are required for the age mixing model to predict partial burn. Computation of a complete cycle has not been performed in any of the tests due to limited computing time. However, conclusion can still be reached with about 20 degree crank angles of result after ignition for the case of ignition limited misfire, and about 40 degree crank angles of result after ignition for the case of partial burn. The model in its present form has not been calibrated with actual engine experiments due to the lack of complete engine specifications in available literature. Such calibration has to be performed for model applications on any specific engine.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his deepest appreciation and gratitude to Professor Roger Milane of the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Ottawa, for his help and support throughout the project and the preparation of this thesis.
### Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Pre-exponential constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_r$</td>
<td>Flame front area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>Exponent of fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>Exponent of oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C'$</td>
<td>Constant of mixing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{m}$</td>
<td>Mean molecular speed of chain carriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_v$</td>
<td>Specific heat at constant volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Velocity divergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Electrode diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Activation energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_b$</td>
<td>Internal energy of the burned gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{ign}$</td>
<td>Ignition energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_T$</td>
<td>Dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_u$</td>
<td>Internal energy of the unburned gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$, $F_2$, $F_3$</td>
<td>Fuel fraction of a particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(r_e)$</td>
<td>Function of iteration for the flame radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_n(t)$</td>
<td>Derivative of the dependent variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{last}$</td>
<td>Last time step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{new}$</td>
<td>Current time step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>Chamber height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>Dimensionless parameter of pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_T$</td>
<td>Turbulent kinetic energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Integral length scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>Spark gap distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_r$</td>
<td>Mean molecular weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$M_x$ Molecular weight of species $x$
$m$ Mass of a particle
$m_e$ Entrained mass
$N$ Number of particles in the chamber
$N_i$ Number of moles per gram of mixture in particle $i$
$N_m$ Number of mixing pairs
$N_t$ Number of particles in the reactor
$n$ Number of moles of mixture
$P$ Pressure
$P_{in}$ Pressure at the closing of the intake valve
$P_r$ Reference pressure
$Q$ Heat transfer to the system
$q$ Specific heat transfer to the system
$R$ Universal gas constant
$R_b$ Gas constant of the burned gas
$R_c$ Bore radius of the chamber
$R_u$ Gas constant of the unburned gas
$r_e$ Entrainment radius
$r_s$ Spark location offset
$S'$ Error to tolerance ratio
$S_l$ Laminar flame speed
$T_b$ Burned gas temperature
$T_i$ Temperature of particle $i$
$T_{in}$ Temperature at the closing of the intake valve
$T_m$ Mean temperature of the reaction zone
$T_u$ Unburned gas temperature
$\Delta t$ Time interval
$U$ Internal energy
$U_1, U_2, U_3$  Internal energy of a particle
$U_{m_i}$  Internal energy per mole of mixture for particle $i$
$u$  Specific internal energy
$u_e$  Entrainment velocity
$u'$  Turbulence intensity
$V$  Chamber volume
$V_b$  Burned gas volume
$V_c$  Entrained volume
$V_{in}$  Chamber volume at the closing of the intake valve
$Vol_1, Vol_2, Vol_3$  Volume of a particle
$V_p$  Total volume of the particles
$V_T$  Actual chamber volume
$v$  Specific volume of a particle
$W$  Work done by the system
$w$  Moles of water per gram of mixture
$X$  Moles of fuel per cc of mixture
$x$  Moles of fuel per gram of mixture
$x_i(t)$  Dependent variable of an ordinary differential equation
$Y$  Moles of oxygen per cc of mixture
$Y_f$  Mole fraction of fuel
$Y_{O_2}$  Mole fraction of oxygen
$y$  Moles of oxygen per gram of mixture
$z$  Moles of carbon dioxide per gram of mixture
$\alpha$  Exponent of pressure ratio
$\varepsilon$  Error bound
$\gamma$  Ratio of specific heats
$\nu$  Moles of nitrogen per gram of mixture
$\rho$  Density
$\tau_i$
Equilibration time

$\omega$
Mixing frequency
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL OVERVIEW

Lean combustion improves specific fuel consumption and reduces emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx) in spark ignition engines (Shionoto et al, 1978). However, misfire and cycle to cycle variation in lean burning engines cause rough operation and higher hydrocarbon (HC) emissions. Misfire limit is usually defined as the equivalence ratio at which a small percentage of cycles fail to ignite or burn completely for given operating conditions, namely, temperature, turbulence, spark advance etc. A percentage of cycles is used because cyclic variation causes some cycles to misfire, and the others to burn completely. Different experimental methods have been used to determine if a cycle misfires. There is no agreement among researchers as to what percentage of cycles per minute misfires in the limit or on the specific method used to identify these cycles. Quader (1974) assumed that at the misfire limit, 0.5 to 0.8 % of the cycles misfired. He identified them by observing the pressure curve, the flame front position using an ionization probe and the exhaust HC level. Anderson and Lim (1985) used 0.4 to 2% of the cycles misfiring as the limit. They identified them by observing that the pressures at 40 degrees before and after top dead center in the same cycle are equal. Quader (1976) described two misfire limits: the ignition limit and the partial-burn limit. The ignition limit is reached if the spark fails to ignite a sufficiently large flame kernel to sustain flame propagation by the heat release of combustion. On the other hand, the partial burn limit is reached when the flame has not traversed the entire combustion chamber when the exhaust valve opens.
1.2 LITERATURE SURVEY

Germane et al (1983) provided an extensive review on the research works of lean mixture in spark ignition engines. They included over one hundred references dated from 1908 to 1983. The following literature survey does not intend to cover all available literature. Instead, the literature relevant to the present study will be reviewed.

As early as 1971, Tanuma et al (1971) investigated ways to improve lean combustion in spark ignition engines. They performed engine experiments with a modified ignition system, intake valve seat and combustion chamber geometry. The results showed that the lean limit can be extended using a larger spark gap, longer spark gap projection, higher ignition energy, and by using a valve seat with six inclined vanes. It was found that a compact combustion chamber and heated intake mixture improved fuel consumption and smoothness of operation. The engine speed was set at 1600 RPM for the testing of the lean limit. The authors defined the lean limit as the largest air to fuel ratio without misfire in 300 cycles. This definition was considered to be ambiguous by later researchers (Germane et al, 1983). The lean limit defined this way depended on the number of cycles observed. Tanuma et al (1971) were one of the earliest to investigate the lean limit of spark ignition engines. Their work did not provide insight in understanding the mechanism of lean misfire.

Quader (1974) has done extensive experimental studies on lean misfire limit with propane and air and isooctane and air mixtures. The effects of varying different engine operating variables were investigated. His results indicated that the lean misfire limit was extended by increasing compression ratio and temperature, improving mixture homogeneity, decreasing charge dilution and engine speed. Quader (1976) also studied two types of misfire: misfire at ignition and partial burn. He investigated whether the lean limit occurred at ignition or during flame propagation. His study showed that at early spark timing, misfire occurred at ignition and that misfire at ignition can be avoided by retarding the spark advance. However, at
late spark timing, misfire occurred during flame propagation and the partial burn limit was reached. The two papers by Quader (1974, 1976) have stimulated great interest in understanding misfire. The author defined misfire using experimental measurements. The combined effects of engine operating variables on misfire were presented. Several questions concerning misfire remained unanswered. In fact, the measurement technique to distinguish ignition misfire from partial burn did not suggest that they were two different phenomena.

Smith et al (1977) analyzed the bulk quenching of flame in an expanding chamber using schlieren photographs. Their study showed that bulk quenching depended on the amount of volume expansion rather than the rate of volume expansion. Therefore, the unburned gas density was critical when bulk quenching occurred. The authors also correlated the lean limit with the Karlovitz number for different ignition timing. They concluded that bulk quenching contributed to flame extinction when ignition timing was retarded. Their results were in agreement with the results of Quader (1976) that partial burn occurred at late ignition timing. On the other hand, Peters (1979) investigated some cases of partial burn. He obtained pressure traces for a large ensemble of partially burned cycles, and deduced the mass burn fraction using the two zone heat release model of Krieger and Borman (1967). Their results showed few cases of flame quenching before the exhaust valve opened. The majority of the partial burn cycles were still burning when the exhaust valve opened due to slow burning rate. Peters also observed that the calculated flame temperature at quenching was between 1650 to 1880 K, but the slow burning cycles had a flame temperature above this range. The works of Smith et al (1977) and Peters (1979) have contributed to the understanding of partial burn. However, the question left open by Quader’s studies remained unanswered.

The limitation of experimental works in understanding the physical mechanism behind misfire is mainly due to nature of engine experiments. All engines operate at a set of inter-related operating variables. For instance, if the speed of
the engine is changed, the turbulence intensity, residual fraction and other variables will also be affected. The effect of varying one operating parameter alone is very difficult to obtain. Engine experiments can only yield results of combined effects. Numerical models, on the other hand, can demonstrate the effect of varying any selected engine operating parameter, while perfectly isolating all other variables. Therefore, modelling provides information that is not available from experiments.

To date, attempts to model ignition limits have been made. Arici et al (1983) postulated that at the ignition limit, the energy production from combustion in the flame kernel was equal to the amount of heat dissipated to the unburned surrounding by turbulence. The burned mass was obtained using a mass entrainment model following the work of Tabaczynski et al (1977). The entrainment velocity was the sum of laminar flame speed and turbulence intensity. The effects of varying compression ratio, engine speed, intake temperature and exhaust gas recirculation were investigated. Comparison of model results with experimental results showed good agreement. Anderson and Lim (1985) determined experimentally the critical spark gap distance at which the leanest mixture could be ignited for a given spark advance. They called it the minimum energy condition. The critical spark gap distance was called the quench distance. At the minimum energy condition, reducing the spark gap would increase heat loss through the spark plug electrode and the flame would be quenched. On the other hand, increasing the spark gap would increase heat loss to the unburned gas and the flame would be quenched. The authors used a model by Ballal and Lefebvre (1977) to relate the quench distance to the effective (turbulent) flame thickness. The model failed to predict the effect of engine speed changes.

These two models for ignition limit provided some insights into the physics behind misfire at ignition. However, complete understanding of ignition misfire and partial burn is not obtained yet. As Quader(1976) stated in his paper in studying the constraint limits of lean operation, that ‘this would require modelling the
thermochemical interactions near the spark gap to establish flame initiation during compression, and modelling the flame quenching process during expansion. Since the thermochemical interaction at the spark and the flame quenching (partial burn) process are strongly influenced by local temperature and species concentration, a model incorporating temperature and species stratification coupled with finite rate chemistry and finite rate turbulent mixing is essential to predict the ignition limit and partial burn limit.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study is to formulate a model for flame propagation in a lean mixture to predict both ignition limit and at partial burn limit. At the present time, models for partial burn are not available in the literature. Both the misfire at ignition and partial burn are affected by the same type of engine operating variables, namely inlet flow condition, inlet condition of fuel mixture, ignition system, ignition timing, engine speed, and compression ratio. Therefore a model predicting both the ignition limit and partial burn limit will be useful in understanding the mechanism of engine misfire.

The model should be sensitive to the variation of all parameters that are believed to affect the misfire limits. These parameters have been used by Quader (1974) and Anderson and Lim (1985) in their extensive experimental works. They included the equivalence ratio, intake temperature, pressure, turbulence, engine speed, spark advance, spark gap and ignition energy. The model is expected to predict experimental trends when the parameters are varied. It should also predict the exact ignition limit and partial burn limit if complete engine data are available for precise model validation. However, this cannot be accomplished at the present stage due to the lack of complete engine data.
It should be noted that the model does not replace any engine experiments. The purpose is to assist researchers in understanding the results of engine experiments, and to direct future experiments. At the lean limit, misfire and cycle to cycle variation are coupled. Due to cyclic variation, the misfire limit predicted by the model represents some cycles of an engine operating at the lean limit. The percentage of misfiring cycles is an important consideration from the practical point of view. It cannot be obtained without knowing the cyclic distribution of mixture conditions from experiment. Therefore, experiment and modelling should always complement one another.

1.4 MODEL APPROACH

Combustion models for spark ignition engines are classified as either multi-dimensional or phenomenological. In multi-dimensional models, the conservation of mass, momentum, species and energy are solved numerically, and spatial variations of velocity, temperature and species concentration are calculated. These models require fine grids and excessive computing time. They are still in the development phase, and have not been used to calculate lean misfire limit. Phenomenological models assume a spatial average for the thermodynamic state of the mixture. They require less computing time, and can be used with engine development. A detailed classification is discussed by Heywood (1978).

In this study, a phenomenological model employing the coalescence dispersion approach by Curl (1963) is developed. The fuel mixture in the combustion chamber is divided into a number of equal mass particles, each having a homogeneous species concentration and temperature. Initially, a number of particles having a volume equal to the volume of the spark plug gap are selected and ignited to a fully burned state. The ignition energy released by the spark is equally distributed to those few particles. Then the thermodynamic state of the burned and unburned par-
ticles is calculated using the first law of thermodynamics and the volume constraint of the combustion chamber.

After ignition, the burned particles constitute the flame kernel. The flame front propagates by entraining unburned particles in the burned volume behind the flame front. This volume is assumed to be a partially stirred reactor. A turbulent entrainment model similar to Blizard and Keck (1974) is used to describe the rate of entrainment. The entrainment speed is a function of turbulence intensity (Tabaczynski et al., 1977). The particles in the partially stirred reactor mix in a binary fashion at a frequency determined by the turbulence intensity. The turbulence intensity is calculated assuming the rapid distortion theory for a spherical eddy in a homogeneous turbulent field (Morel and Mansour, 1982). The turbulence intensity in this simplified model depends on the unburned density. The binary mixing of particles are assumed to occur instantaneously, and separation occurs immediately after mixing. The resulting two particles have identical species concentration, temperature and volume. The burning of particles will take place when a burned particle is mixed with an unburned, or a burning with other particles. All three types of particles: unburned, burned, and burning particles can co-exist in the partially stirred reactor. This is consistent with the experimental observation by Namazian et al. (1980).

The evolution of species and temperature of the burning particles is described by a set of chemical rate equations for all species applied to each burning particle. Using the first law and assuming a perfect gas, an expression for the rate of temperature rise is derived. An expression describing the rate of pressure rise is also obtained by applying the perfect gas law to the ensemble of particles and using the chamber volume constraint. These equations are solved simultaneously. The results give the species concentration and temperature of each burning particle, and the pressure of the system at each instant of time.

This model incorporates a turbulent flame front, finite rate turbulent mixing
and finite rate chemistry. It differs from other phenomenological models by incorporating temperature and species stratification. It is more accurate than using an average value of temperature, since the specific reaction rate given by Arrhenius is extremely sensitive to temperature, as demonstrated by Pratt (1976). The inclusion of the chemical rate in the model is an important feature. As shown in the literature survey, other models studying misfire do not include chemical rate explicitly; they use the laminar flame speed correlation. However, the comparison of chemical time with turbulent mixing (diffusion) time is important in the study of lean misfire. Peters (1979) stated that ‘if the time required to complete the chemical reactions is longer than the time it takes for chemical species and energy to be transported away from the reaction zone, the flame will go out.’ Explicit chemical rate in the model facilitates such a comparison.
2.1 IGNITION

The ignition is modeled by burning completely the mixture within the spark gap during the specified ignition duration. The ignition energy is equally distributed among the burned particles. The thermodynamic states of the burned and unburned gases are calculated using the first law. The first law applied to the unburned gas outside the spark gap is expressed as,

\[ E_u - E_{u,0} = Q - W_u \]  \hspace{1cm} (1a)

where \( E_{u,0} \) and \( E_u \) are respectively the internal energy of unburned gas before and after ignition, \( Q \) is the heat transferred to the unburned gas and \( W_u \) is the work done by the unburned gas. Assuming that the process is adiabatic, and that the ignition energy is delivered to the burned gas only, then the heat transfer term \( Q \) is zero and the first law applied to the unburned is rewritten as

\[ E_u = E_{u,0} - W_u \]  \hspace{1cm} (1b)

The first law applied to the burned gas is

\[ E_b - E_{b,0} = Q - W_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (2a)

where \( E_{b,0} \) and \( E_b \) are respectively the internal energy of the burned gas before and after ignition, \( Q \) is the heat transferred to the burned gas, and \( W_b \) is the work done by the burned gas. Here, the heat transfer is equal to the ignition energy. Therefore the first law becomes

\[ E_b = E_{b,0} + E_{\text{ign}} - W_b \]  \hspace{1cm} (2b)
where $E_{\text{ign}}$ is the ignition energy transferred to the burned gas. It is to be noted that $E_{\text{ign}}$ represents the amount of energy transferred to the ignited gas rather than the total amount that the spark plug produces. In reality the energy supplied by the ignition system is partially lost by heat transfer through the spark plug electrodes. This treatment is beyond the scope of the present study.

The work terms $W_u$ and $W_b$ in equations (1b) and (2b) are determined by the change in pressure and volume of the unburned and burned gases during the spark duration; they are

$$W_u = - \int P \, dV_u$$  \hspace{1cm} (3a)

$$W_b = - \int P \, dV_b$$  \hspace{1cm} (4a)

Since the spark duration is short, the variation of pressure can be assumed linear. The integrals are approximated using the trapezoidal rule, and equation (3a) and (4a) become,

$$W_u = \frac{P_u + P_o}{2} (V_u - V_{u,o})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3b)

$$W_b = \frac{P_b + P_o}{2} (V_b - V_{b,o})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4b)

where subscript $o$ denotes properties before ignition, and $P$ is the pressure after ignition. The unburned gas undergoes an isentropic compression from its initial pressure $P_o$ to the pressure $P$. Therefore the unburned volume is given by

$$V_u = V_{u,o} \left( \frac{P}{P_o} \right)^{1/\gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\gamma$ is the ratio of specific heat. The volume of the burned gas is expressed using the perfect gas law,

$$V_b = \sum_i \frac{N_i R T_b}{P}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $T_b$ is the burned temperature.
Substituting the unburned volume \( V_u \) in equation (3b) using equation (5) and replacing the work term in equation (1b), the first law applied to the unburned gas is rewritten as

\[
E_u = E_{u,o} - \frac{P_u + P_a}{2}(V_{u,o}(\frac{P_a}{P})^{1/\gamma} - V_{u,o})
\]  

(7)

Substituting the burned gas volume \( V_b \) in equation (4b) using equation (6) and replacing the work term in equation (2b), the first law applied to the burned gas is expressed as

\[
P_b = P_{b,o} + E_{ign} - \frac{P_u + P_a}{2}(\frac{N_a R T_b}{P} - V_{b,o})
\]  

(8)

The volume of the combustion chamber can be expressed as,

\[
V = V_u + V_b
\]  

(9a)

Replacing the unburned and burned gas volumes \( V_u \) and \( V_b \) in equation (9a) using equations (5) and (6),

\[
V = V_{u,o}(\frac{P_a}{P})^{1/\gamma} + \frac{N_a R T_b}{P}
\]  

(9)

The three unknowns in equations (7), (8), (9) are the temperatures of the burned and unburned gases, and the pressure. The system of equations is closed since there are three unknowns in three equations.

The input variables to the ignition model are the initial temperature and pressure and equivalence ratio, spark timing, spark duration, spark gap distance, ignition energy, and the number of equal mass particles corresponding to the volume of the ignited gas. The volume of the ignited gas is assumed to be equal to the spark gap volume. This volume is estimated to be either a cylindrical volume \( \frac{1}{4} \pi d^2 l \) or a spherical volume \( \frac{1}{6} \pi d^3 \), where \( d \), the electrode diameter, is equal to 2.5 mm, and \( l \) is the spark gap distance. The total number of particles in the chamber is calculated as
\[ N = \frac{N_b}{V_b} V \]  

(10)

where \( N \) is the calculated number of particles in the combustion chamber, \( V \) is the volume of the combustion chamber and \( N_b \) is the number of particles ignited. The computer program for the ignition model is included in the main program listed in appendix (A). The solution requires calculation of the internal energies of burned \( (E_b) \) and unburned \( (E_u) \). The calculations of the internal energies are discussed in appendix (B).

2.2 TURBULENT ENTRAINMENT

After ignition, the unburned mass will be entrained into the flame zone at a rate determined by (Blizard and Keck, 1974)

\[ \frac{dm_e}{dt} = \rho_u A_e u_e \]  

(11)

where \( m_e \) is the entrained mass, \( \rho_u \) is the unburned density, \( A_e \) is the flame front area, \( u_e \) is the entrainment velocity. Models for the flame front area and entrainment velocity are required. The flame front is assumed to grow spherically from the spark plug located at the top of the disk shape combustion chamber (Figure 1). The model for entrainment velocity is taken from Tabaczynski et al (1977). The authors extended the model for entrainment velocity given by Blizard and Keck (1974) by assuming that the entrainment velocity \( u_e \) is equal to the sum of the turbulence intensity \( u' \) (root mean square of the velocity fluctuation component) and the laminar flame speed \( S_l \).

\[ u_e = u' + S_l \]  

(12a)

However, Daneshyar and Hill (1988) estimated the entrainment velocity using the following relation,
\[ u_e = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho_u}{3\rho_b}} u' + S_l \]  

(12b)

where \( \rho_u \) is the unburned density and \( \rho_b \) is the burned density. Milane and Hill (1988) confirmed the validity of this relationship with extensive experimental work, and suggested that equation (12b) is a better model than equation (12a). Therefore, the present study uses equation (12b) for the entrainment velocity. The laminar flame-speed model of van Tiggelen (1957) is used,

\[ S_l = K C_m [Y_f^a Y_{O_2}^b \exp(-\frac{E}{RT_m})]^{1/2} \]  

(13)

\[ C_m = \sqrt{\frac{8RT_m}{\pi M_r}} \]

\[ K = \frac{2T_m}{\sqrt{3\pi M_r}} \left( \frac{P_r}{P} \right)^\alpha \]

\[ T_m = T_u + 0.74(T_b^\alpha - T_u) \]

where \( C_m \) is the mean molecular speed of chain carriers whose mean molecular weight is \( M_r \). \( Y_f \) is the mole fraction of fuel molecules in the unburned mixture, \( Y_{O_2} \) is the mole fraction of oxygen molecules in unburned mixture, \( a \) and \( b \) are reaction orders with respect to fuel and oxygen respectively, and \( E \) is the activation energy. \( T_m \) is the mean temperature of the reaction zone as proposed by van Tiggelen and Duval (1967). \( K \) is a dimensionless parameter dependent on pressure \( P \) and \( P_r \) is equal to 1 atm. The kinetic parameters for propane proposed by van Tiggelen (1957) are

\[ M_r = 31 \text{ g/mole} \] \[ \alpha = -0.06 \]

\[ \alpha = 0.46 \] \[ b = 1.46 \]

\[ E = 37.7 \text{ Kcal/mole} \]

The turbulence intensity in equation (12b) is described by the rapid distor-
tion theory for compression of spherical eddies as discussed in Morel and Mansour (1982),

$$\frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dt} = -\frac{2}{3} D$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where the turbulent kinetic energy $K$ is $\frac{1}{2} u'^2$ and $D$ is the velocity divergence. The conservation of mass is

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = -D$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\rho$ is the density. Replacing $D$ in equation (14) by equation (15),

$$\frac{1}{K} \frac{dK}{dt} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Here the turbulence is assumed homogeneous in the combustion chamber. At any time after intake valve closing, the turbulence intensity is calculated by integrating equation (16),

$$u' = u'_o \left( \frac{\rho_{uo}}{\rho_u} \right)^{1/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $u'_o$ is the turbulence intensity at intake valve closing, $\rho_{uo}$ is the unburned density at intake valve closing, and $\rho_u$ is the instantaneous unburned density.

At any instant of time, the turbulence intensity, the laminar flame speed and entrainment velocity are calculated by subroutine SPEED listed in appendix (C).

2.3 TURBULENT MIXING

The entrained volume behind the flame front is considered to be a partially stirred reactor, where binary mixing of particles is assumed (Pratt, 1976). The number of mixing pairs in a given period of time $\Delta t$ is determined by the mixing frequency and the total number of particles in the reactor,
\[ N_m = \omega N_i \Delta t \]  

where \( N_m \) is the number of mixing pairs, \( N_i \) is the total number of particles in reactor, and \( \omega \) is the mixing frequency.

### 2.3.1 MIXING FREQUENCY

The mixing frequency is related to the turbulent kinetic energy \( K_T \), and dissipation of the turbulent kinetic energy \( E_T \) (see Milne et al, 1983) as,

\[ \omega \sim \frac{E_T}{K_T} \]  

The dissipation is expressed as,

\[ E_T \sim \frac{K_T^{3/2}}{L} \]  

where \( L \) is the integral length scale.

The conservation of angular momentum of large eddies gives;

\[ K_T^{1/2} L \sim constant \]  

Substituting for \( L \) in equation (20a) using equation (21), the dissipation is expressed as,

\[ E_T \sim K_T^{3/2} \]

Replacing for \( E_T \) in equation (19) using equation (20b),

\[ \omega = C u^2 \]

where \( C \) is an empirical constant to be determined using experimental data.

### 2.3.2 RANDOM SELECTION OF MIXING PAIRS
Each particle in the partially stirred reactor is assigned a number according to the order in which it enters the reactor. A random number generator is used to select the \( N_m \) pairs which will mix. The random number generator is described in subroutine GGUD, whose listing is in the IMSL library of the main frame computer of University of Ottawa. Subroutine GGUD selects a desired number of integers between one and the total number of particles \( N_i \) in the entrained volume. Subroutine GGUD is called \( N_m \) times. Two integers representing the mixing pair are selected each time. If any one of the two particles has already been selected in the same period of time, subroutine GGUD is called again until a new pair of particles is selected.

### 2.3.3 Binary Mixing

The mixing process assumes that two particles mix and separate instantaneously. They become identical after mixing. During the mixing process, the composition is assumed ‘frozen’. Therefore, the conservation of species applied to the system of two particles yields that the fuel fraction (ratio of mass of fuel to total mass) after mixing is the arithmetic average fuel fraction of the two particles before mixing,

\[
F_3 = \frac{F_1 + F_2}{2}
\]  

(23)

where \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are the fuel fraction of the two particles before mixing and \( F_3 \) is their fuel fraction after mixing. The total volume does not change during mixing because the composition is frozen and mixing takes place instantaneously,

\[
Vol_3 = \frac{Vol_1 + Vol_2}{2}
\]  

(24)

where \( Vol_1 \), \( Vol_2 \) are the volume of the two particles before mixing and \( Vol_3 \) is the volume of each particle after mixing.
The temperature after mixing is calculated using the first law written for the pair of mixing particles,

\[ dU = dQ - PdV \]

Since frozen composition is assumed, heat release by combustion and heat transfer to neighbouring particles is nil \((dQ = 0)\), and the total volume does not change, \((dV = 0)\). The first law becomes

\[ dU = 0 \]

therefore,

\[ U_1 + U_2 = 2U_3 \]

(25)

where \(U_1\) and \(U_2\) are the internal energies of two particles before mixing and \(U_3\) is the internal energy of each particle after mixing.

The internal energies are calculated as a function of temperature and species composition (see appendix (B)). Equation (25) is solved by iterating on the temperature after mixing until the calculated internal energy converges to \(2U_3\). These calculations are performed by subroutine MIXFRO listed in appendix (D).

The turbulent mixing is performed by subroutine RANMIX listed in appendix (E). The subroutine RANMIX calls subroutines GGUD and MIXFRO. The results of MIXFRO are the initial conditions of the thermodynamic states of particles for the time interval.

2.4 GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR THERMODYNAMIC STATES

2.4.1 CHEMICAL RATE EQUATIONS
The global reaction equation for the combustion of propane and air is assumed for each burning particle,

\[ C_3H_8 + \frac{5}{\phi}O_2 + \frac{\frac{5}{\phi} \times 3.76}{\phi} N_2 \rightarrow (\frac{5}{\phi} - 5)O_2 + 3CO_2 + 4H_2O + \frac{\frac{5}{\phi} \times 3.76}{\phi} N_2 \]

(26)

where \( \phi \) is the equivalence ratio defined as

\[ \phi = \frac{\text{actual air fuel ratio}}{\text{stoichiometric air fuel ratio}} \]

The reaction rate is described by the single step reaction mechanism of Westbrook and Dryer (1981),

\[ \frac{dX_i}{dt} = -A \exp(-E/RT_i)X_i^aY_i^b \]

(27)

where \( X_i \) is the concentration of propane in moles/cc and subscript \( i \) denotes the \( i \)th burning particle, \( T_i \) is the temperature of the mixture, \( Y_i \) is the concentration of oxygen in moles/cc, \( A \) is the pre-exponential constant, \( E \) is the activation energy, and \( a, b \) are empirical constants. The authors suggested the following values of the constants for a single step propane in air reaction.

\[ E = 30.0 \text{ Kcal/mole} \quad A = 8.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ Kcal/mole} \]
\[ a = 0.1 \quad b = 1.65 \]

(27) can be rewritten as

\[ \frac{dx_i}{dt} = -Av_i \exp(-E/RT_i)x_i^a y_i^b \]

(28)

where \( x_i, y_i \) are respectively the moles of fuel and oxygen per gram of mixture, and \( v_i \) is the specific volume of mixture. The rates of change of the number of moles of species per gram of mixture for oxygen \( y_i \), carbon dioxide \( z_i \), water \( w_i \) and nitrogen \( \nu_i \) are related to that of propane \( x_i \) by the stoichiometric coefficients of the reaction equation (26),
\[ \frac{d\ddot{x}_i}{dt} = 5 \frac{d\ddot{x}_i}{dt} \]  
\[ \frac{d\ddot{x}_i}{dt} = -3 \frac{d\ddot{x}_i}{dt} \]  
\[ \frac{du}{dt} = -4 \frac{d\ddot{x}_i}{dt} \]  
\[ \frac{du}{dt} = 0 \]  
\[ \frac{du_i}{dt} = q_i - \frac{d}{dt} \]  
\[ (33) \]

2.4.2-Rate of Temperature Rise of a Burning Particle

The temperature of a burning particle which is required in (28) is calculated using the first law of thermodynamics applied to the individual particle \( i \),

\[ \frac{du_i}{dt} = q_i - \frac{d}{dt} \]  
\[ (34) \]

where \( u_i, q_i \), and \( v_i \) are specific quantities. In an adiabatic process the heat transfer is zero \( (q = 0) \). The specific internal energy is,

\[ u_i = M_x x_i u_x + M_y y_i u_y + M_{\nu} \nu_i u_\nu + M_z z_i u_z + M_w w_i u_w \]  
\[ (35) \]

where \( x_i, y_i, \nu_i, z_i, w_i \) are respectively the moles of species per gram of mixture for \( C_3H_8, O_2, N_2, CO_2 \) and \( H_2O \) for particle \( i \), \( M_x, M_y, M_\nu, M_z, M_w \) are molecular weight of species, in gram/mole of species and \( u_x, u_y, u_\nu, u_z, u_w \) are specific internal energies of species in cal/gram of species. The derivative of equation (34) is

\[ \frac{du_i}{dt} = M_x x_i \frac{du_x}{dt} + M_y y_i \frac{du_y}{dt} + M_{\nu} \nu_i \frac{du_\nu}{dt} + M_w w_i \frac{du_w}{dt} \]

\[ + M_x u_x \frac{dx_i}{dt} + M_y u_y \frac{dy_i}{dt} + M_{\nu} u_\nu \frac{d\nu_i}{dt} + M_z u_z \frac{dz_i}{dt} + M_w u_w \frac{dw_i}{dt} \]  
\[ (35) \]

The change of internal energy of each species is expressed in terms of the specific heat at constant volume \( C_\nu \).

\[ d\dot{u}_x = C_{v_x} dT_i \]
\[ du_y = C_{y_i}dT_i \]
\[ du_v = C_{v_i}dT_i \]
\[ du_x = C_{x_i}dT_i \]
\[ du_w = C_{w_i}dT_i \]

Replacing the above terms as well as \( dy_i, du_i, dz_i, dw_i \) in equations (35) using equations (29), (30), (31), (32), and rearranging,

\[
\frac{du_i}{dt} = (M_{z}z_i C_{z_i} + M_{y}y_i C_{y_i} + M_{\nu_{x}}\nu_{x} C_{\nu_{x}} + M_{\nu_{w}}\nu_{w} C_{\nu_{w}}) \frac{dT_i}{dt} \\
+ (M_{z}u_{x} + 5M_{y}u_{y} - 3M_{z}u_{z} - 4M_{w}u_{w}) \frac{dz_i}{dt} \\
+ (M_{z}z_{i} C_{z_i} + M_{y}y_{i} C_{y_i} + M_{\nu_{x}}\nu_{x} C_{\nu_{x}} + M_{\nu_{w}}\nu_{w} C_{\nu_{w}}) \frac{dw_i}{dt}
\]  

(36)

Eliminating the derivative of internal energy by equating equation (33) and equation (36) and rearranging,

\[
\frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{-\frac{dm_i}{dt}(M_{z}u_{x} + 5M_{y}u_{y} - 3M_{z}u_{z} - 4M_{w}u_{w}) - 5M_{y}u_{y} - 3M_{z}u_{z} - 4M_{w}u_{w}}{M_{z}z_i C_{z_i} + M_{y}y_i C_{y_i} + M_{\nu_{x}}\nu_{x} C_{\nu_{x}} + M_{\nu_{w}}\nu_{w} C_{\nu_{w}}}
\]  

(37)

The derivative of specific volume \( \frac{dz_i}{dt} \) is required in equation (37). The perfect gas law applied to particle \( i \),

\[
P_{v_i} = \frac{m_i}{n_i}RT_i
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the particle, \( R \) is the universal gas constant and \( n_i \) is the
\[ n_i = m(x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i) \]

Therefore, the specific volume \( v_i \) is expressed as,

\[ v_i = \frac{(x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i)RT_i}{P} \]

The derivative of specific volume is

\[
\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \left( \frac{dx_i}{dt} + \frac{dy_i}{dt} + \frac{d\nu_i}{dt} + \frac{dz_i}{dt} + \frac{dw_i}{dt} \right) \frac{RT_i}{P} + \left( x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i \right) \frac{R}{P} \frac{dT_i}{dt} - \left( x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i \right) \frac{dP}{dt}
\]

(38)

Substituting \( \frac{dn_i}{dt} \) (equation (38)) in equation (37), and simplifying using equations (29) to (32),

\[
\frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{dx_i}{dt} \left[ \frac{RT_i}{M_z u_x + 5M_y u_y - 3M_z u_z - 4M_w u_w} \right] + \left( x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i \right) \frac{R T_i}{P} \frac{dP}{dt}
\]

(39a)

Equation (39a) is composed of several terms. The internal energy per mole of mixture for particle \( i \) is,

\[ U_{mi} = M_z u_x + 5M_y u_y - 3M_z u_z - 4M_w u_w \]

The specific heat at constant volume of particle \( i \) is,

\[ C_v = M_z x_i C_{vx} + M_y y_i C_{vy} + M_x \nu_i C_{v\nu} + M_z z_i C_{vz} + M_w w_i C_{vw} \]

The number of moles per gram of mixture in particle \( i \) is,

\[ N_i = x_i + y_i + \nu_i + z_i + w_i \]
Equation (39a) is rewritten as

\[
\frac{dT_i}{dt} = \frac{\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} (RT_i - U m_i) + N_i \left( \frac{RT_i}{C_v} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}}{C_v + (N_i/R)}
\]  

(39b)

### 2.4.3 RATE OF PRESSURE RISE OF THE SYSTEM

The rate of change of temperature of a burning particle depends on the value of pressure, the rate of change of pressure, and the concentrations of different species. The rate of change of pressure in the system is derived using the perfect gas law applied to the ensemble of particles in the chamber, composed of \( N_1 \) burning, \( N_2 \) unburned and \( N_3 \) burned particles. The perfect gas law applied to each burning particle is

\[
P V_i = n_i R T_i
\]

(40a)

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant. The perfect gas law applied to \( N_1 \) burning particles is

\[
P \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} V_i = R \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} n_i T_i
\]

(40b)

The perfect gas law applied to each unburned particle is

\[
P V_u = n_u R T_u
\]

(41a)

where

\[n_u = m (X_u + Y_u + \nu_u)\]

The perfect gas law applied to \( N_2 \) identical unburned particles is

\[
N_2 P V_u = N_2 n_u R T_u
\]

(41b)

The perfect gas law applied to each burned particle is
\[ PV_j = n_j RT_j \]  
where 
\[ n_j = m(Y_j + Z_j + \nu_j + W_j) \]

The perfect gas law applied to \( N_3 \) burned particles is
\[ P \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} V_j = R \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} n_j T_j \]  
Therefore, the perfect gas law applied to the ensemble of particles is obtained by summing equations (40b), (41b), (42b),
\[ P \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} V_i + N_2 V_u + \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} V_j \right) = R \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} n_i T_i + N_2 n_u T_u + \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} n_j T_j \right) \]

The volume of the chamber \( V \) is expressed as,
\[ V = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} V_i + N_2 V_u + \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} V_j \right) \]
Therefore, equation (43a) is written as,
\[ PV = R \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} n_i T_i + N_2 n_u T_u + \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} n_j T_j \right) \]

The expression for the rate of pressure rise is calculated by taking the derivative of equation (43b),
\[ \frac{dV}{dt} + P \frac{dV}{dt} = R \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} (T_i \frac{dn_u}{dt} + n_i \frac{dT_i}{dt}) + N_2 (T_u \frac{dn_u}{dt} + n_u \frac{dT_u}{dt}) \right. \]
\[ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{N_3} (T_j \frac{dn_u}{dt} + n_j \frac{dT_j}{dt}) \right) \]

The composition of the unburned and burned particles does not change, therefore the number of moles remains constant,
\[ \frac{dn_u}{dt} = \frac{dn_1}{dt} = 0 \]
Also, the rate of change of the number of moles for each burning particle is

\[
\frac{dn_i}{dt} = m \left( \frac{dx_i}{dt} + \frac{dn_t}{dt} + \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{dn_u}{dt} \right)
\]

Replacing \( \frac{dn_t}{dt} \), \( \frac{dx}{dt} \), \( \frac{dx}{dt} \) and \( \frac{dn_u}{dt} \) using equations (29) to (32),

\[
\frac{dn_t}{dt} = -m \frac{dx}{dt}
\]

Therefore equation (44) is rewritten as,

\[
\nabla \frac{dP}{dt} + P \frac{dV}{dt} = R \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \left( -m_i T_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} + n_t \frac{dx}{dt} \right) + N_2 n_u \frac{dP}{dt} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{burn}}} n_j \frac{dT_j}{dt}
\]

\[(47)\]

The derivatives of the temperature of burned and unburned are calculated assuming isentropic relations. The temperature of the unburned particle is,

\[
T_u = T_{u,0} \left( \frac{P}{P_u} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma - 1}{\gamma_u}}
\]

where \( T_{u,0} \) and \( P_u \) are initial unburned temperature and pressure, and \( \gamma_u \) is the ratio of specific heat for unburned gas at \( T_u \) and \( P \). The temperature of a burned particle is,

\[
T_j = T_{j,0} \left( \frac{P}{P_j} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma - 1}{\gamma_j}}
\]

where \( T_{j,0} \) is the initial temperature of burned particle \( j \) and \( \gamma_j \) is the ratio of specific heat of particle \( j \) at \( T_j \) and \( P \).

Therefore, the rates of change of unburned and burned temperatures are respectively,

\[
\frac{dT_u}{dt} = T_{u,0} \left( \frac{1}{P_u} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma - 1}{\gamma_u}} \left( 2\gamma - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_u} \right) P \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{dP}{dt}
\]

\[(50)\]

and

\[
\xi_0 \frac{dT_j}{dt} = T_{j,0} \left( \frac{1}{P_j} \right)^{\frac{2\gamma - 1}{\gamma_j}} \left( 2\gamma - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_j} \right) P \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{dP}{dt}
\]

\[(51)\]
Replacing \( \frac{dT_i}{dt} \) and \( \frac{dT_j}{dt} \) in equation (44) by equation (50) and (51),

\[
V \frac{dP}{dt} + P \frac{dV}{dt} = R \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right) \frac{dP}{dt} + n_i \frac{dR}{dt} \right\} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left[ n_j T_{j,o}(\frac{1}{T_o}) \frac{dP}{dt} \right]
\]

Now, the term \( \frac{dT_i}{dt} \) is eliminated from equation (52) using equation (39b),

\[
V \frac{dP}{dt} + P \frac{dV}{dt} = R \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right) \frac{dP}{dt} + n_i \frac{dR}{dt} \right\} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left[ n_j T_{j,o}(\frac{1}{T_o}) \frac{dP}{dt} \right]
\]

Rearranging,

\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{R \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left\{ \frac{dR}{dt} \right\} \frac{dP}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left[ n_j T_{j,o}(\frac{1}{T_o}) \frac{dP}{dt} \right]}{V - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left( \frac{n_i}{V} \right) \frac{dR}{dt} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left[ n_j T_{j,o}(\frac{1}{T_o}) \frac{dP}{dt} \right]} - \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \left[ n_j T_{j,o}(\frac{1}{T_o}) \frac{dP}{dt} \right]
\]

The set of ordinary differential equations describing the evolution of thermodynamic properties during a time interval is equation (28), (29), (30), (31), (32), (39b) for each burning particle and equation (53) for the entire ensemble of particles. Therefore, the number of equations is \( 6N_1 + 1 \), for \( N_1 \) burning particles. The unknowns are the number of moles of the five species (\( C, H, O_2, N_2, CO_2, H_2O \)) per gram of mixture in each burning particle (\( 5N_1 \) unknowns), the temperature of each burning particle (another \( N_1 \) unknowns), and the pressure in the chamber. Therefore, a total of \( 6N_1 + 1 \) unknowns are to be solved. This set of equations is
handled by subroutine KINET in appendix (F).
CHAPTER 3
METHODS OF SOLUTION

After ignition, the flame propagation, turbulent mixing and chemical reaction take place simultaneously. The governing equations are solved numerically by discretizing the continuous phenomena of flame propagation and turbulent mixing. The solution procedure of ignition, turbulent entrainment, binary mixing, and the thermodynamic states of particles are discussed in the following sections.

3.1 IGNITION

As discussed in section 2.1, ignition is described by three equations, equations (7), (8), and (9). The three unknowns are the temperatures of the burned particles $T_b$ and unburned particles $T_u$, and the pressure of the system $P$. The mixture conditions before ignition are calculated assuming isentropic compression from the closing of the intake valve to the time of ignition,

$$ P_o = P_{in} \left( \frac{V_o}{V_{in}} \right)^\gamma $$

$$ T_o = T_{in} \left( \frac{P_o}{P_{in}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} $$

where $P_{in}$ and $T_{in}$ are pressure and temperature at the closing of the intake valve, $V_{in}$ is the chamber volume at closing of the intake valve, $P_o$ and $T_o$ are respectively the pressure and temperature after the spark event when the chamber volume is $V_o$, and $\gamma$ is the specific heat ratio of the unburned mixture.

The volumes $V_{in}$ and $V_o$ corresponding to given crank angles are calculated by function subroutine VTO(CA). This function calculates the volume of the com-
bustion chamber as a function of crank angle, given the cylinder dimensions (see Table 1). The listing of VTO(CA) is in appendix (G).

The ratio of specific heat $\gamma$ is obtained from subroutine UPROP in appendix (H). Since $\gamma$ is dependent on temperature, its value is updated for new temperature every 0.5 crank angle using equations (54) and (55).

Now that the mixture conditions before ignition are obtained, the governing equations of ignition, equations (7), (8) and (9), can be solved,

$$E_u = E_{u,0} - \frac{P + P_o}{2}(V_{u,0}(\frac{P}{P_i})^{1/\gamma} - V_{u,0})$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$E_b = E_{b,0} + E_{ign} - \frac{P + P_o}{2}(\frac{\Delta H_{fb}}{P_i^{\gamma - 1}} - V_{b,0})$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$V = V_u + V_b$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

An iteration method is used:

1. The initial guess of pressure $P$ is taken as the pressure before ignition.

2. This pressure is used to calculate the volume and temperature of unburned gas assuming isentropic relations,

$$V_u = V_{u,0}(\frac{P}{P_i})^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

$$T_u = T_{0}(\frac{P}{P_{in}})^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

3. The volume of burned gas is calculated using (9),

$$V_b = V - V_u$$

4. The temperature of burned gas is given by the perfect gas law,

$$T_b = \frac{V_b P}{m_u R_u}$$
5. The internal energies of burned and unburned after ignition, $E_b$ and $E_u$, are obtained by subroutines CLOPRD and UPROP in appendix (H). The method of calculation is explained in appendix (B).

6. The internal energies before ignition for the unburned gas within the spark gap $E'_{b,o}$ and the rest of the chamber $E'_{u,0}$ are calculated by equations (7) and (8).

7. If the calculated values of $E'_{n,o}$ and $E'_{b,o}$ are close to the actual values $E_{n,o}$ and $E_{b,o}$ corresponding to the temperatures before ignition, the solution has converged.

\[
\frac{|E'_{n,o} - E_{n,o}|}{E_{n,o}} < \epsilon
\]

and

\[
\frac{|E'_{b,o} - E_{b,o}|}{E_{b,o}} < \epsilon
\]

where $\epsilon$ is a small positive number.

8. If their calculated values are either greater or smaller than the actual values,

\[
\frac{|E'_{n,o} - E_{n,o}|}{E_{n,o}} > \epsilon
\]

or

\[
\frac{|E'_{b,o} - E_{b,o}|}{E_{b,o}} > \epsilon
\]

then the pressure is guessed using the Half Interval Method (Carnahan et al. 1969), and the solution is iterated again from step (2).
3.2 TURBULENT ENTRAINMENT

Mass entrainment is calculated by integrating equation (11) using Euler's rule

$$m_e(t + \Delta t) - m_e(t) = \rho u A_e u_e \Delta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)

where $m_e(t + \Delta t) - m_e(t)$ is the mass to be entrained in the time interval $\Delta t$. This mass $m_e(t + \Delta t) - m_e(t)$ may not correspond to an integer number of particles. Any fraction in excess of the integer is counted in the next time interval. Now, the flame front area $A_e$ is calculated assuming that the flame propagates hemispherically from the spark plug (see Figure 1). In general, the volume of this hemisphere can be written as

$$V_e = \int_0^h (\alpha r^2 + \beta R_e^2 - r_a R\sin \beta) \, dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (59a)

where

$$\cos \alpha = \left( r_a^2 + r^2 - R_e^2 \right) / 2r_a r$$  \hspace{1cm} (59b)

$$\cos \beta = \left( r_a^2 + R_e^2 - r^2 \right) / 2r_a R_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (59c)

$$r^2 = r_e^2 - z^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (59d)

$\alpha, \beta, r$ and $z$ are shown in Figure 1, $R_e$ is half the bore of the cylinder, $h$ is the chamber height, and $r_e$ is the entrainment radius. Before the flame reaches the piston and the side wall, $\alpha$ is equal to $\pi$ and $\beta$ is equal to $0$. Therefore, (59a) is simplified to

$$V_e = \frac{2}{3} \pi r_e^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

and the flame front area is

$$A_e = 2\pi r_e^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

After the flame reaches the piston or the side wall, a Newton Raphson iteration
method is required to calculate the flame front area. (59a) is rewritten as

$$F(r_e) = \int_0^h (\alpha r^2 + \beta R e^2 - r_s R \sin \beta) dz - V_e$$

(62)

The derivative of $F(r_e)$ with respect to the entrained radius $r_e$ is also required in the Newton Raphson method,

$$\frac{dF(r_e)}{dr_e} = A_e(r_e) = 2r_e \int_0^h \alpha dz$$

(63)

An initial guess of $r_e$ is needed to start the iteration. The $(K+1)th$ iterated value of $r_e$ in terms of its $K_{th}$ iterated value, according to the Newton Raphson method, is

$$r_{e,k+1} = r_{e,k} - \frac{F(r_{e,k})}{A(r_{e,k})}$$

(64)

The solution converges when the following is satisfied,

$$|r_{e,k+1} - r_{e,k}| < \varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small positive number. This method essentially iterates on $F(r_e)$ until it is equal to a small number. Once the solution for $r_e$ is calculated, the flame front area $A_e(r_e)$ is obtained from equation (63). The above calculation of the flame front area is performed by the subroutine SHAPE; the listing is found in appendix (I).

### 3.3 BINARY MIXING

The thermodynamic states of the mixing pairs are calculated for burning and burned particles. In order to recognize whether a particle is unburned, burning or burned, an index is assigned to each of them according to the fuel fraction. If a particle's fuel fraction is equal to that of an unburned particle, the index is assigned to be 2. If the fuel fraction is zero, it is fully burned, and the index is 3. Otherwise, the index is 1, indicating a burning particle. The mass of fuel is related to the
concentration. The fuel fraction of an unburned particle can be determined by the stoichiometric coefficients of the chemical reaction equation.

The thermodynamic state of a particle is determined by its composition, volume and temperature. The composition and volume of particles after mixing are their average values before mixing. The temperature after mixing is given by the first law (equation (25)),

\[ U_1 + U_2 = 2U_3 \]

An iteration procedure is used to obtain the final temperature corresponding to the internal energy \( U_3 \).

1. The initial guessed temperature \( T_{\text{guess}} \) is the average temperature of the pair before mixing.

2. The total internal energy \( U_{\text{guess}} \) is calculated from \( T_{\text{guess}} \) using a polynomial curve fitting of thermodynamic properties in the JANAF Tables (1971). The method of calculation is described in appendix (B).

3. If the calculated internal energy \( U_{\text{guess}} \) is close to the actual value \( 2U_3 \), the solution of the temperature is adequate.

\[ \frac{|2U_3 - U_{\text{guess}}|}{2U_3} < \epsilon \]

4. Otherwise, the temperature is adjusted to \( T_{\text{next}} \) as follows, and iteration repeated from step (2).

\[ 2U_3 - U_{\text{guess}} = mC_v(T_{\text{next}} - T_{\text{guess}}) \]

(65)

\( C_v \) is the heat capacity at constant volume. Rearranging equation (65),
3.4 GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR THERMODYNAMIC STATES

The thermodynamic state of a burning particle is determined by the species concentrations, temperature and volume. These properties are calculated using the chemical rate equations for propane, oxygen, carbon dioxide, water, nitrogen and the equation for the rate of temperature rise of a burning particle.

\[
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = -Av_i \exp(-E/RT_i) x_i^a y_i^b \quad (28)
\]

\[
\frac{dy_i}{dt} = 5 \frac{dx_i}{dt} \quad (29)
\]

\[
\frac{dz_i}{dt} = -3 \frac{dx_i}{dt} \quad (30)
\]

\[
\frac{dw_i}{dt} = -4 \frac{dx_i}{dt} \quad (31)
\]

\[
\frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = 0 \quad (32)
\]

\[
\frac{dT_{tot}}{dt} = \frac{dx_i (RT_i - U m_i) + N_i \frac{dRT_i}{dx_i}}{C_v + (N_i)(R)} \quad (39b)
\]

Equations (29) to (32) can be replaced by algebraic relationships,

\[
y_i = y_{i,o} + 5(x_i - x_{i,o}) \quad (67)
\]

\[
z_i = z_{i,o} - 3(x_i - x_{i,o}) \quad (68)
\]

\[
w_i = w_{i,o} - 4(x_i - x_{i,o}) \quad (69)
\]

\[
\nu_i = \nu_{i,o} \quad (70)
\]

where the initial moles of species per grams of mixture are \(y_{i,o}, z_{i,o}, w_{i,o}, \nu_{i,o}\) respectively.

The thermodynamic properties of burned and unburned particles are calcu-
lated using the isentropic relations provided by the equation for the rate of pressures rise,

\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{R \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \left\{ \frac{dx}{dt} \left( -m T_i + \frac{\nu_i (RT_i - U_m i)}{\nu_i + N_i N_{i1}} \right) \right\} - P \frac{dV}{dt}}{\left\{ - \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_1} \nu_i \frac{n_i R T_i}{\gamma_i} \right\} - N_2 n_a T_{u,n} a \left( \frac{1}{T_o} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_a - 1}{\gamma_a}} \left( \frac{T_o}{T_i} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_a - 1}{\gamma_a}} P^{\frac{1}{\gamma_a}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} T_{i,0} \left( \frac{1}{T_o} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_i - 1}{\gamma_i}} P^{\frac{1}{\gamma_i}} \right\}}.
\]

(53)

where the following terms in equation (53) calculate the rate of temperature rise for the unburned and burned particles respectively.

\[
T_{u,n} \left( \frac{1}{T_o} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_a - 1}{\gamma_a}} \left( \frac{T_o}{T_i} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_a - 1}{\gamma_a}} P^{\frac{1}{\gamma_a}} \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{dT_{u,n}}{dt}
\]

\[
T_{i,0} \left( \frac{1}{T_o} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_i - 1}{\gamma_i}} \left( \frac{T_o}{T_i} \right)^{\frac{\gamma_i - 1}{\gamma_i}} P^{\frac{1}{\gamma_i}} \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{dT_{i,0}}{dt}
\]

The ordinary differential equations (ODE), to be solved are the rate equation of propane (equation (28)), temperature of each burning particle (equation (39b)) given by the first law, and the pressure derivative (equation (53)). If the number of burning particles in a time step is \( N_1 \), then the ODE system consists of \( N_1 \) rate equations, \( N_1 \) temperature equations and one pressure equation, a total of \( 2N_1 + 1 \) equations.

Several methods to solve the set of (ODE) are attempted, and the one with least computing time and moderate accuracy is selected. If no burning particles are present in the time interval, subroutine ISCOMP in appendix (J) is used to perform isentropic compression calculations.

3.4.1 ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION SOLVERS

The first method considered was DGEAR. This subroutine is in the IMSL.
library of the main frame computer at the University of Ottawa. DGEAR is capable of dealing with a wide variety of ODE's. It uses the variable order Adams predictor corrector method if the user specifies that the ODE system is non-stiff, or the Gears backward differential formula if a stiff system is specified. The method of DGEAR was appealing because of its capability to solve a stiff system, which is typically the case for chemical rate equations. The program is accessible and ready to be used. The user specifies either one of the two basic methods (Adams or Gears), and the desired tolerance for error, the initial step size, and one of six methods of corrector iteration. DGEAR automatically selects the step size to minimize computing time. The algorithm is adapted from a package designed by Hindmarsh (1974) based on Gear's subroutine DIFSUB (1971). A similar method by Hindmarsh called LSODE (1980) was evaluated by Radhakrishnan (1985) for a batch reactor, and it was found to be very efficient for the test problem. However, upon testing DGEAR with the present model, DGEAR was found to be very sensitive to the specified tolerance, initial step size and corrector iteration method used, but relatively insensitive to whether the stiff method of Gear or the non-stiff method of Adams is used. Most importantly, the computing time was excessively long. The results constantly indicated that a large number of steps were taken. If the tolerance and initial step size is increased in order to reduce the number of steps, warning and error messages indicate that the step size was reduced more than once and the error bound was exceeded. After experimenting with different values of tolerance, initial step size and different corrector iteration methods, it was found that small tolerance and initial step size yielded most stable computation at the expense of longer computing time. The user attempted to obtain the listing of DGEAR in order to understand its detail algorithm, but did not succeed due to the copyright protection policy of IMSL. Both the Adams predictor corrector method and Gear backward differentiation formula are implicit linear multistep methods. Since DGEAR did not yield satisfactory results, an explicit one step method was considered.
The Runge-Kutta fourth order method is often used among explicit one step methods. Among the different Runge-Kutta methods, the one derived by England (1969) is a fourth order six stage method having an error estimate valid for a system of non-linear equations. The error estimate at each step is used to control step size and minimize the number of steps required, hence minimizing computing time. The initial step size and tolerance are required in this method. It was found that the solution is sensitive to the values of initial step size and tolerance. The iteration in each step requires excessive computing time, and the method was not as efficient as DGEAR. If the initial step size and tolerance are very small, England’s method is even slower than DGEAR. However this method accepts large values of initial step size and tolerance but DGEAR does not. This study shows that DGEAR is more efficient than England for the same level of accuracy.

Since DGEAR and Runge-Kutta methods are designed for general systems of ODE, it was then decided to test a method specifically designed for chemical rate equations. A method developed by Young and Boris (1977) (called CHEMEQ) uses a second order predictor-corrector method. It determines the stiff equations among the system equations, and applies an asymptotic integration method. The other normal equations (non-stiff) are treated using Euler’s predictor and the trapezoidal rule as the corrector. The user specifies the error tolerance. The initial step is automatically determined. The step size control is based on the number of iterations required to achieve convergence. If the step converges in a small number of iterations, the step size is increased. If the step does not converge within a specified number of iterations, the step size is reduced and the calculation of the step is repeated. The algorithm is simpler than the Runge-Kutta method, and most importantly, requires fewer iterations at each step.

3.4.2 THE ADOPTED METHOD
The method by Young and Boris (1977) is designed to solve chemical rate
equation in the form of,

\[ \frac{d\xi}{dt} = Q_i - \frac{\xi}{\tau_i} \]  

(71)

where \( Q \) is the production rate and \( \tau_i \) is the equilibration time. Rewriting equation (71),

\[ \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = g_i(t) \]  

(72)

where

\[ g_i(t) = Q_i(t) - \frac{x_i(t)}{\tau_i(t)} \]  

(73)

The initial step size is taken as proportional to the minimum of the characteristic
time \( \frac{\tau_i(0)}{\xi_i(0)} \) among all the equations,

\[ \delta t = \epsilon \min \left\{ \frac{\tau_i(0)}{\xi_i(0)} \right\} \]  

(74)

where \( \epsilon \) is a scale factor typically of order \( 10^{-3} \). An equation is considered stiff if
the production term \( Q_i \) and the dissipation term \( u_i/\tau_i \) are large and nearly equal.
This is determined by,

\[ \frac{\delta t}{\tau_i(0)} > 1 \]  

(75)

For normal equations, Euler's method is used as predictor,

\[ x_i(1) = x_i(0) + \delta t g_i(0) \] for normal equations.  

(76)

and the trapezoidal rule is used as the corrector,

\[ x_i(m + 1) = x_i(0) + \frac{\delta t}{2} [g_i(m) + g_i(0)] \]  

(77)

For stiff equations, an asymptotic integration method is used. The predictor is,

\[ x_i(1) = \frac{x_i(0) \left[ 2\tau_i(0) - \delta t + 2\delta t \frac{Q_i(0)Q_i(0)}{\tau_i(0)} \right]}{2\tau_i(0) + \delta t} \]  

(78)

and the corrector is,

\[ x_i(m + 1) = \left[ \frac{\xi_i(m) + \tau_i(0)Q_i(m) + Q_i(0)}{\tau_i(m) + \tau_i(0) + \delta t} \right] \]  

(79)
A step converges when consecutive corrector iterations yield nearly equal results, indicated by the local error being smaller than the specified tolerance,

$$\frac{|x_{x(m+1)} - x_{x(m)}|}{\min|x_{x(m+1)}, x_{x(m)}|} < \varepsilon_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (80)

where \( \varepsilon_3 \) is of order \( 10^{-3} \). If convergence is not achieved in two to four iterations, the step size is reduced by a factor of two to three and the calculation of the step is repeated. This was found to be more efficient than reducing the step size by a factor of less than two. If convergence is achieved in one or two iterations, the step size is increased by 5-10\% for the next step. It was found that increasing the step size by more than 10\% would be less efficient due to the need to reduce the step size again in the next step.

Upon applying this method to the present problem, it was found that none of the equations met the criterion for stiffness. Therefore, only the predictor and corrector for normal equations are used. The fact that the chemical rate equations in this model are non-stiff may be explained by Radhakrishnan (1985), who stated that 'The problem of stiffness in chemical kinetics is the result of widely varying rates of change in different species'. Since there is only one rate equation for propane in the model, widely varying rates of change in different species are not present in the model. Also the initial step size is determined by equation (74) can sometimes be an order of magnitude smaller than the last step size used in the previous time interval. In order to prevent an excessively small initial step size, equation (74) is extended as,

$$\delta t = \max\left\{ \min\left\{ \frac{u_{y(0)}}{u_{s(0)}}, H_{last}, \Delta t/10 \right\} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (81)

where \( H_{last} \) is the last step size used in the previous time interval and \( \Delta t \) is the length of the present time interval.

It was found that five corrector iterations should be allowed before reducing step size and repeating the step in order to minimize the required number of steps.
and avoid an excessive reduction of step size. If more than five corrector iterations are required, step size is reduced by a factor of two to five.

\[ H_{\text{new}} = \max\{\min(\delta t/2, \delta t/S), \delta t/5\} \]  (82)

where \( H_{\text{new}} \) is the new step size, \( \delta t \) is the step size to be reduced, and

\[ S = \frac{|x_{(m+1)} - x_{(m)}|}{\min_{\{m \geq 3\}} |x_{(m+1)} - x_{(m)}|} \]

\( S \) is the ratio of local error to the specified tolerance \( \varepsilon_3 \). It is greater than one when convergence has not been achieved. \( S \) measures the difference between the actual error and its desired value (\( \varepsilon_3 \)). If this ratio \( S \) is less than two, the step size is reduced by a factor of two. If \( S \) is between two and five, the step size is reduced by a factor of \( S \). If \( S \) is greater than five, the step size is reduced by a factor of five. Reducing the step size by a factor of two to five is more efficient than reducing it by a factor of two to three as used by Young and Boris (1977). The step size is allowed to be reduced up to three times, after which computation is stopped. If a step converges within two corrector iterations, the next step size is increased by 50% to minimize the number of steps. The total number of steps allowed is one hundred; however, warning messages are issued when the number of steps exceeds fifty. Computation is stopped when the number of steps exceeds one hundred. The method being adopted is more efficient than DGEAR and Runge-Kutta when moderate accuracy is required. The listing of this ODE solver subroutine CODE is in appendix (K).

3.5 OVERALL SOLUTION PROCEDURE

The solutions for ignition, entrainment, mixing and thermodynamic states of particles are calculated using the following procedure.

1. Specify the input parameters at the closing of the intake valve, namely
the crank angle at which the intake valve closes, the turbulence intensity, mixture temperature, pressure, equivalence ratio, spark advance, spark duration, ignition energy, spark gap, number of particles to be ignited, engine RPM, time interval (see section 3.6), and the timing for the opening of the exhaust valve.

2. Calculate temperature and pressure before ignition, using the isentropic relations as discussed in the solution procedure for ignition (section 3.1).

3. Calculate temperature and pressure of burned and unburned gases after ignition, using the first law and volume constraint as discussed in the solution procedure for ignition (section 3.1).

4. The volume of the burned particles after ignition is considered to be the partially stirred reactor.

5. The surface area of the reactor is calculated using subroutine SHAPE. The entrainment velocity is calculated using subroutine SPEED. The number of unburned particles entering the reactor is calculated using the entrainment model as discussed in the solution procedure for turbulent entrainment (section 3.2).

6. The mixing frequency is calculated as a function of turbulence intensity. The number of mixing pairs is calculated as a function of the mixing frequency and the number of particles in the reactor.

7. The random number generator GGUD is called to select pairs of particles to be mixed. The results of each binary mixing is calculated using subroutine MIXFRO, as discussed in the solution procedure for binary mixing (section 3.3). The results of binary mixings are the initial thermodynamic states of particles at the beginning of the time interval.
8. The thermodynamic states of particles are calculated using the chemical rate equations, rate equations of temperature rise for each burning particle, and the rate equation of pressure rise for the system, which includes the isentropic relations for the compression of burned and unburned particles. The solution procedure for these equations is discussed in section 3.4.

9. The thermodynamic states of particles at the end of the time interval are used to calculate the volume and surface area of the partially stirred reactor for the next time interval.

10. Step (5) to (9) are repeated for the calculations of the next time interval, until misfire occurs or the exhaust valve opens.

3.6 APPROXIMATION OF PRESSURE DERIVATIVE

The computing time spent on the iteration of the rate of pressure rise (equation (53)) was found excessive regardless of the method used. The thermodynamic state of each particle, including the unburned particle outside the partially stirred reactor is updated in each iteration, consuming a large amount of computing time. The result showed that the pressure rise is smooth and increases slightly in for the time interval used in the study. Therefore, a linear pressure rise approximation in the time interval is adequate, and significant computing time is saved. The pressure rise is estimated using an iteration procedure.

1. The initial guessed pressure derivative is assumed to be the same as in the previous time interval.

\[
\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{P(t) - P(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t}
\]

2. The pressure during the time interval \(\Delta t\) is calculated using the guessed
pressure derivative,

\[ P(t + \Delta t) = P(t) + \frac{dP}{dt} \Delta t \]

3. The system of ODE without the pressure equation is solved in the time interval.

4. Thermodynamic states of all particles are updated at the end of the time interval.

5. The volume constraint is used as the criterion of convergence. If the total volume is close to the chamber volume, then the solution is converged.

\[ |V_p - V_l| < \epsilon \]

where \( \epsilon \) is a small positive number.

6. Otherwise, the pressure derivative is adjusted using the perfect gas law applied to the chamber volume,

\[ P_{\text{next}} = P\left(\frac{V_p}{V_l}\right) \]

\[ \frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{P_{\text{next}} - P_o}{\Delta t} \]

where \( P_{\text{next}} \) is the next guessed pressure at the end of time interval, \( P \) is the pressure at the end of the time interval obtained from the present guessed pressure rise, \( V_p \) is the total volume of particles with the present guessed pressure rise, \( V_l \) is the chamber volume at the end of time interval, \( \frac{dP}{dt} \) is the next guessed pressure rise, \( P_o \) is the pressure at the beginning of the time interval. The iteration is repeated from step (2).

A maximum of three iterations is allowed, after which computation is stopped.
This procedure eliminates the need of the rate of pressure rise equation, so that the number of equations to be solved by CODE is \(2N_1\) where \(N_1\) is the number of burning particles. This procedure is performed by subroutine KINET listed in appendix (F).

### 3.7 SELECTION OF TIME INTERVAL

As discussed in section 3.1, the flame propagation, turbulent mixing and chemical reaction take place simultaneously. Therefore, the time interval should be as short as possible for accurate simulation. However, computing time generally increases as the number of time intervals increases. On the other hand, if the time interval is long, all the burning particles will have sufficient time to become fully burned before being mixed with other particles, since all mixing occurs only at the beginning of each time interval. The quenching of the burning particles is not realistically modeled in this case, no matter how high the mixing frequency is. The balance between realistic simulation and computational efficiency should be considered.

In the early stage of the development of the model, a time interval corresponding to one crank angle degree at 1500 RPM was used. It was observed from the result that some of the burning particles remain burning if the pre-exponential constant in the rate equation is taken as \(4.3 \times 10^{11}\), which is half of the value given in Westbrook and Dryer (1981). This constant is empirical and can be adjusted as suggested by Westbrook and Dryer. It affects the time scale in which a burning becomes a fully burned. The sensitivity to the selection of time interval was studied using one degree crank angle, one half degree crank angle and one quarter degree crank angle. The study showed that the use of different time intervals yielded the same solution. The use of a half degree crank angle resulted in less computing time than using one degree. This is due to the nature of the rate equations being
solved and the method of solution. When one crank angle interval was selected, the adopted method determined an initial step size, and proceeded with calculation until the portion of the solution where the rate of change was high, then the step size was reduced to follow the solution. In the case of half a degree crank angle interval, the slope of the solution did not vary as much, and computing time was saved since there was no need to reduce the step size. One quarter of a degree crank angle was also attempted, but no improvement was found. Therefore, one half crank angle degree was used for an engine speed of 1500 RPM. When engine speed is increased to 3000 RPM, one crank angle degree is selected.
CHAPTER 4
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The model was tested for the ignition limit and partial burn limit. The sensitivity of the model to turbulence, intake pressure and temperature, fuel equivalence ratio, spark advance, ignition energy, spark gap and engine speed were investigated for the ignition limit. Furthermore, the sensitivity of the model to the number of particles ignited and to the activation energy was also studied. For the partial burned limit, two cases of flame quenching at the expansion stroke were obtained. To determine if the cycle misfires, the mass burn fraction is calculated against the engine crank angle. The mass burn fraction is defined as the ratio of the mass of combustion product to the total mass of mixture in the combustion chamber. Misfire occurs when the mass burn fraction does not increase with respect to crank angle.

4.1 EMPIRICAL CONSTANTS IN MODEL

The empirical constants of the model should be adjusted using experimental data from an actual engine. However, since experimental investigation is beyond the scope of this study, typical values are used. Experimental results from other researchers have been considered. However, incomplete engine specifications prohibited precise comparison of data. The empirical constants are the constants in the mixing frequency expression and chemical rate equations.

The constant $C_\prime (\omega/u')$ in the mixing frequency expression was selected such that when the turbulent intensity $u'$ is about 200 cm/sec, the mixing frequency $\omega$ will be about 700 Hz. The value of $C_\prime$ is $0.017 \text{sec/cm}^2$. Figure 2 shows the sensitivity of the model to constant $C_\prime$ for the engine operating conditions shown in Table 2.
All results shown in graphs have a resolution of one crank angle degree.

There are four constants in the chemical rate equation,

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = -AT_i e^{\left(-\frac{E}{RT_i}\right)} X_i^a Y_j^b
\]  

Westbrook and Dryer (1981) proposed that the pre-exponential \( A \) was \( 8.6 \times 10^{11} \) Kcal/mole, the activation energy \( E \) was 30.0 Kcal/mole, the concentration exponents for fuel and oxygen, \( a \) and \( b \), were respectively 0.1 and 1.65. In their study, the authors adjusted the pre-exponential and activation energy to predict the laminar flame speed. However, the values of these constants are not certain due to the absence of experimental data for the laminar flame thickness. In their work, the authors adjusted the value of the pre-exponential while keeping the activation energy at 30.0 Kcal/mole. In the present study, when the pre-exponential was \( 8.6 \times 10^{11} \) and activation energy was 30.0 Kcal/mole, all the burning particles become fully burned in half a degree crank angle even at the equivalence ratio of 0.5. The pre-exponential constant was lowered to 50% of the suggested value. The result shows some burning particles remained burning in the same condition. Radhakrishnan and Pratt (1984) adjusted this constant to 60% of the value proposed by Westbrook and Dryer (1981). The activation energy \( E \) may also have to be adjusted (Hallett, 1988). The sensitivity of the present model to the pre-exponential and activation energy was investigated. The value for the activation energy \( E \) was varied from 25.0 to 40.0 Kcal/mole and the pre-exponential constant was \( 8.3 \times 10^{11} \). Testing conditions are shown in Table 2. The results are shown in Figure 3. The burning rate is sensitive to the activation energy. It decreases with increasing activation energy, and misfire occurred when the activation energy was equal to 40.0 Kcal/mole. The activation energy represents the energy required to initiate chemical reaction. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that burning rate decreases with increasing activation energy. The prediction of the model (Figure 3) confirms this trend.

The pre-exponential \( A \) was varied from \( 2.0 \times 10^{11} \) to \( 8.6 \times 10^{11} \) Kcal/mole.
The activation energy was kept at 30.0 Kcal/mole. The model is sensitive to the variation of the pre-exponential (Figure 4). However, the burning rate is more sensitive to the variation of activation energy than the pre-exponential. It varies exponentially with activation energy and linearly with the pre-exponential. Radhakrishnan and Pratt (1984) followed Westbrook and Dryer (1981), keeping the activation energy constant, and adjusting the pre-exponential. This approach is adopted in the present study. The following constants are used for chemical kinetics in the present study.

\[ E = 30.0 \text{ Kcal/mole} \quad A = 4.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ Kcal/mole} \]
\[ a = 0.1 \quad b = 1.65 \]

### 4.2 Turbulence Intensity

The sensitivity to turbulence intensity of the model was investigated. In these tests, the intake temperature and pressure respectively were 300°K and 0.5 atm. The mass per cycle was about 0.24 grams. The engine speed was set at 1500 RPM, and ignition at 60 degrees before top dead center. The ignition energy was 6 mJ and deposited to 10 particles in 2 crank angle degrees, corresponding to the ignition duration of 0.2 msec. The fuel equivalence ratio was 0.5. The turbulence intensity at the closing of the intake valve was varied from 1 m/sec to 2 m/sec, then varied again to 3 m/sec. The engine specification for all tests is shown in Table 1. The complete testing conditions are listed in Table 3. Each computer experiment took about 30 minutes of CPU time, which yielded about 20 crank angle degrees of results.

A comparison of the mass burned fraction of the three cases is shown in Figure 5. The model is sensitive to the changes in turbulence intensity. As turbulence intensity was increased from 1 m/sec to 2 m/sec, the mass burned fraction rate increased. This was due to the higher rate of mass entrainment and mixing frequency.
when turbulence intensity was increased. When the turbulence intensity was further increased to 3 m/sec, the mass burn fraction increased even faster initially, but became constant after about 10 degrees. The flat portion of the curve shows that no burning took place after about 10 degrees after ignition. In other words, misfire occurred. At a high turbulence intensity, the rate of mixing was high. The burning particles were quenched by being mixed with unburned before having enough time to become fully burned. A mixing time can be defined as a characteristic time inversely proportional to the mixing frequency. It measures the duration between consecutive mixing events. When turbulence intensity is increased, the mixing frequency increases. As a result, the mixing time decreases. Therefore, the time available for undisturbed chemical reaction, which is the duration between consecutive mixings, is shorter at higher turbulence intensity.

At low turbulence intensity (1 m/sec), the mixing time is longer, and the mass burning rate is controlled by flame propagation and mixing rate. Therefore, higher turbulence intensity increases mass burning rate. At higher turbulence intensity (3 m/sec), the mixing time is shorter, and the mass burning rate is controlled by the chemical rate. Consequently, higher mixing frequency decreases mass burning rate. There is an optimum level of turbulence intensity at which the mass burning rate is highest. The result shows that 2 m/sec can be the optimum turbulence level for the given operating condition. The existence of an optimum turbulence intensity is consistent with the finding of Ho and Santavicca (1987) : the authors stated that wrinkling of the flame front by turbulence increases burning rate, while a very high level of turbulence extinguishes the flame.

The pressure traces for different turbulence intensities are essentially identical ( see Figure 6 ). This is due to the small fraction of the mixture being burned. The pressure rise was mostly due to the compression by the piston, since the burned mass was less than 0.05 % . Such results were also observed in other tests in this study, except when mentioned otherwise.
Turbulence intensity in engines is a function of the design of the intake system. The level of turbulence at the closing of intake valve is a fraction of the volumetric flow velocity passing through the intake valve (see for example R.E. Milane et al., 1983). Therefore the turbulence intensity can be increased by reducing the valve lift and increasing the flow velocity. However, this will also affect the intake pressure and mass flow. On the other hand, increasing throttle or load to the engine also increases turbulence intensity due to the increased mass flow, but this will also increase the pressure. The previous results on the variation in turbulence intensity while keeping other variables constant are not applicable. The effect of pressure variation will be discussed in the following section, and the combined effect of turbulence intensity and pressure variation will also be investigated.

4.3 INTAKE PRESSURE

The change of pressure leads to a change in mass flow if temperature stays constant. Any change in mass flow rate affects the flow velocity across the intake valve, and in turn affect the turbulence intensity of the flow field. If the change of pressure is the result of changing the throttle position, the variation in turbulence intensity is linearly proportional to that of the pressure in the combustion chamber. The turbulence intensity, pressure and mass flow are functions of the valve geometry. The change of pressure can result from changing the valve lift. But the valve geometry is not known in this study, therefore only the case of constant intake valve area will be used in the testing.

The model was first tested for the variation of pressure while the turbulence intensity was kept constant. Complete testing conditions are listed in Table 4. The result shows that the mass burning rate decreased with increasing pressure, when the pressure was varied from 0.2 atm to 0.8 atm. (see Figure 7). This is the result of (39b) describing the temperature rate of the combustion of propane and
air mixture. This equation shows that temperature rate increases with decreasing pressure. The increase of temperature rate then causes an increase in mass burning rate. It is to be noticed that such an effect of variation of pressure alone does not usually occur in real engines, because any variation of pressure will also affect other variable such as the turbulence intensity as discussed above.

The model was tested for the effect of variation of pressure and turbulence intensity. The turbulence intensity is assumed to be linearly proportional to the intake pressure. Four different sets of pressure and turbulence intensity combinations were used. They were 0.4 atm with 1.6 m/s, 0.5 atm with 2.0 m/s, 0.65 atm with 2.6 m/s and 0.8 atm with 3.2 m/s, all taken at the closing of the intake valve. Spark advance was set at 60 degrees before top dead center, and intake temperature at 300 degrees Kelvin. Table 5 lists the complete testing conditions. The mass flow rates under these conditions varied from about 0.2 grams/cycle to 0.4 grams/cycle at an engine speed of 1500 RPM. The results show that the mass burning rates were higher for the case of higher pressure and turbulence intensity for the first 10 degrees crank angle after ignition (see Figure 8), but the trend was reversed afterwards. The mass burning rate stayed at a constant level in the case of 0.8 atm with 3.2 m/s of turbulence, indicating that misfire has occurred. This is the result of flame quenching caused by high turbulence intensity and high rate of mixing between the burning and unburned particles. The overall effect of variation of pressure and turbulence intensity is very similar to that of the variation of turbulence intensity alone. In other words, turbulence intensity has a stronger influence on mass burning rate than the pressure has.

4.4 INTAKE TEMPERATURE

The model was tested for the sensitivity to the variation of intake temperature. The intake temperature is the temperature of fuel mixture in the combustion
chamber at the closing of the intake valve. This temperature is not necessarily the same as the temperature of the flow in the intake manifold or the ambient temperature. The relationship of these different temperatures are functions of the design of the particular intake system, which is beyond the scope of the present study. The model was tested with intake temperatures of 200°K, 250°K, 300°K, 350°K, and 400°K. The intake pressure was 0.5 atm with the turbulence intensity at 2 m/s. The engine ran at 1500 RPM with the spark advance at 60 degrees before top dead center. Complete testing conditions are shown in Table 6. The mass flow rates of the three temperature settings ranged from 0.18 grams/cycle to 0.24 grams/cycle. The result of the variation of temperature indicates that the mass burning rate increases with increasing temperature (see Figure 9). Misfire occurs at 200°K.

The pressure traces of the three cases are shown in Figure 10. The three pressure traces are very close to each other, and approximately parallel to one another. As expected in the ignition period, the mass burned fractions are small. However, we observe that at the lower intake temperature, the pressure was higher. The pressure rise at the initial period of combustion was not due to combustion itself, since the mass burned fraction was very small. Therefore, the pressure rise in this regime was the result of compression. The fuel mixture went through the same amount of change in volume for the three cases of different temperatures, but the ratio of specific heat for the mixture is a function of temperature. Its value decreases with increasing temperature for any given composition of the mixture. Therefore, the mixture at lower temperature will have a higher ratio of specific heat and a higher final pressure after compression. The resulted pressure traces show that the model is sensitive to the variation of the ratio of specific heat. A similar conclusion was also reached in the following section on the variation of the fuel equivalence ratio.
4.5 FUEL EQUIVALENCE RATIO

The model's sensitivity to the variation of equivalence ratio was one of the most important tests, since the most accepted definition of misfire limit is defined as the equivalence ratio at which combustion fails. The test was conducted with four different values of equivalence ratio, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 0.9. The intake conditions were set at 300°K, 0.5 atm and a turbulence intensity of 2 m/s. The engine ran at 1500 RPM and the spark advance was set at 60 degrees before top dead center. Complete testing conditions are shown in Table 7. Results of Figure 11 indicate that burning rate increased with equivalence ratio. For an equivalence ratio of 0.3, misfire occurred after about 12 degrees from ignition. Although the result shows no misfire for the case of 0.5 equivalence ratio, it does not contradict with the result of other researches which suggested that the lean misfire limit was generally in the range of 0.6, because the testing result does not correspond to any actual engines. The model needs to be calibrated in order to generate more solid data instead of showing general trends.

The pressure traces in Figure 12 for the different fuel-air ratios also show trends similar to that of the variation of intake temperature. Again, the pressure traces are close together and approximately parallel because of the very small mass burned and heat release, but the one with the lower equivalence ratio is always higher than that with the higher equivalence ratio. The pressure rise was the result of compression since burned mass is small. The ratio of specific heat of the fuel mixture is now a function of composition. Its value increases with decreasing equivalence ratio. Therefore, the leaner mixture has a higher final pressure than the richer mixture for the same amount of change in volume due to compression.

4.6 SPARK ADVANCE
The spark advance was varied from 90 degrees before top dead center to 60 and 30 degrees before top dead center. The model was tested at 1500 RPM, with the fuel equivalence ratio of 0.5, and intake temperature and pressure as $360^\circ K$ and 0.5 atm respectively. The turbulence intensity was 2 m/s at the closing of the intake valve. Table 8 shows the complete testing conditions. The variation of spark advance affects the temperature, pressure and turbulence intensity at ignition, whose values increase when spark timing is retarded. The results show that the mass burning rate increased when spark advance was retarded (see Figure 13). For the earliest spark advance (90 degrees BTDC), the burning was slow just after ignition, and misfire occurred after about 17 degrees. The volume in the spark gap which was ignited was the same for the three cases, but the mass was slightly higher when the spark timing was retarded (to 30 degrees before top dead center) because of higher density. This effect combined with the higher temperature and turbulence intensity at ignition resulted in an increase in the burning rate when spark timing was retarded to 30 degrees BTDC. The higher pressure at ignition, at 30 degrees BTDC, did not slow down the chemical reaction enough to affect the burning rate. Furthermore, the high turbulence intensity did not produce a quenching effect on the burning particles at high temperature, because the chemical time at high temperature was relatively short. Therefore the combined effect of higher temperature, pressure and turbulence intensity increases the mass burning rate.

The trend of misfiring when spark timing is advanced also agrees with the experiments of Anderson and Lim (1985). The ignition energy and spark gap used in this study were respectively 6 mJ and 1 mm. The spark duration during which the selected 10 particles became fully burned was 2 degrees, or about 0.2 msec at 1500 RPM. The influence of these ignition variables will be discussed in the following sections.
4.7 IGNITION ENERGY

Ignition energy refers to the energy deposited in the ignited particles from the spark, as described in Chapter 2. The amount of energy required to initiate combustion is not investigated in this study. The objective of this study is to investigate flame propagation after ignition. The influence of the ignition energy on the flame propagation was investigated using several ignition energies: 3 mJ, 6 mJ, and 9 mJ. The engine speed was 1500 RPM and spark advance was 60 degrees before top dead center. The fuel equivalence ratio was 0.5, and the intake temperature and pressure were respectively 350°F and 0.5 atm. The turbulence intensity was 2 m/s at the closing of intake valve, and the spark gap was 1 mm. Table 9 lists the complete testing conditions. The result shows that the mass burning rate increased with increasing ignition energy (see Figure 14). The higher ignition energy caused the temperature of the ignited particles to increase, which in turn yielded a higher burning temperature when they mixed with other unburned particles. The adiabatic flame temperature increased from 2000°F to 2700°F as the ignition energy was increased from 3 mJ to 9 mJ. No misfire was observed even when the ignition energy was 3 mJ. This is not surprising. The model for ignition assumes that the mass within the spark gap is ignited, and initial flame propagation is supported by the heat release from the burned mass. In reality, heat is lost to the electrode, and a fraction of the mass within the spark may be ignited. Anderson and Lin (1985) related the minimum ignition energy to a quench distance, and stated that if the flame kernel grows to the size of the quench distance, propagation can be supported by the chemical heat release alone. Therefore, if the cases tested in the model had their initial flame kernel size at least as large as a theoretical quench distance, misfire due to insufficient ignition energy will not occur. The variation of spark gap size simulated by igniting a different initial volume represents another aspect of the effect of spark ignition on misfire.
4.8 SPARK GAP DISTANCE

The spark gap distances used were 0.5 mm, 1 mm and 2 mm. The ignition energy was held constant. In reality, heat loss to the spark plug electrode decreases when the gap distance is increased. However, the amount of energy being transferred to the ignited gas as a function of the gap distance is not established in this study. This energy depends on the specific design of the ignition system, which is beyond the scope of this study. The present tests were conducted with an ignition energy of 6 mJ and 2 degree spark duration. The engine ran at 1500 RPM and spark advance was set at 60 degrees before top dead center. Intake temperature and pressure were 350°K and 0.5 atm respectively, while the turbulence intensity at the closing of the intake valve was 2 m/s. Complete testing conditions are listed in Table 10. For the fuel equivalence ratio of 0.5, the result shows that the mass burning rate was not a strong function of spark gap. (see Figure 15). The burned particles of the smaller spark gap had a higher temperature (3000°K for 0.5 mm), because the same amount of ignition energy was deposited to all three cases. However, the higher temperature at 3000°K was offset by the small burned volume of 0.5 mm spark gap. The present ignition model is not able to predict the effect of spark gap distance due to the lack of a model for the heat losses through electrode and the unburned surrounding. If the heat loss through the electrode is taken into account, the burned temperature at small spark gap of 0.5 mm will be lower than 3000°K. If heat loss to the unburned surrounding is taken into account, the spark will not be able to ignite all the mass within the spark gap when the gap distance is large (2 mm). Therefore, a more realistic model should include the relationship between the spark gap, ignition energy and amount of mixture to be ignited.

4.9 ENGINE SPEED

The sensitivity of the model to the variation of engine speed was tested.
The change of engine speed alters the turbulence intensity at the closing of the intake valve. It is assumed that turbulence intensity varies linearly with RPM (Tabaczynski, 1976). The model was tested with 2 m/s of turbulence intensity at 1500 RPM and 4 m/s at 3000 RPM. Spark advance was set at 60 degrees before top dead center. The fuel equivalence ratio was 0.5, with the intake temperature and pressure of 350°K and 0.5 atm respectively. Table 11 lists the complete testing conditions. The results shown in Figure 16 indicated that the engine misfired right after ignition at 3000 RPM, while a fast burning rate was obtained at 1500 RPM. The large difference between the two cases was the result of the very high turbulence intensity generated at 3000 RPM. The turbulence intensity at the closing of the intake valve at 3000 RPM was assumed to be double that at 1500 RPM at the same intake temperature and pressure. In reality, the intake temperature and pressure is not necessarily the same as the engine speed increases from 1500 to 3000 RPM. Therefore the turbulence intensity will also be affected by the intake pressure. Nevertheless, the present result shows the trend toward misfire as engine speed and turbulence intensity increase.

4.10 NUMBER OF IGGITED PARTICLES

The number of particles to be ignited is an input variable in the model. The selection of its value is independent on the spark gap size, which determines the volume to be ignited. Therefore, it does not influence the amount of fuel mixture being burned initially, but it determines the size of each particle. One expects that the size of particle should be very small to closely simulate the actual phenomena, but an excessively small particle size and a large number of particles require longer computing time. The objective is to select a number of particles yielding reasonably accurate results with the least computing time. The model was tested by igniting 10, 25 and 50 particles, with the fuel equivalence ratio of 0.5. The intake temperature
and pressure were respectively 350°K and 0.5 atm. The turbulence intensity of 2 m/s was used, and the engine ran at 1500 RPM, with the spark advance set at 60 degrees before top dead center. Complete testing conditions are shown in Table 12. All three cases were computed using 5 minutes of CPU time. When 10 particles were ignited, 13 crank angle degrees of results were obtained, for 25 ignited particles, 11 degrees, and for 50 ignited particles, 8 degrees (see Figure 17). It is obvious that the smaller number of particles reduces computing time significantly. A comparison of the mass burning rate of the three cases shows that the case with larger number of particles burned slightly faster at the beginning, but the difference was reduced after a few crank angles. These results also reveal that the initial burning rate when 50 particles was used is smoother than the others. This is due to the more accurate modelling of the mass entrainment at the initial stage when the number of particles in the partially stirred reactor is large. However, after several particles are entrained into the reactor, the cases of 25 and 10 ignited particles also yield good accuracy. The slight difference in the results does not justify using a large number of particles because it uses a longer computing time. Therefore, all model testing was done using 10 ignited particles. The minimum number of ignited particles also depends on the turbulence intensity which controls both the entrainment and mixing of particles. A smaller number of ignited particles can be used for higher turbulence intensity since more particles will be entrained and mixed in a shorter period of time, eliminating the initial inaccuracy due to the small number of particles.

4.11 Partial Burn Limit

The above model tests have demonstrated several cases of misfire at the ignition limit. Ariči et al. (1983) believed that the ignition limit occurred more often than the partial burn limit for actual engine conditions. Peters (1979) observed that partial burn corresponded to slow burning cycles still burning at the opening
of the exhaust valve, rather than being quenched before the exhaust valve opened. However, quenching during the expansion stroke still occurs. This has led to the experimental study of Smith et al. (1977) in an expanding chamber. It was the purpose of this present model to simulate both the ignition and partial burn limited misfire. Therefore, the model will be tested for partial burn. Various attempts were made to create a partial burn cycle, but few were successful. This agrees with the observations of Peter (1979). The case of expansion stroke quenching is rare. However, two combinations of operating conditions shown in Table 13 were observed to create quenching during the expansion stroke. The engine displacement in these two cases was taken as about half the size of other tests, because much computing time can be saved with a smaller cylinder since it contains fewer particles. The specification of the engine is listed in Table 1. More efficient computation is necessary for partial burn cycles because more crank angles of results are required for a conclusion. The two cases observed had the same inlet conditions, at 290°K and 0.5 atm, as well as a turbulence intensity of 3 m/s at 3000 RPM when the intake valve was closed. The first case deposited 1 mJ of energy to the ignited gas at the spark advance of 10 degrees before the top dead center, while the second case deposited 3 mJ of ignition energy at 30 degrees before top dead center. The flame was quenched at 25 degrees after top dead center in the first case (Figure 18), which was 35 degrees after ignition, while the quenching occurred at 15 degrees after top dead center in the second case, (Figure 19), about 45 degrees after ignition. The mass burned fractions in both cases were less than one percent, but the flame had entrained respectively 40 and 50 percent of the volume in the two cases. The results of ignition misfire indicate that about one percent of volume was entrained by the flame. The partial burned cycles are distinguished from the ignition misfire by the following conditions. Firstly, the volume entrained at partial burned limit is near 50%, while the ignition misfiring cycles entrained about 1% of the volume. Secondly, partial burn occurs in the expansion stroke, but the ignition misfire occurs in the
compression stroke. In the present study, the model could not reproduce the same data reported by Peters (1979). The two examples of partial burn in the present study have a mass burn fraction of less than 1%, but Peters (1979) reported that 20% of mass had burned in some cycles which were quenched during the expansion stroke. The small percentage of mass burn fraction predicted by the present model is possibly due to the lack of age mixing in the flame zone. In reality, only particles near each other can mix. However, the present model allows particles anywhere in the flame zone to mix. The lack of age mixing in the model affects the result of partial burn more severely than the ignition misfire, because the flame zone volume is large in the partially burned cycles. Therefore, introducing age mixing may prove to predict partial burn more adequately.

4.12 AGE MIXING

Age mixing allows only the neighbouring particles to mix. A particle close to the spark location cannot mix with one that is entrained later in time and therefore far away from the spark. The mixing of particles that are entrained at different time is controlled using the following procedure:

1. The burned particles from ignition are assigned to be at age 1.

2. For each time interval, unburned particles are entrained behind the flame front, creating a new age of particles. The ages of particles are assigned according to the order in which they are entrained. For example, the particles entrained one time interval after ignition are assigned to be at age 2, and those entrained in the next time interval are at age 3.

3. Particles with an age difference of one are allowed to mix. The mixing starts between age 1 and 2, followed by age 2 and 3 and so on. Mixing procedure is completed when all ages of particles have participated.
The procedure for age mixing is performed by subroutine AGEMIX (appendix L). Subroutine RANMIX is used when the volume behind the flame front is treated as a partially stirred reactor, without using the age mixing procedure. Tests for the ignition misfire regime and partial burn regime were performed. Results of the age mixing model are shown in Figures 20 to 22. Figure 20 shows that at early spark advance (60° BTDC), the burning rate predicted by the age mixing model is slower than that without age mixing. Age mixing allows fewer unburned particles to mix with burned ones, reducing the probability of creating burning particles. The result is a reduction in burning rate. This result is not expected to change the trend of the ignition limit although it predicts a higher equivalence ratio at the ignition limit. Figures 21 and 22 show that at late spark timings (at 10° and 30° BTDC), the age mixing model did not predict partial burn while the model without age mixing did. The burning rates predicted by the age mixing model are faster. At late spark timing, the turbulence intensity is high, and more unburned particles are entrained. The large number of unburned particles compared to burning and burned ones reduces the probability of mixing between unburned and burned or between unburned and burning particles (The highest probability of mixing two types of particles occurs when their numbers are about the same). Restricting mixing between neighbouring reaction zones by age mixing reduces the number of unburned allowed to mix with burned and burning. Therefore, age mixing increases burning rate. It is concluded that for the ignition limit, age mixing is not expected to alter the trend predicted by the model without age mixing. However, the age mixing model did not predict partial burn while the model without age mixing did. Further studies on the partial burn limit are required.
CHAPTER 5
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 CONCLUSION

The model was developed to study misfire in lean combustion in spark ignition engines. It has the capability to simulate both the ignition limit and the partial burn limit. Tests have been performed extensively for the ignition limited cases. It usually requires no more than 20 degrees of crank angles after ignition to observe whether the ignition limit is reached, but the result after TDC is usually required to determine if the partial burn limit is reached. The results of partial burn cycles suggest that the concept of age mixing should be investigated. It was also observed that there was only a narrow range of combination of variables for which partial burn would occur when age mixing was not used.

5.1.1 IGNITION LIMIT

The testing on the effect of mixture, engine and ignition system variables on the ignition limit led to the following conclusions.

1. Turbulence intensity is a critical parameter for ignition limit.
2. Pressure does not have very strong effect on ignition limit, but it affects the flow rate into the cylinder, and the turbulence intensity is affected accordingly.
3. Temperature is another important parameter affecting the ignition limit.
4. Fuel equivalence ratio, the parameter often used to measure ignition limit, has great influence on ignition misfire.
5. Spark advance combines the effect of temperature, pressure and turbulence intensity. It shows the dominant effect of temperature, while turbulence intensity enhances flame propagation at higher temperature.

6. Ignition energy has an effect on the early burning rate, but does not influence the ignition limit as much as temperature and turbulence intensity.

7. Spark gap does not have direct influence on ignition limit, but the present ignition model lacks the detailed relations between ignition energy, gap distance and mass burned.

8. The model is not sensitive to the number of particles to be ignited initially, provided the number is sufficiently large, depending on the turbulence intensity.

9. Engine speed does not affect the ignition limit directly, but it changes turbulence intensity, and that has a strong effect on ignition limit.

   The parameters affecting the ignition limit are the turbulence intensity, temperature and fuel equivalence ratio. The turbulence intensity controls flame propagation and particle mixing, while the temperature and fuel equivalence ratio control the chemical rate. Therefore, one can conclude that the balance of mixing and chemical rate is of great importance in engine misfire. High frequency of mixing enhances burning rate at high temperature and equivalence ratio. If the mixing frequency is further increased, it will adversely affect the burning rate, particularly at low temperature and equivalence ratio. In other words, the comparison of chemical time to mixing time determines the occurrence of misfire.

5.1.2 PARTIAL BURN AND AGE MIXING

   Extensive parametric study on the partial burn limit was not performed due to the narrow range of combination of variables for which partial burn would
occur, and the longer computing time for the study. Therefore, it is not known how
different parameters will influence the partial burn limit. However, cases of partial
burn limited misfire have been obtained. The flame had propagated to 50 percent of
the volume when it was quenched, but the mass burned fraction remained very small
(less than 1 percent). The concept of age mixing was introduced in an attempt to
improve the result of partial burn cycles. It was found that in the ignition misfire
regime (early spark advance), the model of age mixing predicts a slower burning
rate than that without age mixing. In the partial burn regime (late spark advance),
the burning rate predicted by the age mixing model is faster than that without age
mixing. The age mixing model did not predict partial burn when the model without
age mixing did. More studies on partial burn cases are required. The difference in
burning rate prediction between the model with age mixing and without age mixing
is due to the probability of mixing between unburned and other particles.

5.2 RECOMMENDATION

The major difficulty encountered in the development of the model is the com-
puting time required to yield a reasonable amount of results. There has not been a
single run of the Fortran program giving results of a complete cycle. Only about 20
to 40 crank angle degrees of results has been obtained in most tests. Therefore, a
more efficient algorithm should be included in the future development of this model,
particularly for the method of solving the ODE system of the chemical kinetics.

A more efficient computation will make possible a more extensive study of
the partial burn limit. A parametric study similar to the one performed on the
ignition limit described in the previous chapter will help to understand the behavior
of partial burn in engines. The age mixing model was implemented and initial tests
were performed. However, ignition limit and partial burn limit have not been studied
using the age mixing model as extensively as the model without age mixing. In the
partial burn regime, the age mixing model has not shown any occurrence of partial burn. Therefore, it is recommended that more studies on age mixing, particularly for partial burn cases, are required.

The model presently assumes that ignition always takes place regardless of the amount of ignition energy supplied to the unburned gas surrounding the spark plug. Therefore, it showed that the occurrence of misfire is not greatly influenced by the ignition energy. This is not true in reality. A more detailed ignition model including the relationship between the spark gap distance, ignition energy and the mass or volume ignited by the given energy should be considered in future development.

The rapid distortion theory without dissipation of kinetic energy is presently used to calculate turbulence intensity. A more accurate model should include the dissipation. The lean misfire limit is strongly influenced by the turbulence intensity; therefore, a turbulence model including dissipation may prove to be important, especially in the expansion stroke. The present model does not take into account the residual fraction or exhaust gas recirculation. If such parameters are of interest, they should also be incorporated into the model. Heat transfer is another aspect the present model does not include, and it is a possible future development.

The model constants have not been adjusted with any actual engine experiment due to the unavailability of complete data and engine specification. Therefore, any future application of the model has to include constants validation with actual engine experiments.
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TABLE 1
ENGINE SPECIFICATIONS

(For Testings of Ignition Limit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bore</td>
<td>8.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>8.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Connecting Rod</td>
<td>15.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Plug Offset from Center</td>
<td>1.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(For Testings of Partial Burn,
Age Mixing, and Empirical Constants)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bore</td>
<td>4.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>4.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Connecting Rod</td>
<td>7.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Plug Offset from Center</td>
<td>0.75 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression Ratio</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constants</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constants:  
A = Pre-exponential constant  
B = Activation Energy  
C = Constant for Mixing Frequency
### TABLE 3
TESTING CONDITIONS: VARIATION OF TURBULENCE INTENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>1500.</th>
<th>1500.</th>
<th>1500.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>300.</td>
<td>300.</td>
<td>300.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Slower burning</td>
<td>Fastest burning</td>
<td>Misfire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles ignited</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Burning rate decreased with increasing pressure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Conditions: Variation of Pressure &amp; Turbulence Intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Burning rate decreased with increasing turbulence intensity.</td>
<td>Misfire.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 6: Testing Conditions: Variation of Intake Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Burning rate increased with increasing temperature.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Result: Misfire. Burning rate increased with increasing equivalence ratio.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>350.</td>
<td>350.</td>
<td>350.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>90.</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Misfire. Burning rate increased with decreasing spark advance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>350.</td>
<td>350.</td>
<td>350.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Burning rate increased with increasing ignition energy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| TABLE 10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TESTING CONDITIONS : VARIATION OF SPARK GAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine Speed (RPM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II
TESTING CONDITIONS: VARIATION OF ENGINE SPEED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>1500.</th>
<th>3000.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Pressure (atm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Temperature (K)</td>
<td>350.</td>
<td>350.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Advance (BTDC)</td>
<td>60.</td>
<td>60.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignition Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Gap (mm)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Particles Ignited</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Normal combustion</td>
<td>Misfire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 12

**Testing Conditions: Variation of No. of Ignited Particles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engine Speed (RPM)</strong></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</strong></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equivalence Ratio</strong></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake Pressure (atm)</strong></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake Temperature (K)</strong></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spark Advance (BTDC)</strong></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</strong></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ignition Energy (mJ)</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Particles Ignited</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result</strong></td>
<td>Burning rate increased slightly with increasing no. of ignited particles just after ignition. Difference decreased afterward.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 13
**TESTING CONDITIONS : PARTIAL BURN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>3000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engine Speed (RPM)</strong></td>
<td>140.</td>
<td>140.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closing of Intake Valve (BTDC)</strong></td>
<td>120.</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opening of Exhaust Valve (ATDC)</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equivalence Ratio</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turbulence Intensity at Closing of Intake Valve (m/sec)</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake Pressure (atm)</strong></td>
<td>290.</td>
<td>290.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intake Temperature (K)</strong></td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spark Advance (BTDC)</strong></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spark Duration (Crank Angles)</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ignition Energy (mJ)</strong></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spark Gap (mm)</strong></td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result</strong></td>
<td>Partial burn: Flame was quenched after travelling over 50% of volume.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 14

Coefficients for Polynomial Fit to Thermodynamic Properties

Coefficients for temperature between 100°K to 500°K:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>(a_{i1})</th>
<th>(a_{i2})</th>
<th>(a_{i3})</th>
<th>(a_{i4})</th>
<th>(a_{i5})</th>
<th>(a_{i6})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>11.94033</td>
<td>2.088581</td>
<td>-0.47029</td>
<td>0.037363</td>
<td>-0.589447</td>
<td>-97.1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>6.139941</td>
<td>4.60783</td>
<td>-0.935609</td>
<td>0.06669498</td>
<td>0.0335801</td>
<td>-56.62588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>7.095556</td>
<td>1.275957</td>
<td>-0.2877457</td>
<td>0.022356</td>
<td>-0.1598696</td>
<td>-27.73464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H(_2)</td>
<td>5.555680</td>
<td>1.787191</td>
<td>-0.2881342</td>
<td>0.01951547</td>
<td>0.1611828</td>
<td>0.76498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>7.865847</td>
<td>0.6883719</td>
<td>-0.031944</td>
<td>-0.00268708</td>
<td>-0.2013873</td>
<td>-0.893455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>6.897771</td>
<td>1.453404</td>
<td>-0.328985</td>
<td>0.02561035</td>
<td>-0.1189462</td>
<td>-0.331835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficients for temperature between 500°K to 6000°K:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>(a_{i1})</th>
<th>(a_{i2})</th>
<th>(a_{i3})</th>
<th>(a_{i4})</th>
<th>(a_{i5})</th>
<th>(a_{i6})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>4.737305</td>
<td>16.65283</td>
<td>-11.23219</td>
<td>2.828001</td>
<td>0.00676702</td>
<td>-93.75793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>7.809672</td>
<td>-0.2023519</td>
<td>3.418708</td>
<td>-1.179013</td>
<td>0.00143629</td>
<td>-57.08004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CO(_2)</td>
<td>6.97393</td>
<td>-0.8238319</td>
<td>2.942042</td>
<td>-1.176239</td>
<td>0.006512409</td>
<td>-27.19597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H(_2)</td>
<td>6.991878</td>
<td>0.1617044</td>
<td>-0.2182071</td>
<td>0.2968197</td>
<td>-0.01625234</td>
<td>-0.118189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>O(_2)</td>
<td>6.295715</td>
<td>2.388387</td>
<td>-0.0314788</td>
<td>-0.3267433</td>
<td>0.00435925</td>
<td>0.103637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N(_2)</td>
<td>7.6922</td>
<td>-1.2958</td>
<td>3.2069</td>
<td>-1.2022</td>
<td>-0.0003458</td>
<td>-0.013967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Flame Front Geometry in the Combustion Chamber
Figure 2. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Mixing Frequency constant (C)

Legend
- $C = 0.02$
- $C = 0.03$
- $C = 0.04$
Figure 3. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Activation Energy (B)
Figure 4. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Pre-exponential Constant (A)

Legend
- □ $A = 2.0 \times 10^{11}$ Kcal/mole
- □ $A = 4.3 \times 10^{11}$ Kcal/mole
- ● $A = 6.6 \times 10^{11}$ Kcal/mole
Figure 5. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different level of Turbulence Intensity

Legend
- $U' = 1 \text{ m/sec}$
- $U' = 2 \text{ m/sec}$
- $U' = 3 \text{ m/sec}$
Figure 6. Pressure versus Crank Angle for different level of Turbulence Intensity

Legend
- ■ $U' = 1 \text{ m/sec}$
- □ $U' = 2 \text{ m/sec}$
- ● $U' = 3 \text{ m/sec}$
Figure 7. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Intake Pressure.

Legend
- ■ P = 0.2 atm
- ○ P = 0.5 atm
- ● P = 0.8 atm
Figure 8. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Intake Pressure and Turbulence Intensity
Figure 9. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Intake Temperature

- Mass Burn Fraction (%)
- Crank Angle (degree)

- □ T = 200 K
- □ T = 250 K
- ■ T = 300 K
- ○ T = 350 K
- △ T = 400 K
Figure 10. Pressure versus Crank Angle for different Intake Temperature
Figure 11. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Equivalence Ratio
Figure 12. Pressure versus Crank Angle for different Equivalence Ratio

Legend
- ■ PHl = 0.3
- □ PHl = 0.5
- • PHl = 0.7
- ○ PHl = 0.9
Figure 13. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle after ignition for different Spark Advance

Legend:
- Spark Advance 30 BTDC
- Spark Advance 60 BTDC
- Spark Advance 90 BTDC
Figure 14. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Ignition Energy

Legend

- ■ E = 3 mJ
- □ E = 6 mJ
- ● E = 9 mJ
Figure 15. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Spark Gap Size

Legend
- ■ GAP = 0.5 mm
- □ GAP = 1 mm
- ● GAP = 2 mm
Figure 16. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Engine Speed

Legend
- ■ 1500 RPM
- □ 3000 RPM
Figure 17. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for different Number of Ignited Particles

Legend
- ■ Nb = 10
- □ Nb = 25
- ● Nb = 50
Figure 18. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for a Partial Burn Cycle
Spark Advance: 10 degrees BTDC
Figure 19. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for a Partial Burn Cycle
Spark Advance: 30 degrees BTDC
Figure 20. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for the inclusion of Age Mixing
Figure 21. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for the inclusion of Age Mixing at Partial Burn
Figure 22. Mass Burn Fraction versus Crank Angle for the inclusion of Age Mixing at Partial Burn
APPENDIX A

DIMENSION A(6,6,2)
DIMENSION (A(1),A(1,1,1))
DIMENSION (A(2),A(1,1,2))
COMMON/CNTJ/NU,ICLD,IKN
COMMON/CNTJ,IF1,IF2,IF3
COMMON/JANAF/A(1),A(2),A(3)
COMMON/UGASP/CU(7),MBAR,RL,GAMU,CPU,HU,THO
COMMON/BDASP/CCS(7),MBAR,RL,GAM,CPB,H
COMMON/THOM/P,RO2,PSACL,PSCOR
COMMON/CNDRS,PRESS
COMMON/FUEL,EN2,CX,HY,DS,ST
COMMON/OxIDANT/X1
COMMON/CHAR/RESFRK,RICH,LEAN
COMMON/CHAR/PHIFR,PHIEGR
COMMON/CHARGE/PHI
COMMON/MEST,ASS,3,VL,3,T03
COMMON/BURN/FFR(999),1BURN(999)
COMMON/IDN/IDN(999)
COMMON/AGE,NACE,NP,500,FRM(500)
COMMON/PARN3/N,NT,HL,1,1,N3
COMMON/EGN/NO
COMMON/AFRN/V,TOTMX,PM
COMMON/MVOL/M(7)
COMMON/TMVOL/TEMP(999),Vol(999)
COMMON/MOLES/TOTMX,TOTMB
COMMON/CRANGL/SA,CRG,CR GSTP
COMMON/STEP/TIMSTP
COMMON/COV/H/ECB,8
REAL Y(1000),YPRF(1000)
REAL X,XEND,INTER,1M
REAL MU,MB,MBU,MGB,MBARU1,MBAR1,MBARB,MBARAD
REAL MBRB,MBARAB2
REAL MASS,ME,NE,MB,RE,NN
DOUBLE PRECISION DSED
DATA ERRMAX,NAXM/1,E-4,50/

C TO CALCULATE THE PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE OF THE MIXTURE BEFORE
C IGNITION, THE INTAKE VALVE IS ASSUMED TO CLOSE AT 120 BTC, WHEN
C THE PRESSURE IS ASSUMED TO BE 0.6 ATM, AND TEMPERATURE TO BE 373 K.
C
C SAI = SPARK ADVANCE
C SAI = CRANK ANGLE AT CLOSE OF INTAKE VALVE (BTC)
C GAMA = RATIO OF SPECIFIC HEAT
C BI = PRESSURE AT CLOSE OF INTAKE VALVE
C TIN = TEMPERATURE AT CLOSE OF INTAKE VALVE
C PO = PRESSURE BEFORE IGNITION
C TO = TEMPERATURE BEFORE IGNITION

C

UP=0
ICLD=1
IKN=0

C INPUT PARAMETERS ( EQUIVALENCE RATIO INPUT FROM BLOCK DATA )

PEC = 4.35E+11
B = 30000.
C = 0.025
PRINT: PEC = '.PEC,' B = '.B,' C = '.C
PM = 3000.
CRGSTP = 1./4.
SAIN = 140.
TIN = 270.
PIN = 0.7
UP = 300.
SAL = -10.
SPDR = 2.
EIGN = 6.3-03/4.184
CART = 0.10
NS = 5.
SAF = 120.
TIMSTP = 1.6/RPM * CRGSTP
SAI=SAIN
CALL UPROP(PIN,TIN,ENTHU,CSUBP,RHO)
RHO=RHO
PRINT*, '++++++++++++++ AT CLOSE OF INTAKE +++++++++++++++'
PRINT*, ' RPM',' RPM',' UPRTM',' R',' G/M SEC'
PRINT*, ' SAI=',SAIN,
VTO(SAI)=',VTO(SAI)
PRINT*, ' TIN=',TIN,
PIN=',PIN
TI=TIN
P1=P1
DO 100 SAI=SAIN,0.5
CALL UPROP(P1,T1,ENTHU,CSUBP,RHO)
PO=(VTO(SA)/VTO(SAI))**((-GAMU)*P1
T0=(PO/P1)**((GAMU-1)/GAMU)*T1
P1=PO
T1=T0
SAI=SAIN
CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
SAI=SAIN
PRINT*, '++++++++++++++ AT IGNITION +++++++++++++++'
PRINT*, ' SAI=',SAI,' VTO(SA)=',.VTO(SA)
PRINT*, ' PO=',PO,' TO=',TO
C
C IGNITION IS MODELLED AS BURNING NB PARTICLES OF THE SIZE OF THE
C SPARK PLUG GAP IN THE ENSEMBLE OF N PARTICLES. IN THE
C FOLLOWING EQUATIONS, EQUATIONS REPRESENTING THE VOLUME AND
C INTERNAL ENERGY ARE TO BE SOLVED FOR THE UNKNOWN
C BURNED PARTICLE PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE.
C
C EO = TOTAL INTERNAL ENERGY OF THE MIXTURE
C EBP = INTERNAL ENERGY OF THE BURNED PARTICLE
C EU = INTERNAL ENERGY OF THE UNBURNED PARTICLES
C PO = CYLINDER PRESSURE BEFORE IGNITION
C TO = TEMPERATURE BEFORE IGNITION
C VTO(SA) = CHAMBER VOLUME BEFORE IGNITION, AT SPARK ADVANCE (SA)
C PRESS = CYLINDER PRESSURE AFTER A PARTICLE IS BURNED
C TBI = TEMPERATURE OF THE BURNED PARTICLE
C TU = TEMPERATURE OF THE UNBURNED AFTER A PARTICLE IS BURNED
C V = VOLUME OF CHAMBER AFTER A PARTICLE IS BURNED
C VB = VOLUME OF THE BURNED PARTICLES
C VN = VOLUME OF THE UNBURNED AFTER A PARTICLE IS BURNED
C N = TOTAL NUMBER OF PARTICLES IN CHAMBER
C NB = NUMBER OF PARTICLES BURNED
C EIGN = IGNITION ENERGY, ASSUMED TO BE 30 MJ.( 1CAL=4.184 J )
C ( 6. MJ IN 0.2 MSEC )
C SPDR = SPARK PLUG TRANSFER IN DEGREES AT CRANK ANGLE
C = 2 DEGREES AT 1500 RPM FOR DURATION OF 0.2 MSEC.
C
C PRINT*, ' IGN ENERGY=',EIGN,' CAL, SPARK DURATION=',SPDR,' DEG'
C VOLUME OF SPARK IS CALCULATED AS THAT OF A CYLINDER WITH 0.25CM DIA.
C
C VGAP=3.1416X.4X.025**2X.08
C
C PRINT*, ' N=',N,' NB=',NB
C PRINT*, ' GAP=',GAP,' IGN DIA=',(6X.3141XVGAP)**(1X.3)
C PRESS=PO
C V=VTO(SA)+SPDR
C CALL UPROP(P,O,TO,ENTHU,CSUBP,RHO)
C EO=ENTHU*1000X.RU*TO
C MASS=RHO*VTO(SA)
C PRINT*, ' MASS FLOW PER CYCLE=',Mасс, ' GRAM'
C EO=EO**MSS
C PRINT*, ' PHI=',PHI
C EBI=(NB/N)*EO+EIGN
C EU=(N-NB)/N*EO
C E01=EBI+EU
C PRINT*, ' EBI,EU',' EBI,EU
C X=0.
C PPLUS=PO**0.00225*SPDR
C
DO 25 I = 1, 150
  TU = TO*(PRESS/PO)**((GAMU-1.)/GAMU)
  CALL UPROP(PRESS, TU, ENTHU, CSUBP, RHO)
  EU = ENTHU*1000.-RU*TU
  EU = EU*WASS*(N-NB)/N
  VU = ((N-NB)/N)*VTO(SA)*((PO/PRESS)**(1./GAMU))
  WORKU = (PRESS*PO*PSCALE*VU-VTO(SA)*(N-NB)/N)/2.
  VB = V-VU
  TBI = 2000.
  CALL CLDPRD(PRESS, TBI, HB, CSUBP, RHOB, IER)
  TBI = VB*PRESS/(RB/PSCALE)/(MASS*NB/N)
  IF (ABS(TB2-TBI)/LE.0.5) GO TO 96
  95 CONTINUE
  96 EB = HB*1000.-RB*TBI
  EB = EB*WASS*NB/N
  WORKB = (PRESS*PO*PSCALE*(VB-VTO(SA)*NB/N))/2.
  EO = EU+WORKB+EB+WORKB
  C PRINT*, 'PRESS,EB+WORKB,EU+WORKU : ',PRESS,EB+WORKB,EU+WORKU
  IF(ABS(EB+WORKB+EO)/EO/LE.0.010.AND.
     1 ABS(EU+WORKU+EO)/EO/LE.0.010) GO TO 15
  IF(1.GE.50) STOP
  IF(X.EQ.0. AND.(EB+WORKB)/EI/LE.1.) THEN
  PRESS = PRESS + PPLUS
  ELSE
  X = X +1.
  IF(EB+WORKB)/EI/LE.1.) THEN
  PRESS = PRESS + (PPLUS/(2.*X))
  ELSE
  PRESS = PRESS -(PPLUS/(2.*X))
  ELSEIF ENDIF
  25 CONTINUE
  15 SA = SA+SDR
  PRINT*, '++++++++++++++++++++++ AFTER IGNITION++++++++++++++++++++++'
  PRINT*, 'SA = ',SA,' PRESS = ',PRESS,' ATM'
  PRINT*, 'TU = ',TU,' TBI = ',TBI
  PRINT*, 'VU = ',VU,' VBI = ',VBI
  PRINT*, 'BU/BNB = ',(6.3.1415*VBI)**(1./3.)
  PRINT*, 'BURNED DIA = ',BURNED_DIA
  PRINT*, 'RHO = ',RHO,' RHO/RHOB = ',RHO/RHOB
  PRINT*, 'EU = ',EU,' EB = ',EB
  PRINT*, 'WORKU = ',WORKU,' WORKB = ',WORKB
  PRINT*, 'EO = ',EO,' EOT = ',EOT

C TEMP(I) = TEMP OF PARTICULATE I
C VOL(I) = VOLUME OF PARTICULATE I
C FFR(I) = FUEL FRACTION OF PARTICULATE I
C
DO 110 I = 1, NB
  TEMP(I) = TBI
  VOL(I) = VB/NB
  FFR(I) = 0.
  IDN(I) = 0

110 CONTINUE

FO = FUEL FRACTION OF AN UNBURNED PARTICLE
C
FO = 44./((44.+5.)(32.+3.76*28.)/PHI)
PRINT*, 'UNBURNED FFR = ',FO,' UBN FUEL CONC. = ',FO/44.,' MOL/G'
DO 120 I = NB+1, MIN(N,9999)
  TEMP(I) = TU
  VOL(I) = VU/(N-NB)
  FFR(I) = FO
  IDN(I) = 0

120 CONTINUE

NT = NB
W = MASS/N
ME = NT*M
CALL COUNT(NT)

APP01510
APP01520
APP01530
APP01540
APP01550
APP01560
APP01570
APP01580
APP01590
APP01600
APP01610
APP01620
APP01630
APP01640
APP01650
APP01660
APP01670
APP01680
APP01690
APP01700
APP01710
APP01720
APP01730
APP01740
APP01750
APP01760
APP01770
APP01780
APP01790
APP01800
APP01810
APP01820
APP01830
APP01840
APP01850
APP01860
APP01870
APP01880
APP01890
APP01900
APP01910
APP01920
APP01930
APP01940
APP01950
APP01960
APP01970
APP01980
APP01990
APP02000
APP02010
APP02020
APP02030
APP02040
APP02050
APP02060
APP02070
APP02080
APP02090
APP02100
APP02110
APP02120
APP02130
APP02140
APP02150
APP02160
APP02170
APP02180
APP02190
APP02200
APP02210
APP02220
APP02230
APP02240
APP02250
APP02260
WM(1)=44.
WM(2)=18.
WM(3)=28.
WM(4)=32.
WM(5)=28.
WM(7)=44.

C
FT=0
XT=FFT/44.
Y1=(M(1,-1,FO)/(1+3.76*WM(6)/WM(5))
1 -5*(FO-FT)*WM(8)/M
V=3.76+WM(1,-1,FO)/WM(5)/(1+3.76*WM(6)/WM(5))/M
TOTKU=KT+Y1+V1

C
V=3.76+M/(1-FO)/WM(5)/(1+3.76*WM(6)/WM(5))/M
Z=3*(FO-FF1)*WM(8)/WM(7)/WM(7)/M
W=4*(FO-FF1)*WM(9)/WM(7)/WM(7)/M
TOTN=V1+V1+Z1

C
PRINT*, 'CRGSTP =', CRGSTP, 'TIMSTOP =', TIMSTOP
WRITE(*,6000)
6000 FORMAT('4X,'SA',7X,'VTO',6X,'PCOM',5X,'PRESS',
1 4X,'UPRIM',4X,'VER%',4X,'BWF%',5X,'N1',5X,'N2',5X,'N3',
1 3X,'ETOTAL',5X,'TIAV',5X,'TIAV',6X,'TU')

C
I=PO
IT=TO
DP=0.
WOWK=0.
HALAST=0.
IUP=0.
ICLDT=0.
IKINT=0.
FNT=0.
DO 998 IAGE = 1,500
FM(IAGE)=0.
998 CONTINUE
NAGE=0.
DSEED=1234567.000

C
DO 999 CRNLG = SA,SAF,CRGSTP
C TO CALCULATE PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE FOR MOVING CYCLE
C
DO 101 SS=SAI,SA+CRGSTP,CRGSTP
CALL UPROP(P1,T1,ENTH,CSUBT,RHO)
PCOM=(VTO(SS)/VTO(SAI))**(1-(GAMU)*P1)
TCOM=(PCOM/P1)**((GAMU-1)/GAMU)*T1
DP1=(PCOM-P1)/TIMSTOP
P1=PCOM
T1=TCOM
SAI=SS
101 CONTINUE

C
PRESS=PRESS
DPD=DP+PSCALE
XEND=TIMSTOP

C
IF(N1.EQ.0) THEN
CALL ISCOMP(VTO(SA),VTO(SA+CRGSTP),PRESS,POUT)
PRESS=POUT
ENDIF
IF(N1.EQ.0) GO TO 19

C
IF=0
IF=0
IUP=0
ICLDT=0
ICLDT=ICLDT+ICLD
IKINT=IKINT+1KIN

C
APP02290
APP02300
APP02310
APP02320
APP02330
APP02340
APP02350
APP02360
APP02370
APP02380
APP02400
APP02410
APP02420
APP02430
APP02440
APP02450
APP02460
APP02470
APP02480
APP02490
APP02500
APP02510
APP02520
APP02530
APP02540
APP02550
APP02560
APP02570
APP02580
APP02590
APP02600
APP02610
APP02620
APP02630
APP02640
APP02650
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APP02670
APP02680
APP02690
APP02700
APP02710
APP02720
APP02730
APP02740
APP02750
APP02760
APP02770
APP02780
APP02790
APP02800
APP02810
APP02820
APP02830
APP02840
APP02850
APP02860
APP02870
APP02880
APP02890
APP02900
APP02910
APP02920
APP02930
APP02940
APP02950
APP02960
APP02970
APP02980
APP02990
APP03000
APP03010
APP03020
APP03030
CALL COUNT(NT)
DO 131 I = 1, NT
   IF(ILBURN(I).NE.1) IDN(I)=0
131 CONTINUE
PRINT*, 'N1 = ', N1, ' N3 = ', N3
C... S=SA+CRGSTP
   DP=PRESS*PRESS/2
C PRINT*, 'DPDT OF COMPRESSION =', DPST, 'DPDT OF COMBUSTION =', DPST
   IF(N1.NE.0) PRINT*, 'FLAME IS QUENCHED'
   IF(N1+N3.EQ.0) STOP
   T1AV=0.
   T3AV=0.
   DO 29 I = 1, NT
      IF(ILBURN(I).EQ.1) T1AV=T1AV+TEMP(I)
   29 CONTINUE
   IF(ILBURN(I).EQ.3) T3AV=T3AV+TEMP(I)
C TO CALCULATE TOTAL INTERNAL ENERGY OF THE SYSTEM
C AND AVERAGE BURNING AND BURNED GAS DENSITY (ROHAE)
C
   ETOTAL=0.
   IN=0
   DO 102 J=1, NT
      IF(ILBURN(J).EQ.3) THEN
         CALL CLADDR(PRESS,TEMP(J),HB,CP,RHOB,IER)
         ETOTAL=ETOTAL+HB*(1000.-R*TEMP(J))
         VOLS=VOLS+VOL(J)
      ENDIF
      IF(ILBURN(J).EQ.2) THEN
         CALL UPROP(PRESS,TEMP(J),ENTHU,CSUBP,RHO)
         ETOTAL=ETOTAL+ENTHU*(1000.-R*TEMP(J))
      ENDIF
      IF(ILBURN(J).EQ.1.AN.D.IDN(J).EQ.0) THEN
         N=N+1
         JUMP=J
      ENDIF
      CALL KINTER(Y, IN, MU, WC, INTER)
      ETOTAL=ETOTAL+INTER*R*TMX
      VOLS=VOLS+VOL(J)
      IF(JUMP.EQ.1) GO TO 102
      DO 301 I = 1, NT
         IF(IDN(I).EQ.J) JUMP=1
         IF(IDC(I).EQ.0) GO TO 302
301 CONTINUE
502 CONTINUE
RHAEV=(N1+N3)*M/VOLS
   CALL UPROP(PRESS,TEMP(N1+1),ENTHU,CSUBP,RHO)
   ETOTAL=ETOTAL+(N-N)*ENTHU*(1000.-R*TEMP(N1+1))
   DWORK=(PRESS+PRESS)*SCALE*(VTO(SA)-VTO(SA-CRGSTP))/2.
   WORK=WORK+DWORK
   ETOTAL=ETOTAL+DWORK
   IF(ABS((ETOTAL-ETOT)/ETOT).GT.0.10) THEN
      PRINT*, 'EOT = ', EOT, ' ETOTAL = ', ETOTAL,
      '1ST LAW IS VIOLATED !'
      IF(ABS((ETOTAL-ETOT)/ETOT).GT.0.10) STOP
C
C VE = ENTRAILED VOLUME
C
VE=0.
VFL=0.
DO 18 IE=1, NT+1
   IF(IE.LE.NT) VE=VE+VOL(IE)
   IF(IE.GT.NT) V=VOL(IE)*(N-NT)
18 CONTINUE
V=ABS(VE+VU-VTO(SA))/VTO(SA)
IF(VD,GT,0.05)
 1 PRINT*, 'VTO(SA) =', VTO(SA), ', VE+VU =', VE+VU.
 1 '... PERFECT GAS LAW (VOLUME CONSTRAINT) IS VIOLATED!'
IF(VD,GT,0.05) STOP
VER=VE/(VE+VU)*100.

CALL SHARE(SA,VE,H,RE,AE)

C UX = TURBULENT INTENSITY AT CLOSE OF INTAKE VALVE (CM/SEC)
C RHOO = DENSITY (UNBURNED) AT CLOSE OF INTAKE VALVE
C UPRIM = TURBULENT INTENSITY (CM/SEC)

TU=TEMP(N+1)
CALL SPEED(UO,RHOO,TU,PRESS,UPRIM,SL)
UT=((2.*RHO)/(3.*RHOAVE))**.5*UPRIM+SL

DT=MSTP
RHO=(N-NT)*M/VU
ME=ME+DT*AE*UT*RHO
NT=NT+1
PRINT*, 'NAGE=',NAGE
IF(NAGE,GT,500) PRINT*, ' NAGE > 500 '
IF(NAGE,GT,500) STOP
NP(NAGE)=NT-NTP

C BMF = BURNT MASS FRACTION OF THE SYSTEM
C
BMF=0.
DO 31 I=1,NT
  BMF=BMF+(1.-FFR(I))/FO
31 CONTINUE
BMF=BMF/N**100.

C CONSTANT C IS IN SEC/CM**2

CALL RANMIX(WITHOUT AGE MIXING, CALL AGEMIX WITH AGE MIXING)

CALL RANMIX(DELT,W,NM,FM1,DSEE)
CALL AGEMIX(DELT,W,NM,DSEE)

C PRINT*, 'W =', W, ', NM =', NM
I IF(ABS(SA-INT(SA)),EQ,0.) THEN
  WRITE(6,601)SA,VTO(SA),PCOM,PRESS,UPRIM,VER,BMF,
  NT,N1,N5,TOTAL,T1AV,T3AV,TORP(NT+1)
  3X,F7.3,3X,F7.3,3X,14.3X,14,3X,14.3X,F6.2,1)
  3X,F6.1,3X,F6.1,3X,F5.1)
END IF

999 CONTINUE
STOP
END

SUBROUTINE PRTY

SUBROUTINE PRTY(Y,NQ)
REAL Y(NQ)
WRITE(6,601)
601 FORMAT(5X,'TEMP',16X,'C3HB')
WRITE(6,602)Y
602 FORMAT(5X,F12.7,5X,F12.7)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE COUNT(IN)

IBURN(I)= 1 FOR BURNING PARTICLES
IBURN(I)= 2 FOR UNBURNED PARTICLES
IBURN(I)= 3 FOR FULLY BURNED PARTICLES
SUBROUTINE COUNT(IN)
COMMON/BURN/FFR(9999),IBURN(9999)
COMMON/PARNUM/H,NT,N1,N2,N3
COMMON/CHARB PHI
N1=0
N2=0
N3=0
FO = 44./(44.+5.*((32.+3.76*28.)/PHI)
C
DO 125 I=1,IN
IBURN(I)=1
IF((FFR(I),GE.FO*0.95) ) IBURN(I)=2
IF((FFR(I),EQ.0.0) ) IBURN(I)=3
IF((IBURN(I),EQ.3) THEN
N3=N3+1
ELSE IF((IBURN(I),EQ.2) THEN
N2=N2+1
ELSE N1=N1+1
ENDIF
ENDIF
125 CONTINUE
IBURN(IN+1) = 2
RETURN
END
C
C
BLOCK DATA
DIMENSION A(6,6,2)
EQUIVALENCE (A1(1),A(1,1,1))
EQUIVALENCE (A2(1),A(1,1,2))
COMMON/JANAF/ A1(36),A2(36)
COMMON/ THERMO,R,ROSI,RO2,PSCALE,PSCOR
COMMON /FUEL/ ENW, CX, HY, OZ, AST
COMMON /OXDANT/XI
COMMON/CHARTH/RESFRK,RICH,LEAN
COMMON/CHARU/PHIFR,PHIEGR
COMMON/CHARB/PHI
C
C *** FUEL INFORMATION ************
C
DATA ENW,CX,HY,OZ,AST/ 0., 3., 8., 0., 0.0/
DATA A1/1.84038,2.08821,0.47002,0.03753,0.585447,97.1418,
1 6.139094,4.60783,6.565009,6.669496E-02,0.335801,-56.62586,
2 7.099556,1.270597,0.247757,0.22356,-1598695,-27.73464,
3 5.535880,1.178191,-0.286342,1.951432E-02,0.161128,-76496,
4 7.845587,6.853719,-0.31944,-2.687080E-03,-2201973,-893455,
5 6.808771,1.43504,-3.29895,2.551035E-02,-1188462,-331835,
DATA A2/4.733205,16.65283,-11.23249,2.828001,6.76702E-03,0.735793,
7 7.808972,-2.023519,3.418078,-1.176013,1.43629E-03,-57.08044,
8 6.93779,-0.283819,2.942042,-1.176239,4.13249E-04,-27.19597,
9 6.91878,16.10764,-2.38271,2.968197,-1.629234E-02,-118189,
& 8.295715,2.368307,-0.314788,-3.267433,4.35925E-03,103637,
7 0.0922,-1.2958,3.2069,-1.2022,-3.458E-04,-0.013967/
C *** CHEMICAL INFO *****
C
DATA RESFRK,PHI,PHIFR,PHIEGR/0.,0.5,0.5,0.5/
C
C *** MOLAR N-O RATIO OF OXDANT ***
C
DATA XI/3.76/
C
DATA R,ROSI,RO2,PSCALE,PSCOR
& /1.9895,8.3143E7,993452,2.42173E-2,1.2187E-2/
END
APPENDIX B

THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF PARTICLES

The thermodynamic properties of particles are functions of the temperatures and compositions. The overall reaction mechanism for the combustion of propane in air is assumed.

\[
C_3H_8 + \frac{5}{2}O_2 + \frac{9/2}{\phi}N_2 = (\frac{5}{2} - 5)O_2 + 3CO_2 + 4H_2O + \frac{9}{2}N_2
\]

Equation (37)

where \( \phi \) is the equivalence ratio defined as

\[
\phi = \frac{\text{actual air/fuel ratio}}{\text{stoichiometric air/fuel ratio}}
\]

The enthalpy, internal energy, specific heat at constant pressure and specific heat at constant volume are calculated using polynomial curve fitting of thermodynamic properties of the JANAF Table (1971). The polynomial function and coefficients are taken from Hiro et al (1976).

The specific enthalpy is calculated using the following function,

\[
h = \sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{a_{ij}T^j}{j} \right) - \left[ a_{6j}/T \right] + a_{6j}
\]

where \( h \) is the specific enthalpy in Kcal/g, \( X_i \) is the number of moles of species \( i \) (i is 1 for \( CO_2 \), 2 for \( H_2O \), 3 for \( CO \), 4 for \( H_2 \), 5 for \( O_2 \), and 6 for \( N_2 \)), \( T \) is the temperature, and \( a_{ij} \) are the coefficients of the polynomial function. These coefficients are shown in Table 13. The internal energy in cal/mole of fuel is calculated by the following expression,

\[
u = R \left( 0.233361 \times 10^{-6}T^6/5 - 0.545131 \times 10^{-7}T^4/4 + 0.351709 \times 10^{-8}T^3/3 \\
+ 0.0157505T^2/2 + 1.31841T - 14091.3 \right) + 201.1M_f
\]

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant and \( M_f \) is the molecular weight of propane. The internal energy \( U \) in Kcal is obtained by the relation,

\[
U = H - RT
\]
where \( H \) is the enthalpy in Kcal. The specific heat at constant pressure is calculated using the following polynomial function,

\[
C_p = \sum_{i=1}^{6} X_i \sum_{j=1}^{4} (a_{ij} T^{j-1} + a_{ij}/T^2)
\]

where \( C_p \) is the specific heat at constant pressure in cal/g°K. The specific heat at constant pressure of fuel is calculated by the following expression,

\[
C_p = \frac{R}{M_f} (0.233361 \times 10^{-10} T^4 - 0.545131 \times 10^{-7} T^3
\]

\[
+ 0.351709 \times 10^{-4} T^2 + 0.0157505 T + 1.31841) + \frac{R}{M_f}
\]

The specific heat at constant volume \( C_v \) is obtained using the relation,

\[
C_v = C_p - R
\]

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant. The ratio of specific heat can be calculated as,

\[
\gamma = \frac{C_p}{C_v}
\]

For an unburned particle, the above calculations are performed by subroutine UPROP, assuming the presence of the species on the left hand side of the reaction equation (37). For a burned particle, subroutine CLDPRD assumes that dissociation of products is neglegable and that the species on the right hand side of the reaction equation are present. For particles with frozen composition consist of all the species in the reaction equation, the above calculation is performed within the subroutine MIXFRO.
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SUBROUTINE TEMPB(P,TGUESS,ENTHLP,T,ERMAX,MAXITS,IER)
T = TGUESS
IER = 0
C
DO 10 I = 1,MAXITS
CALL HPROD(P,T,AHG,CSUBP,CSUBT,RHO,DRHODT,DRHOOP)
TOLD = T
T = T + (ENTHLP - AHG)/(CSUBP * 1.0E-3)
IF( ABS((T - TOLD)/T) .LE. ERMAX) GO TO 20
10 CONTINUE
IER = 1
20 RETURN
END

C

CHPROD *************** VERSION 2.1 *** 1/05/78 ***********************

SUBROUTINE HPROD
PURPOSE
TO CALCULATE THE PROPERTIES OF THE PRODUCTS OF HYDROCA
AIR COMBUSTION AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE.
USING AN APPROXIMATE CORRECTION FOR DISSOCIATION.
H AND RHO ARE CALCULATED AS FUNCTIONS OF P, T, AND PHI.
THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVES OF H AND RHO WITH RESPECT TO
P AND T ARE ALSO CALCULATED.

USAGE
CALL HPROD (P, T, H, CP, CT, RHO, DRHODT,DRHOOP)

DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS

GIVEN
P - ABSOLUTE PRESSURE OF PRODUCTS (ATM)
T - TEMPERATURE OF PRODUCTS (DEG K)

GIVEN IN COMMON AREA /CHARGE/
PHI - EQUIVALENCE RATIO (FUEL/AIR RATIO DIVIDED BY THE
CHEMICALLY CORRECT FUEL/AIR RATIO)

GIVEN IN COMMON AREA /PRODUCT/
DEL - MOLAR M H RATIO OF THE PRODUCTS
PSI - MOLAR M O RATIO OF THE PRODUCTS

GIVEN IN COMMON AREA /PRODUCTS/
PSSCALE - CONST TO GIVE CAL FROM ATM-CC
R - GAS CONSTANT
ROVER2 - GAS CONSTANT DIVIDED BY TWO
PSSCAL - PSSCALE DIVIDED BY GAS CONSTANT

RETURNS.
H - SPECIFIC ENTHALPY OF THE PRODUCTS (KCAL/C)
CP - PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF H WITH RESPECT TO T AT C
P AND PHI (CAL/DEG K)
CT - PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF H WITH RESPECT TO T AT C
T AND PHI (CC/G)
RHO - DENSITY OF THE PRODUCTS (G/CC)
DRHODT - PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF RHO WITH RESPECT TO T AT
CONSTANT P AND PHI (G/CC-DEG K)
DRHOOP - PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF RHO WITH RESPECT TO P
CONSTANT T AND PHI (G/CC-ATM)

RETURNS IN COMMON AREA FROZEN
CPFROZ - FROZEN SPECIFIC HEAT (CAL/G - DEG K)

REMARKS
1) ENTHALPY DATUM "STATE IS AT T = 0 ABSOLUTE WITH
O2, N2, H2 GASEOUS AND C SOLID GRAPHITE
2) MULTIPLY ATCM-CC BY .0242173 TO CONVERT TO CAL

SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS REQUIRED
CLPROD

METHOD
SEE MARTIN & HEYWOOD 'APPROXIMATE RELATIONSHIPS FOR TH
DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF HYDROCARBON-AIR COMBUSTION PRODU

******************************************************************************
SUBROUTINE HPRED(P, T, H, CP, T, RHO, CCP, CCP)
LOGICAL RICH, LEAN, NOTHOT, NOTWR, NOTCLD
REAL MCP, MWT, K1, K2
COMMON/CHAR/PHI
COMMON/CHAR/RESFRK, RICH, LEAN
COMMON/PROCMP/DEL, CHI, DUM, DUMY, EPSO, EPS
COMMON/BCAPS/CBB(7), MWT, RBB, GAWAB, CPB, HB
COMMON/THERMO/RGAS, RGS1, ROVER2, PSCALE, PSCOR
COMMON/FUEL/ENX, CHX, HY, 0Z, AGT
COMMON/OXDANT/PSI
DATA 11/0/

DATA TCOLD, THOT /1000., 1100./

INITIALIZE PARAMETERS USED IN THE CALCULATION

NOTHOT = T .LT. THOT
NOTCLD = T .GT. TCOLD
NOTWRM = .NOT. (NOTCLD AND NOTHOT)
IF(T.GE.2800.) T=2800.

USE SIMPLE ROUTINE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE MIXES

IF (NOTCLD) GO TO 1
CALL CLDPRO(P, T, H, CP, RHO, IER)
RETURN
1 IF(11) 3, 2, 3

*** DO THIS ONLY FIRST TIME

2 II=1
DEL=CHX/HY
EPS=(4.*DEL)/(1. + 4.*DEL)
C5 = 2. - EPS + PSI
C6 = EPS + 2.*C5
C3 = (121.5 + 29.59*EPS)*1000.
C4 = 1.1785
T5 = 3.*C5
TV = (3256. - 2400.*EPS + 300.*PSI)/(1. - .5*EPS + .09*PSI)

3 DEL=3./8.
EPS=(4.*DEL)/(1. + 4.*DEL)
HF0=PHI*(20572.*EPS-114942.)
MCP = 8.*EPS + 4.*PHI + 32. + 28.*PSI
R2MCP=ROVER2/MCP
LEAN=PHI/(1.-LE1)
IF (LEAN) GO TO 4

RICH CASE
HF0=HF0 + 1000.*(134.39 - 6.5/EPS)*(PHI - 1.)
C10 = 2. + 2.*7. - 4.*EPS)*PHI + 7.*PSI.
C20 = 8. + 2.*(-3.*EPS)*PHI + 2.*PSI
DO=PHI*(2.-EPS)*PSI
GO TO 5

LEAN CASE
C4 C10 = 7. + - 8.*EPS)*PHI + 7.*PSI
C20 = 2. + 2.*(-5. - 3.*EPS)*PHI + 2.*PSI
D0=1.+PHI*(1.-EPS)*PSI

*** ENTRY AFTER FIRST CALL

CALCULATE EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANTS FOR DISSOCIATION
(NEAR THAT THESE HAVE UNITS ATM**(.5))

5 K1 = 5.819E-6 * EXP(9874*EPS + 35810./T)
K2 = 2.961E-5 * EXP(2.593*EPS + 26980./T)

C CALCULATE A, X, Y, & U

IF((4. * Pi*K1*K2).EQ.0.)STOP
A = (C5/(4.*Pi*K1*K2))**(.33333333)
AA=T5*(1.+AA)+2.*C6*A

C X = A*EPS*(T5+C6*A)/AA
C Z = ABS((1.-PHI)/X)
C
U = C5*(EPS - 2.*X)/(4.*K1*K2*P*X)

IF(LEAN) GOTO 10

Y/X/(1. + 6.6666667*Z + 3.3333333*Z^2 - 6.666667*(PHI-1.))
X/(Y*X)*X*(1. + 4.*Z/3. + Z^2 - 2.*(PHI-1.)/3.)

10 ACH=1.4.666667*Z + 1.3333333*(1.-PHI)

Y/X/(SORT(ACH))

DYDX=(Y/X)*3*(1. + Z + 1.3333333*(1.-PHI))

CALCULATE THE ENTHALPY OF FORMATION FOR THIS APPROXIMATE
COMPOSITION
ALSO GET THE COEFFICIENTS FOR T & TV TERMS

C
20 HF = HFQ + C3*Y + C4*U
C1 = C10 + 5.*Y + 3.*U
C2 = C20 - 6.*Y - 2.*U
D = D0 + Y + U

ADD IN TRANSLATIONAL, VIBRATIONAL, AND ROTATIONAL TERMS TO GET
TOTAL ENTHALPY.

C
EXPTVT = EXP(TV/T)

TVTIL = TV/(EXPTVT - 1.)

C
H = 0.001*RO2*MCP*=(C1*T + C2*TVTIL + HF)

CALCULATE AVERAGE MOLECULAR WEIGHT, AND GET DENSITY BY
USING THE PERFECT GAS LAW

C
MWT = MCP/D
RHO = MWT*P*PSCLOR/T

C
GET PARTIAL DERIVATIVES IF DESIRED

C
DUDTPX = 64790.*U/(T*T)
DUDTPX = -U/P

C
DUDXT = -U*EPS*(X*(EPS - 2.*X))

C
DADTP = 23873.*A/(T*T)
DADTP = -A/(3.*P)

C
DXDA = T*EPS*(T5+2.*C6*A)/AA/AA

C
DYDT - DYDX*Dxda*DADTP
DYDPT = DYDX*Dxda*DADPT
DUDTP = DUDXPT*Dxda*DADTP + DUDTPX
DUDPT = DUDXPT*Dxda*DADPT + DUDTPX

C
DHDPT = C3*DADTP + C4*DUDPT
DC2DPT = -2.*(3.*DYDPT + DUDPT)
DC1DPT = 5.*DYDPT + 3.*DUDPT
DHDFDPT = C3*DADPT + C4*DYDPT
DC2DPT = -2.*(3.*DYDPT + DUDPT)
DC1DPT = 5.*DYDPT + 3.*DUDPT

C
DTVDTP = (TVTIL*TVTIL)/(T*T)*EXPTVT

C
CPFROZ = RO2*MCP*(C1 + C2*DTVDTP)

C
CP = RO2*MCP*(T*DC1DPT+TVTIL*DC2DPT+DHDFDPT)*CPFROZ
CT = RO2*MCP*(T*DC1DPT+TVTIL*DC2DPT+DHDFDPT)/PSCLOR
CPB = CP

C
G = -MWT/D
DMPT = G*(DYDPT + DUDPT)
DMOEP = G*(DYDPT + DUDPT)

C
CCT = 1.-DMOEP*T/MWT
CCP = 1.+DMOEP*P/MWT
GAMMAB = CP/(CP-RS)
IF CALCULATING FOR AN INTERMEDIATE TEMPERATURE, USE A WEIGHTED AVERAGE OF THE RESULTS FROM THIS ROUTINE AND THOSE FROM THE SIMPLE ROUTINE.

IF (NOTWRM) RETURN

CALL CLDPPRD(P,T,TH,TCP,TRHO,IER)

W1 = (1 - TCOLD)/(THOT - TCOLD)
W2 = 1.0 - W1

H = W1*H + W2*TH
RHOB = W1*RHO + W2*TRHO
CP = W1*CP + W2*TCP
CT = W1*CT
CCT = W1*CCT + W2
CCP = W1*CCP + W2
MNT = (RHO*T)/(PSCOR*P)
CPB = CP

IF(GAMMA < 1 OR GAMMA > 2.) THEN
PRINT*, ************ EXECUTION STOPPED AT HPROD ************
PRINT*, PRINT*, PRINT*, 'T=', T, ' P=', P,
PRINT*, 'CPB=', CPB, ' RB=', RB,
PRINT*, 'GAMMA=', GAMMA
PRINT*, ************ EXECUTION STOPPED AT HPROD ************
ENDIF

IF(GAMMA < 1 OR GAMMA > 2.) STOP

RETURN

END
**SUBROUTINE MIXFRO**

**USAGE:** TO CALCULATE NEW COMPOSITION AND THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF A PAIR OF IDENTICAL PARTICLES RESULTED FROM A BINARY MIXING. IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE VOLUME AND PRESSURE HAVE NOT CHANGED, AND THE PROPERTIES AND COMPOSITION ARE FROZEN DURING THE MIXING PROCESS.

**INPUT:** COMPOSITION OF PARTICLE 1 AND 2

- \( X_1, X_2 = \text{MOLES OF FUEL} / G \)
- \( Y_1, Y_2 = \text{MOLES OF O}_2 / G \)
- \( V_1, V_2 = \text{MOLES OF N}_2 / G \)
- \( Z_1, Z_2 = \text{MOLES OF CO}_2 / G \)
- \( W_1, W_2 = \text{MOLES OF H}_2O / G \)

**THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF PARTICLE 1 AND 2**

- \( V_1, V_2 = \text{VOLUME OF EACH PARTICLE} \)
- \( T_1, T_2 = \text{TEMPERATURE OF EACH PARTICLE} \)

**OUTPUT:** NEW COMPOSITION OF EACH PARTICLE AFTER MIXING

- \( X_0, Y_0, V_0, Z_0, W_0 = \text{MOLES} / G \)
- \( \text{THERMOTHERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF EACH PARTICLE} \)

**TEMPERATURE OF EACH PARTICLE AFTER MIXING, TO (K)**

**SUBROUTINE NEEDED:** NONE

**COMMON VARIABLES:**

- \( F1, F2, VOL1, VOL2, T1, T2 \)
- \( \text{LOGICAL RICH, LEAN} \)
- \( \text{DIMENSION TABLE(7), A(6, 6, 2), LEC(10), MX(7, 3), X(10), WM(10)} \)
- \( \text{EQUIVALENCE (A1(1), A(1, 1, 1), A2(1), A(1, 1, 2))} \)
- \( \text{REAL MBAR, MX, INTER} \)
- \( \text{REAL T, TM, T1, T2} \)
- \( \text{REAL TEMP(3)} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/MIX/MASS, F3, VOL3, TO} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/PARNUM/N, NT, N1, N2, N3} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/FLUID/ ENM, CX, HY, OZ, AST} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/JANAF/A(36), A2(36)} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/CHARU/PHIFR, PHIEGR} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/CHARB/PHI} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/CHARTH/RESFRK, RICH, LEAN} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/OXDOX/XTI} \)
- \( \text{COMMON/THERMO/RGAS, RSG1, R02, PSCALE, PSCOR} \)
- \( \text{DATA TABLE -1, 1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0} \)
- \( \text{DATA ERMAX, MAXTS/5, E-04, 50/} \)

**MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF SPECIES**

- \( WM(1) = 44 \)
- \( WM(2) = 18 \)
- \( WM(3) = 28 \)
- \( WM(4) = 32 \)
- \( WM(5) = 28 \)
- \( WM(6) = 44 \)

**M = MASS/N**

- \( F0 = 44 ./ (44. + 1.5 * (32. + 3.76 * 28) / PHI) \)

**X1 = WMF1 / WM(7) / M**

**Y1 = M(1) - F0 / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3))**

**Z1 = 3.76 * (FO-F1) * WM(5) / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3)) / M**

**W1 = 3.76 * (FO-F1) * WM(5) / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3)) / M**

**X2 = WMF2 / WM(7) / M**

**Y2 = M(1) - F0 / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3))**

**Z2 = 3.76 * (FO-F1) * WM(5) / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3)) / M**

**W2 = 3.76 * (FO-F1) * WM(5) / (1. + 3.76 * WM(5) / WM(3)) / M**
\begin{align*}
Z2 &= 3 \cdot \left( \frac{F_0 - F_2}{M} \right) \cdot \frac{\mu^2}{\mu(1) / \mu(7) / \mu(4) / \mu(7) / \mu(2) / \mu(4)} \\
W2 &= 4 \cdot \left( \frac{F_0 - F_2}{M} \right) \cdot \frac{\mu^2}{\mu(2) / \mu(7) / \mu(4) / \mu(7) / \mu(2) / \mu(4)}
\end{align*}

\textbf{TOTAL INTERNAL ENERGY OF THE TWO MIXING PARTICLES}

\textbf{NUMBER OF MOLES PER UNIT MASS:}
\begin{align*}
M(1, 1) &= Z1 \\
M(2, 1) &= W1 \\
M(3, 1) &= 0 \\
M(4, 1) &= 0 \\
M(5, 1) &= Y1 \\
M(6, 1) &= V1 \\
M(7, 1) &= X1 \\
M(1, 2) &= Z2 \\
M(2, 2) &= W2 \\
M(3, 2) &= 0 \\
M(4, 2) &= 0 \\
M(5, 2) &= Y2 \\
M(6, 2) &= V2 \\
M(7, 2) &= X2
\end{align*}

\textbf{TEMPERATURE AND VOLUME:}
\begin{align*}
\text{TEMP}(1) &= T1 \\
\text{TEMP}(2) &= T2
\end{align*}

\textbf{ENTRY FOR CALCULATION OF ENTHALPY:}
\begin{align*}
\text{CALCULATE } H, \text{ CP, AND CT AS IN WRITEUP, USING FITTED COEFFICIENTS FROM JANAF TABLES.}
\text{INTER} &= 0 \\
\text{TU IS IN CAL/MOLE OF SPECIES}
\text{TCVS, TOVF IN CAL/MOLE/K}
\text{DO 10 K=1, 2}
\text{IR} &= 1 \\
\text{TW} &= \text{TEMP(K)} \\
\text{IF} (T > 0.5) \text{ IR} &= 2 \\
\text{ST} &= 1000.
\text{DO 40 J=1, 6}
\text{TU} &= \left( \frac{A(4, J, IR)}{2} \right) \cdot \mu + \frac{A(3, J, IR)}{3} \cdot \mu \text{ ST} + \frac{A(1, J, IR)}{3} \cdot \mu \text{ ST} + \frac{A(5, J, IR)}{3} \cdot \mu 1 \times 1000 - 1.98726 \cdot T
\text{INTER} &= \text{INTER} + \text{TU} \cdot M(7, K) \cdot \mu
\text{CONTINUE}
\text{THIS PART IS FOR FUEL PROPANE}
\text{Fm} &= 44.09 \\
\text{RFV} &= \text{RGAS/FM} \\
\text{TU} &= \text{RGAS} \cdot \left( \frac{0.203361 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot T - 0.545131 \cdot 7 \cdot 4}{4} \right) \cdot \mu + 0.351708 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot \mu \\
1 &= 0.117505 \cdot 2 \cdot \mu \\
1 &= 1.31841 \cdot 3 + 201.1 \cdot \mu
\text{INTER} &= \text{INTER} + \text{TU} \cdot M(7, K) \cdot \mu
\text{CONTINUE}
\text{C INTER}

\textbf{NEW COMPOSITION AFTER MIXING:}
\begin{align*}
F3 &= \left( F1 + F2 ight) / 2. \\
\text{VOL3} &= \left( \text{VOL1} + \text{VOL2} \right) / 2. \\
X0 &= \text{M(1) / M(3)} \\
\text{X0} &= \left( 1 - 0.376 \cdot \mu^2 / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) \right) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) \\
1 &= -0.376 \cdot \mu^2 / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) \\
\text{VO} &= 3.76 \cdot \mu^2 / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) \\
\text{ZO} &= 3 \cdot \mu^2 / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) \\
\text{WO} &= 4 \cdot \mu^2 / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4) / \mu(6) / \mu(4)
\end{align*}

\textbf{NEW VALUE OF TEMPERATURE AFTER MIXING:}
\begin{align*}
M(1, 3) &= Z0 \\
M(2, 3) &= W0 \\
M(3, 3) &= 0
\end{align*}
MK(4,3)=0
MK(5,3)=YO
MK(6,3)=VO
MK(7,3)=XO

TEMP(3)=(TOTMX1*T1+TOTMX2*T2)/(TOTMX1+TOTMX2)

C

DO 20 1=1,MAXITS
INTER=0.
TCV=0.
T=TEMP(3)
ST=T/1000.
IR = 1
IF(T.LT.500.) IR = 2
DO 50  J=1,6
  TU = (((A(4,J,IR)/4.*ST + A(3,J,IR)/3.)*ST
  + A(2,J,IR)/2.)*ST + A(1,J,IR)))*ST
  - A(5,J,IR)/ST + A(6,J,IR)) *1000. * 1.98726 * T
INTER=INTER+TU*MX(J,3)*M*2.
TCVS=((A(4,J,IR)*ST+A(3,J,IR))*ST + A(2,J,IR))*ST
  + A(1,J,IR)+A(5,J,IR)/ST**2 * 0.98726
TCV=TCV+TCVS*MX(J,3)**2.
50 CONTINUE
C

THIS PART IS FOR FUEL PROPANE

FM=44.09
RFV=RGAS/FM
TU = RGAS*(((0.233361E-10/5.*T - 0.545131E-7/4.) * T
  + 0.351709E-4/3.) * T + 0.157505E-1/2.) * T
  + 1.31841)*T
  - 1.4981.3) + 201.1*FM
INTER=INTER+TU*MX(J,3)**M*2.
TCVS=RFV*(((0.233361E-10/T - 0.545131E-7/T + 0.351709E-4/T + 0.157505E-1/T)
  + 1.31841)**T
TCV=TCV+FM*TCVS*MX(J,3)**M*2.

TO=TEMP(3)
TEMP(3)=TEMP(3)+(U-INTER)/TCV
IF(ABS(U-INTER)/U.LE.ERMAK) GO TO 30
IF (1.GE.MAXITS) PRINT*,' (U-INTER)/U = ',(U-INTER)/U
IF (1.GE.MAXITS) STOP

20 CONTINUE
30 TO=TEMP(3)
RETURN
END
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SUBROUTINE RANMIX(DELT,W,NM,FNMI,DSEED)

COMMON/MIX/MASS,F3, VOL3, T03
COMMON/PARNUM,N, NT, N1, N2, N3
COMMON/EBURN/FFR(9999), EBIURN(9999)
COMMON/TEMP/VOL,TEMP(9999),VOL(9999)
COMMON/IDN1M/IDN(9999)
REAL NM,CHosen(9999)
INTEGER IR(2), K, NR
DOUBLE PRECISION DSEED

NM = NUMBER OF PAIRS TO BE MIXED AT A FREQUENCY OF 'W'.

DO 100 I = 1, NT
   CHosen(I) = 0.
100 CONTINUE

XNM = DELT*W*NT
NM = INT(XNM)
FNMI = FNMI + XNM - NM
IF(FNMI.GE.1.) NM = NM + 1
IF(FNMI.GE.1.) FNMI = FNMI - 1.

PRINT*, ' NUMBER OF PAIRS TO BE MIXED = ', NM

RANDOM GENERATOR "GGUD" GENERATES NR INTEGERS FROM 1 TO K
( INITIAL SEED DSEED RANGES FROM 1.0D0 TO 2.147483647.0D0 )

K = NT
NR = 2

DO 22 I = 1, NM
   CALL GGUD(DSEED,K,NR,IR)
   I1 = IR(1)
   I2 = IR(2)
   IF(CHosen(I1),EQ.1..OR.CHosen(I2),EQ.1.) GO TO 22
   CHosen(I1) = 1.
   CHosen(I2) = 1.
   IF(EBURN(I1),NE.EBURN(I2),OR.
   1 (EBURN(I1),EQ.1..AND.EBURN(I2),EQ.1.) THEN
   PRINT*, ' MIXING :, 11, 12
   CALL MIXFRO(FFR(I1),FFR(I2),VOL(I1),VOL(I2),TEMP(I1),TEMP(I2))
   FFR(I1) = F3
   FFR(I2) = F3
   VOL(I1) = VOL3
   VOL(I2) = VOL3
   TEMP(I1) = T03
   TEMP(I2) = T03
   DO 8 J = 1, NT
   IF(IDN(J),EQ.1.,OR.IDN(J),EQ.12.) IDN(J) = 0
8 CONTINUE
   IDN(I1) = 0
   IDN(I2) = 1
ENDIF
22 CONTINUE

RETURN
END
APPENDIX F

SUBROUTINE KINET

PURPOSE
TO CALCULATE THE EVOLUTION OF SPECIES CONCENTRATION AND TEMPERATURE IN A-TIME STEP DT. THE EVOLUTION OF SPECIES IS CALCULATED USING A SINGLE STEEP REACTION MECHANISM DESCRIBED IN WESTBROOK AND DRYER. THE 1ST LAW IS USED IN DIFFERENTIAL FORM.

GIVEN:
- X (SECOND) TIME AT WHICH INITIAL VALUES ARE GIVEN
- XEND (SECOND) TIME AT WHICH VALUES ARE DESIRED
- P (ATM) PRESSURE AT TIME XEND

GIVEN INITIAL VALUES AT TIME X FOR
- Y(1) (K) TEMPERATURE
- Y(2) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF PROPANE FUEL

RETURN VALUES AT XEND FOR
- Y(1) (K) TEMPERATURE
- Y(2) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF PROPANE FUEL

SUBRoutines AND FUNCTION PROGRAM NEEDED
- SUBROUTINE F0
- FUNCTION F1 CALCULATE THE DERIVATIVE OF TEMPERATURE WITH RESPECT TO TIME
- FUNCTION F2 CALCULATE THE RATE OF DEPLETION OF PROPANE FUEL
- BLOCK DATA CONTAINS COEFFICIENTS USED TO CALCULATE INTERNAL ENERGY AND SPECIFIC HEAT (SEE SAE PAPER BY HIRES ET AL.)

COMMON/CHARU/PHIFR,PHIEGR
COMMON/AFRN/V,TOTMX,P,M
COMMON/TEMVOL/TEMP(9999),VOL(9999)
COMMON/BURN/FFR(9999),IBURN(9999)
COMMON/IDN4M/IDN(9999)
COMMON/PAKRM/N,NT,N1,N2,N3
COMMON/EQNM/NQ
COMMON/CHAR/PHI
COMMON/ChARTH/RESTR,K,RICH,LEAN
COMMON/UGSE/UX(7),MBAR,RU,GAMU,CPU,HU,TU,RHOU
COMMON/UGSE/UX(7),MT,RT,GB,GB,GB,GB,GB
COMMON/THROM/R,GS1,GS2,PSCL,PSCL
COMMON/NOLES/TOTXM,TOTMB
COMMON/INPR/PRES
COMMON/OLRT/MM(7)
COMMON/CRANL/SA,CRG,CRG
COMMON/STP/TIMSTP
COMMON/CONST/PEC,B
REAL Y(NQ),YPRIM(NQ),X,XEND
REAL MBAR,INERA,INER,INTER,M

C INITIAL VALUES

ITS=0
8 X=0.
IN=0
DO 10 J=1,NT
IF(IBURN(J).EQ.1.AND.IDN(J).EQ.0) THEN
IN=IN+1
K=(IN-1)*2
Y(I+K)=TEMP(J)
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\[ Y(2+k) = \frac{FFR(J)}{44} \]

ENDIF

10 CONTINUE
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IN=IN+1

IF (FRR(I).EQ.0.0) THEN
   IF (JL.HALT) THEN
      STOP
   ELSE
      FRR(I)=FRR(I)+1.0
      IF (JL.INP) THEN
         WRITE (CONSL,1000)
      ELSE
         WRITE (CONSL,1010)
      ENDIF
      IF (JL.HALT) THEN
         STOP
      ELSE
         FRR(I)=FRR(I)+1.0
         IF (JL.INP) THEN
            WRITE (CONSL,1000)
         ELSE
            WRITE (CONSL,1010)
         ENDIF
      ENDIF
   ENDIF
ENDIF

10 CONTINUE
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FUNCTION F1(Y, J)
CALCULATE THE RATE OF DEPLETION OF FUEL PROPANE USING A SINGLE
REACTION MECHANISM FROM WESTBROOK AND DRYER.

FUNCTION F1(Y, J)
COMMON/CNTF/IR1,1F2,1F3
COMMON/AFRN/V,TOTMX,P,M
COMMON/CHARB/PHI
COMMON/TEMVOL/TEMP(999),VOL(999)
COMMON/BURN/FFR(999),IBURN(999)
COMMON/IDNM/I,DNM(999)
COMMON/MOLT/M(7)
COMMON/PARNUM/N,NT,N1,N2,N3
COMMON/EDNUM/NQ
COMMON/GRANGL/SA,CRG,CGRSTP
COMMON/INPRS/PRESS
COMMON/HERMO/R,ROSI,RO2,PS,PS,COR
COMMON/CONST/FECB
REAL Y(NQ),M
IF=IF+1
PO=PRESS*PSCALEN
P=P0+TIMSTP*(CRG-CA)/CRGSTP1*DPDT
FO=44.44+5.*((1.37+28)/PHI)
X=0
DO 1 I=1,NT
IF( IBURN(I) .EQ. 1 .AND. IDNM(I) .EQ. 0 ) THEN
1 IN=IN+1
IF( IN.EQ.J ) THEN
FF=FFR(I)
X=1
HF=MM*Y(I)/M(7)/Y(1)=MM*MM(5)/M(5)/M
1 ENDIF
ENDIF
CONTINUE
K=(J-1)*2
IF(Y(2*K).LE.FO/4.050) Y(2*K)=0.
FF=FF(2*K)/M(7)
Y=MM*Y(I)-MM(5)/Y(1)+3.76*MM(6)/M(5))/M
Y=MM*MM(5)/M(5)/M
1 IF( Y(I).LE.Y01*0.050 ) Y(I)=0.
TOTMX=Y(2*K)+Y1+Y1+Y1+2
V=TOTMX*1.987*Y(1*K)/P
C
IF(Y(2*K),LT.0.,OR.Y1,LT.0.,OR.V.LE.0.)
1 PRINT*, 'Y(2*K)', 'Y(2*K)', 'Y1', 'Y1', 'V', 'V', 'V', 'V'...
IN "F1" 1
IF(Y(2*K),LT.0.,OR.Y1,LT.0.,OR.V.LE.0.) STOP
C
F1=P*EXP(1200*(1/1.987)*(Y(2*K)/V)**(1/0.5))1*[(Y1/V)**1.85]
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION F2(Y, J)
FUNCTION F2(Y, J, DPDT)
COMMON/CNTF/1F1,1F2,1F3
COMMON/AFRN/V,TOTMX,P,M
COMMON/UGASP/CCU(7),MBAR,J,RU,GMU,CPU,HU,TU,ROU
COMMON/ETASP/CCU(7),MBAR,J,RU,GMU,CPU,HU,TU,ROU
COMMON/HERMO/R,ROSI,RO2,PS,PS,COR
FUNCTION F2(Y, J, DPDT)
COMMON/CNTF/1F1,1F2,1F3
COMMON/AFRN/V,TOTMX,P,M
COMMON/UGASP/CCU(7),MBAR,J,RU,GMU,CPU,HU,TU,ROU
COMMON/ETASP/CCU(7),MBAR,J,RU,GMU,CPU,HU,TU,ROU
COMMON/HERMO/R,ROSI,RO2,PS,PS,COR
COMMON/PARNUM/N,NT,N1,N2,N3
COMMON/EQNUM/NQ
COMMON/NIPRS/PRESS
COMMON/STEP/TIMSTP
COMMON/CRANL/SA,CRG,CRGSTP
REAL Y(NQ),W,INTER
IF2=IF2+1
C
FU1=F1(Y,J)
CALL KINTER(Y,J,W,INTER)
C
P IN DTDT1 IS IN CAL/CC
PO=PRESS*PSCALE
P=P0+TIMSTP*(CRG-SA)/CRGSTP*DPDT
K=(J-J)*2
T = Y(1)*K
C
DTDT1=(FU1*(1.987*T-HU)+TOTMX*1.987*T/P*DPDT)/
1
(W+TOTMX*1.987)
C
F2=DTDT1
C
RETURN
END
C
$$$$$$
SUBROUTINE KINTER
C
PURPOSE
TO CALCULATE THE DERATIVE OF TEMPERATURE WITH RESPECT TO
TIME AND THE INTERNAL ENERGY OF MIXTURE.
C
USAGE
CALL INTER(Y)
C
DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS
C
GIVEN:
C
P (ATM) PRESSURE
C
GIVEN
C
Y(1) (K) TEMPERATURE
C
Y(2) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF PROPANE FUEL
C
Y(3) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF OXYGEN
C
Y(4) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF NITROGEN
C
Y(5) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF CARBON DIOXIDE
C
Y(6) (MOLES/G) CONCENTRATION OF WATER VAPOR
C
GIVEN IN COMMON AREA /FUEL/
C
AF(1) - 6 DIMENSIONAL VECTOR OF ENTHALPY COEFFICIENTS SUCH
    THAT THE ENTHALPY OF FUEL VAPOR AS A FUNCTION
    OF TEMPERATURE (T DEG K) IS GIVEN BY
    H(T) = AF(1)*ST + (AF(2)*ST**2)/2 + (AF(3)*ST**3)/3
    + (AF(4)*ST**4)/4 - AF(5)/ST + AF(6).
    WHERE ST = T/1000 AND H(T) = <KCAL/MOLE>
    FOR MOST APPLICATIONS THE ENTHALPY FUNCTION H
    BE VALID OVER AT LEAST THE FOLLOWING TEMPERATURE RAN
    300 < T < 1000
    ENTHALPY DATUM STATE IS AT T = 0 ABSOLUTE WITH O2,N2
    AND H2 GASEOUS AND C SOLID GRAPHITE.
    HYDROCARBON-OXIDANT COMBUSTION
C
RETURNS
C
DTDT1 - DERIVATIVE OF TEMPERATURE WITH RESPECT TO TIME
        (DEG K/SECOND)
C
INTER - INTERNAL ENERGY OF MIXTURE (CAL/MOLE OF MIXTURE)
C
REMARKS
1) ENTHALPY DATUM STATE IS AT T = 0 ABSOLUTE WITH
   O2,N2,H2 GASEOUS AND C SOLID GRAPHITE
2) THE VARIABLES HAVE DIFFERENT INDICES IN THERMO
   SUBROUTINES AND DGEAR . THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS:
--- 129 ---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THERMO. PROP.</th>
<th>DGAR</th>
<th>SPECIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>CO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C3H8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS NEEDED

F1

SUBROUTINE KINTEF(Y, J, WU, WCMM, INTR,)
LOGICAL RICH,LEAN
DIMENSION TABLE(7), A(6,6,2), LEC(10), MX(10), X(10), WM(10)
EQUIVALENCE (A(1,1), A(1,1,1), A(1,1,2))
REAL *4 MBEAR, MXX, INTER
COMMON/CNT, ITUP, ICLD, IKIN
COMMON /FUEL, ENH, CX, HY, OZ, AST
COMMON/JANAF, A1(36, A2(36)
COMMON/CHAR, PHIR, PHEGR
COMMON/CHAR, PHIR
COMMON/AFRN, V, TOTMX, P, M
COMMON/BURN, FFR(9999), IBURN(9999)
COMMON/PARNUM/M, N, N1, N2, N3
COMMON/ADEM, NQ
COMMON/GARTH, RESFRR, RICH, LEAN
COMMON /OXDANT, XI
COMMON/THETA, RQAS, RROZ, PSCALE, PSCOR
REAL Y(NQ), M
DATA TABLE /-1., -1., -1., -1., 0., 0., 0., 0./
IKIN = IKIN + 1

C

COEFFICIENTS IN 1ST LAW EXPRESSION
LEC(1) = 3
LEC(2) = 4
LEC(3) = 0
LEC(4) = 0
LEC(5) = 5
LEC(6) = 0
LEC(7) = 1

C

MOLECULAR WEIGHT
WM(1) = 44
WM(2) = 18
WM(3) = 0
WM(4) = 0
WM(5) = 32
WM(6) = 28
WM(7) = 44

C

NUMBER OF MOLES PER UNIT VOLUME
FO = 44. / (44.45 * (32. + 3.76 * 32 / PH))
K = (J-1) * 2
FF = Y(2*K) * 44.
Y1 = Y(1-FC1) * (+3.76 * WM(6) / WM(5))
Y2 = FFO * WM(5) / WM(7) / WM(5) / WM(5)
Y3 = Y(1-FC1) * WM(1) / WM(7) / WM(7) / WM
Y4 = FFO * WM(2) / WM(7) / WM(7) / WM
Y5 = Y(1-FC1) * WM(3) / WM(7) / WM(7) / WM
Y6 = Y(1-FC1) * WM(4) / WM(7) / WM(7) / WM
Y7 = Y(2*K)
TOT = X(1) * X(2) + X(3) * X(4) + X(5) + X(6) + X(7)

C

TEMPERATURE
T = Y(1) K

C

ENTRY FOR CALCULATION OF ENTHALPY
C
IR = 1
IF (T .LT. 500.) IR = 2
CALCULATE H, CP, AND CT AS IN WRITEUP, USING FITTED COEFFICIENTS FROM JANAF TABLES

WU=0.
WCM=0.
INTER=0.
ST = T/1000.

C....TU IS IN CAL/MOLE OF SPECIES
C....TCV IN CAL/MOLE/K

DO 40 K = 1, 8
   TU = (((A(4,K,IR)/4.*ST + A(3,K,IR)/3.*)*ST
   1     + A(2,K,IR)/2.)*ST + A(1,K,IR))*ST
   1     - A(5,K,IR)/ST + A(6,K,IR))*1000. -1.98726*T
   INTER=INTER+TU*MX(K)/TOTMX
   TCV = ( (A(4,K,IR)*ST + A(3,K,IR))*ST
   1     + A(2,K,IR)*ST + A(1,K,IR)+A(5,K,IR)/ST)**2 -1.98726
   WU=WU + TU*LEC(K)
   WCM=WCM+MX(K)*TCV

40 CONTINUE

C THIS PART IS FOR FUEL PROPANE
FW=44.09
RFV=RGAS/FW

TU= RGAS*((((0.233361E-10/5.*T - 0.545131E-7/4.)*T
   1     +0.351709E-4/3.)*T
   1     +0.157505E-1/2.)*T
   1     +1.31841)*T
   1     -1408.3) + 201.1*FW

INTER=INTER+TU*MX(7)/TOTMX

TCV=RFV*((((0.233361E-10*T - 0.545131E-7)*T
   1     +0.351709E-4)*T
   1     +0.157505E-1)*T
   1     +1.31841)

TCV=FW*TCV

WU=WU + TU*LEC(7)
WCM=WCM+MX(7)*TCV

C RETURN
END
APPENDIX G

FUNCTION VTO(CRKINT)
COMMON/BBORE/BORE

BORE=4.0
STROKE=4.0
CONLEN=7.5
CR=8.0
DATA RADIUS/57.29578/
B1=0.3926990*BORE*BORE*STROKE
B2=(CONLEN*2./STROKE)**2.-1.
B3=1.0+2.*CONLEN/STROKE
THBEG=CRKINT
AREA=3.14*BORE*BORE/4
VTDC=AREA*STROKE/(CR-1.)
CAS=COS(THBEG/RADIUS)
VTO=VTDC+B1*({B3-CAS-SQRT(CAS**2+B2)})
RETURN
END
APPENDIX H

COLDPRD Version 2.1

SUBROUTINE COLDPRD

PURPOSE

to calculate the specific enthalpy of the products of combustion at temperatures and pressures where dissociation of the product gases may be ignored. The density of the product gas is also calculated, as are the partial derivatives of both of these quantities with respect to pressure and temperature.

USAGE

call COLDPRD(p,t,enthlp,csubp,csubt,rho,drhodt,drhod)

description of parameters

given

p - absolute pressure of products (atm)

t - temperature of products (deg k)

given in common area /charge/

phi = equivalence ratio (fuel/air ratio divided by the chemically correct fuel/air ratio)

given in common area /prodmr/

del = molar c h ratio of the products

psi = molar n o ratio of the products

returns

enthlp = specific enthalpy of the gas (kcal/g)

csubp = partial derivative of enthlp with respect t

at constant p (cal/g-deg k)

csubt = partial derivative of enthlp with respect to p

at constant t (cc/g)

rho = density of the mixture (g/cc)

drhodt = partial derivative of rho with respect to t

at constant p (g/cc-deg k)

drhodp = partial derivative of rho with respect to p

constant t (g/cc-cc)

ier = flag, set to 1 for t<100 deg k

2 for t> 6000 deg k

0 otherwise

returns in common area frozen

cpfroz = frozen specific heat (cal/g - deg k)

remarks

1) enthalpy datum state is at t = 0 absolute with 02,n2,h2 gaseous and c solid graphite.

subroutines and function subprograms needed none

method

described in appendix a of hires et al. sae paper

SUBROUTINE COLDPRD(p,t,enthlp,csubp,rho,ier)

logical rich,lean

dimension table(7),a(6,6,2)

equivalence (a1(1),a(1,1,1))

equivalence (a2(1),a(1,1,2))

real*4 mbar,k

common/cnt/jup,icl,d,ik

common/charb/phi

common/charu/phifr,phiegr

common/charth/resfrk,rich,lean

common/thermo/rgas,ross,ro2 pscale,pscor

common/prodmr,del,chi,dumm,dlm,eps0,eps

common/fuel, enx, cx, hy, oz, ast

common/bcasp/x(7),mbar,rb,ga4b,cbp,hb

common/janaf/ a1(36),a2(36)

common/oxidant/psi

data table /-1.,1.,1.,-1.,0.,0.,0.,/

APP16530

APP16540

APP16550

APP16560

APP16570

APP16580

APP16590

APP16600

APP16610

APP16620

APP16630

APP16640

APP16650

APP16660

APP16670

APP16680

APP16690

APP16700

APP16710

APP16720

APP16730

APP16740

APP16750

APP16760

APP16770

APP16780

APP16790

APP16800

APP16810

APP16820

APP16830

APP16840

APP16850

APP16860

APP16870

APP16880

APP16890

APP16900

APP16910

APP16920

APP16930

APP16940

APP16950

APP16960

APP16970

APP16980

APP16990

APP17000

APP17010

APP17020

APP17030

APP17040

APP17050

APP17060

APP17070

APP17080

APP17090

APP17100

APP17110

APP17120

APP17130

APP17140

APP17150

APP17160

APP17170

APP17180

APP17190

APP17200

APP17210

APP17220

APP17230

APP17240

APP17250

APP17260

APP17270
ICLD=ICLD+1

11 = 2
RICH = PHI*GT.1.0
LEAN = .NOT.RICH
DEL = CXX/RY
EPS.*DEL/(1.-EPS.*DEL)
MBAR = (8.*EPS + 4.)*PHI + 32. + 28.*PSI

GET THE COMPOSITION IN MOLES/MOLE OXYGEN

IF (RICH) GO TO 13

*** LEAN CASE: SHIFT JUMP TO 35 AFTER THIS

II = 3
TMOLES = 1.*PSI+PHI*(1.-EPS)
X(1) = EPS*PHI/TMOLES
X(2) = 2.*(1.- EPS)*PHI/TMOLES
X(3) = 0.
X(4) = 0.
X(5) = (1.-PHI)/TMOLES
X(6) = PSI/TMOLES
X(7) = 0.
MBAR = MBAR/TMOLES
DCDT = 0.
GO TO 35

*** RICH CASE INITIALIZATION

13 TMOLES = PSI+ PHI*(2.-EPS)
X(5) = 0.
X(6) = PSI/TMOLES
X(7) = 0.
MBAR = MBAR/TMOLES
BETA0 = 2.*(1.-EPS*PHI)
CBI = 2.*(PHI-1.) + EPS*PHI
GA1 = 2.*EPS*PHI*(PHI-1.)

*** RICH CASE ENTRY AFTER FIRST TIME

20 Z = 1.000/T
K = EXP(2.743 + Z*(-1.761 + Z*(-1.611 + Z*.2803)))
DKDT = -42*Z*(-1.761 + Z*(-3.222 + Z*.9409))/T
ALPHA = 1.*K
BETA = BETA0 + CBI*K
GAMMA = GA1*K
C = (-BETA + SQRT(BETA*BETA + 4.*ALPHA*GAMMA))/(2.*ALPHA)
DCDT = DCDT*(C*(CBI1+GA1))

CONVERT COMPOSITION TO MOLE FRACTIONS AND CALCULATE AVERAGE
MOLECULAR WEIGHT

35 IER = 0
IF (T.LT. 100.) IER = 1
IF (T.GT. 6000.) IER = 2
IF (T.LT. 500.) IR = 2

CALCULATE H, CP, AND CT AS IN WRITEUP USING FITTED
COEFFICIENTS FROM JANAF TABLES

ENTHLP = 0.
CSUBF = 0.
CPPROF = 0.
ST = T/1000.
DO 40 J = 1, 6
TH = (((A(4, J, IR)/4.*ST + A(3, J, IR)/3.)*ST
1 + A(2, J, IR)/2.)*ST + A(1, J, IR))/ST
1 TCP ** 2
+ A(2, J, IR)*ST + A(3, J, IR)*ST
1)
TH = TH - A(5,J,IR)/ST + A(6,J,IR)  
ENTHLP = ENTHLP + TH*X(J)  
CPFROZ = CPFROZ + TCP*X(J)  
40  
CSUBP = CSUBP + 1000.*TH*DCDT*TABLE(J)  
ENTHLP = ENTHLP/MBAR  
CPFROZ=CPFROZ/MBAR  
CSUBP=CSUBP/MBAR  
CPB=CSUBP  

NOW CALCULATE RHO AND ITS PARTIAL DERIVATIVES  
USING PERFECT GAS LAW  

RHOS= PSCOR*MBAR*P/T  
RB=RGAS/MBAR  
GAMB=CPB/(CPB-RB)  

IF(GAMBL.T.1..OR.GAMB.GT.2.)THEN  
PRINT*, '********** EXECUTION STOPPED AT CLDPDR **********'  
PRINT*, '  '  
PRINT*, 'T = ', T, '  P = ', P  
PRINT*, 'X(1) = ', X(1), '  X(2) = ', X(2)  
PRINT*, 'X(3) = ', X(3), '  X(4) = ', X(4)  
PRINT*, 'X(5) = ', X(5), '  X(6) = ', X(6)  
PRINT*, 'X(7) = ', X(7), '  RB = ', RB  
PRINT*, 'CPB = ', CPB, '  GAMB = ', GAMB  
PRINT*, '********** EXECUTION STOPPED AT CLDPDR **********'  
ENDIF  

IF(GAMBL.LT.1..OR.GAMB.LT.2.) STOP  

* ALL DONE  
RETURN  
END  

CUPROP ********** VERSION 2.0 1/10/76 **********  

SUBROUTINE UPROP  
PURPOSE  
TO CALCULATE THE ENTHALPY AND DENSITY OF A HOMOGENOUS MIXTURE  
of AIR, RESIDUAL GAS, AND FUEL AS A FUNCTION OF  
EQUVALENCE RATIO, TEMPERATURE, AND PRESSURE  

USAGE  
call uprop(p,t,enthlp,csbnp,rho)  

DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS  

GIVEN  
P = ABSOLUTE PRESSURE OF PRODUCTS (ATM)  
T = TEMPERATURE OF PRODUCTS (DEG K)  
RESFRAC = RESIDUAL GAS FRACTION  
PHI = EQUIVALENCE RATIO (FUEL/ARIA RATIO DIVIDED BY THE  
CHEMICALLY CORRECT FUEL/ARIA RATIO)  

GIVEN IN COMMON AREA /FUEL/  
AF(1) = 6 DIMENSIONAL VECTOR OF ENTHALPY COEFFICIENTS SUCH  
THAT THE ENTHALPY OF FUEL VAPOR AS A FUNCTION  
of TEMPERATURE (T DEG K) IS GIVEN BY  
H(T) = AF(1)*ST + (AF(2)*ST**2)/2 + (AF(3)*ST**3)/3  
+ (AF(4)*ST**4)/4 - AF(5)/ST + AF(6)  
WHERE ST = T/1000 AND H(T) = <KCAL/MOLE>  
FOR MOST APPLICATIONS THE ENTHALPY FUNCTION H(T)  
BE VALID OVER AT LEAST THE FOLLOWING TEMPERATURE RAN  
300 < T < 1000  

ENTHALPY DATUM STATE IS AT T = 0 ABSOLUTE WITH O2,N2  
AND H2 GASEOUS AND C SOLID GRAPHITE.  

ENH = AVERAGE NUMBER OF NITROGEN ATOMS PER FUEL MOLECULE  
CN = AVERAGE NUMBER OF CARBON ATOMS PER FUEL MOLECULE  
HY = AVERAGE NUMBER OF HYDROGEN ATOMS PER FUEL MOLECULE  
OZ = AVERAGE NUMBER OF OXYGEN ATOMS PER FUEL MOLECULE  
QLOMER = LOWER HEATING VALUE (KCAL/G)  

GIVEN IN COMMON AREA/OXIDANT/  
XI = MOLAR N:O RATIO OF THE OXIDANT (FOR AIR XI = 3.76)  

ATTEMPT TO SET UPROP IN THE SAME CODING STYLE AS  
THE OTHER SUBROUTINES IN THE PROGRAM.
RETURN IN COMMON AREA /PRODNR/
DEL MOLAR C H RATIO OF THE PRODUCTS
PSI MOLAR N O RATIO OF THE PRODUCTS
CHI EQUIVALENCE RATIO OF THE PRODUCTS FOR AN EQUIVALENT HYDROCARBON-OXIDANT COMBUSTION

RETURN IN COMMON AREA /ENTHLP/
ENTHLP SPECIFIC ENTHALPY OF THE PRODUCTS (KCAL/G)
CSUBP PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF ENTHLP WITH RESPECT T AT CONSTANT P (CAL/G-DEG K)
CSUBT PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF ENTHLP WITH RESPECT TO P AT CONSTANT T (CAL/G-DEG K)
RHO DENSITY OF THE PRODUCTS (G/CC)
DHROD T PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF RHO WITH RESPECT TO T AT CONSTANT P (G/CC-DEG K)
DHRODP PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF RHO WITH RESPECT TO P AT CONSTANT T (G/CC-ATM)

RETURN IN COMMON AREA /X1/ X(1) CARBON DIOXIDE MOLE FRACTION
X(2) WATER VAPOR MOLE FRACTION
X(3) CARBON MONOXIDE MOLE FRACTION
X(4) HYDROGEN MOLE FRACTION
X(5) OXYGEN MOLE FRACTION
X(6) NITROGEN MOLE FRACTION
X(7) FUEL MOLE FRACTION
MBAR MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF UNBURNED MIXTURE

REMARKS
1) ENTHALPY DATUM STATE IS AT T = 0 ABSOLUTE WITH O2,N2,H2 GASEOUS AND C SOLID GRAPHITE

SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS NEEDED NONE

METHOD
DETAILED DESCRIPTION IN APPENDIX A OF HIERH ET AL., SAE PAPER

SUBROUTINE UPROP(P,T,ENTHLP,CSUBP,RHO)
LOGICAL RICH,LEAN
DIMENSION TABLE(7),A(6,6,2)
EQUIVALENCE (A(1,1),A(1,1,1))
EQUIVALENCE (A2(1,1,1,1,2))
REAL*4 MBAR,K
COMMON/CNT/IUP,ICLD,IKIN
COMMON /FUEL/ ENH,CX,HY,O2,AST
COMMON/JANAF/ A1(36),A2(36)
COMMON/CHARU/PHIFR,PHIGR
COMMON/CHARB/PHI
COMMON/CHARL/RESFRK,RICH,LEAN
COMMON/OXIDANT/X1
COMMON /UAGSP/ X(7),MBAR,RU,GAMU,CPU,HU,TU,RHOU
COMMON /PRODNR/DEL.CHI,W,EPSI,EPS
COMMON/THRODA/RGAS,ROSI,RO2,PSCALE,PSCOR
DATA TABLE /-1.1,-1.1,-1.0,-0.0,0.0,
IUP=1U+41

ENTER INTO ARRAYS A1 AND A2 THE FUEL PARAMETERS

II = 2
RICH = PHIFR .GT. 1.0
LEAN = .NOT. RICH
CX=3.
HY=8.
W = ENH/CX
Z = O2/CX
DEL = CX/HY

CALCULATE PSI AND CHI FOR BURNED GASES

*** THESE VALUES ARE RETURNED IN COMMON /CHARGE/ /PRODNR/ /CMPS
IER = 0
IF (T .LT. 100.) IER = 1
IF (T .GT. 6000.) IER = 2


GET THE COMPOSITION IN MOLES/MOLE OXYGEN OF OXIDANT

\[ PCTRES = RESF R K \]
\[ PCN EW = 1.0 - RESF R K \]
\[ WBAR = (12.41 - \Delta \delta) \times (PHI F R + PHIG R + PCTRES) \times EPS + 32.48 \times XI \]

If (RICH) go to 13.

*** THE LEAN VALUES NOT DEPENDENT ON TEMP. (CONSTANT) ***

11 \[ TMOLES = XI \times (1. + EPS \times PHI F R / CX) \times PCTNEW + (1. + (1. \times EPS) \times PHI G R + EPS \times PHI G R (2H/2.)) \times PCTRES \]
\[ X(1) = EPS \times PHI G R \times TMOLES / TMOLES \]
\[ X(2) = (0.1 - EPS) + EPS \times PHI G R \times PCTRES / TMOLES \]
\[ X(3) = 0. \]
\[ X(4) = 0. \]
\[ X(5) = (1. - PHI G R) \times PCTRES / TMOLES \]
\[ X(6) = (XI + PHI G R) \times 2. \times PCTRES / TMOLES \]
\[ X(7) = PCTNEW \times EPS \times PHI F R / CX \times TMOLES \]

WBAR = MBAR / TMOLES

DCDT = 0.
GO TO 35.

*** THE RICH CASE ***

13 \[ TMOLES = XI \times (1. + EPS \times PHI F R / CX) \times PCTNEW + (1. + (2. \times EPS) \times PHI G R + EPS \times PHI G R (2H/2.)) \times PCTRES \]
\[ X(5) = PCTNEW / TMOLES \]
\[ X(6) = (XI + EPS \times PHI G R) \times 2. \times PCTRES / TMOLES \]
\[ X(7) = PCTNEW \times EPS \times PHI F R / CX \times TMOLES \]

WBAR = MBAR / TMOLES

*** THE CALCULATIONS THAT MUST BE PERFORMED EACH TIME ***

CB1 = 2. \times PHI G R \times (1.) + EPS \times PHI G R

GA1 = 2. \times EPS \times PHI G R \times PHI G R \times (1.)

BETA = EPS \times PHI G R \times Z + 2. \times (1. - EPS \times PHI G R)

*** THE RICH CASE ENTRY ***

20 \[ Z = 1000. / T \]
\[ K = EXP(2.743 + ZT \times (-1.761 + ZT \times (-1.611 + ZT \times 0.1283))) \]
\[ DKDT = -K \times ZT \times (-1.761 + ZT \times (-3.222 + ZT \times 0.9409)) \]
\[ ALPHA = 1.0 - K \]
\[ BETA = PHI G R + CB1 \times K \]
\[ GAMMA = GA1 \times K \]
\[ XX = BETA \times BETA + 4. \times ALPHA \times GAMMA \]
\[ PRINT*, XX \]

C = (\(-BETA + \sqrt{BETA \times BETA + 4. \times ALPHA \times GAMMA}) / (2. \times ALPHA)

DCDT = DKDT \times (C + (CB1 + C) - GA1)

X(1) = (EPS \times PHI G R - C) \times PCTRES / TMOLES

X(2) = (BETA + C) \times PCTRES / TMOLES

X(3) = C \times PCTRES / TMOLES

X(4) = (2.0 \times PHI G R - 1.) \times C \times PCTRES / TMOLES

C*** ENTRPY FOR CALCULATION OF ENTHALPY ***

35 \[ IR = 1 \]

IF (T .LT. 500.) IR = 2

**CALCULATE H, CP, AND CT AS IN WRITEUP, USING FITTED COEFFICIENTS FROM JANAF TABLES**

ENTHL = 0.

CSUBP = 0.

CSUBT = 0.

ST = T / 1000.

DO 40 J = 1, 6

TH = (((A4 + J, IR) / 4. \times ST + A3 \times J, IR) / 3. \times ST + A2 \times J, IR) / 2. \times ST + A1 \times J, IR) \times ST

TCP = (((A4 + J, IR) \times ST + A3 \times J, IR) \times ST + A2 \times J, IR) \times ST + A1 \times J, IR) \times ST + A5 \times J, IR)

TH = TH - A5 \times J, IR \times ST + A5 \times J, IR

ENTHL = ENTHL + TH \times X(J)

40 CSUBP = CSUBP + TCP \times X(J) + 1000. \times TH \times DCDT \times PCTRES \times TABLE(J)

APF19340
APF19350
APF19360
APF19370
APF19380
APF19390
APF19400
APF19410
APF19420
APF19430
APF19440
APF19450
APF19460
APF19470
APF19480
APF19490
APF19500
APF19510
APF19520
APF19530
APF19540
APF19550
APF19560
APF19570
APF19580
APF19590
APF19600
APF19610
APF19620
APF19630
APF19640
APF19650
APF19660
APF19670
APF19680
APF19690
APF19700
APF19710
APF19720
APF19730
APF19740
APF19750
APF19760
APF19770
APF19780
APF19790
APF19800
APF19810
APF19820
APF19830
APF19840
APF19850
APF19860
APF19870
APF19880
APF19890
APF19900
APF19910
APF19920
APF19930
APF19940
APF19950
APF19960
APF19970
APF19980
APF19990
APF20000
APF20010
APF20020
APF20030
APF20040
APF20050
APF20060
APF20070
APF20080
APF20090
APF20100
APF20110
APF20120
APF20130
APF20140
APF20150
APF20160
APF20170
APF20180
APF20190
APF20200
APF20210
APF20220
APF20230
APF20240
APF20250
APF20260
APF20270
APF20280
C THIS PART IS FOR FUEL PROPANE

FM=44.09
RFV=RGAS/FM
TH=RFV*(((0.23336E-10* T - 0.545131E-7/4.)* T
1 +0.351709E-4/3.)* T
1 +0.157505E-4/2.)* T
1 +1.31841)* T
1
TH=TH+1.0E-3*FM
TCP=RFV*(((0.23336E-10* T - 0.545131E-7)* T
1 +0.351709E-4)* T
1 +0.157505E-1)* T
1 +1.31841) +RFV
TCP=FM*TCP
ENTHLP = ENTHLP + TH*X(7)
CSUBP = CSUBP + TCP*X(7) + 1000.*TH*DCDT*PCTRES*TABLE(7)
ENTHLP = ENTHLP/MBAR
CSUBP = CSUBP/MBAR
CPU=CSUBP

NOW CALCULATE RHO AND ITS PARTIAL DERIVATIVES

USING PERFECT GAS LAW

RHO=PSCOR*MBAR*P/T
RHO=RHO
RU=RGAS/MBAR
GAM=(CSUBP/(CSUBP-RU))

IF(GAMU.LT.1. OR.GAMU.GT.2.) THEN
PRINT *, ************** EXECUTION STOPPED AT UPROP **************
PRINT *,
PRINT *, T = , T , P = , P
PRINT *, X(1) = , X(1) , X(2) = , X(2)
PRINT *, X(3) = , X(3) , X(4) = , X(4)
PRINT *, X(5) = , X(5) , X(6) = , X(6)
PRINT *, X(7) = , X(7) , RU = , RU
PRINT *, CPU , CPU , GAMU = , GAMU
PRINT *,
PRINT *, ************** EXECUTION STOPPED AT UPROP **************
PRINT *,
PRINT *,
ENDIF

IF(GAMU.LT.1. OR.GAMU.GT.2.) STOP

C ALL DONE
RETURN
APPENDIX I

SUBROUTINE SHAPE

GIVEN : CRG = CRANK ANGLE
VE = ENTRAINMENT VOLUME

GIVEN AS CONSTANTS : RR = RADIUS OF CYLINDER (HALF BORE)
RS = SPARK LOCATION FROM CENTER

RETURN : H = CHAMBER HEIGHT
RE = ENTRAINMENT RADIUS
AE = ENTRAINMENT SURFACE AREA

SUBROUTINE SHAPE(CRG,VE,H,RE,AE)
COMMON/BBORE/BORE

RR=BORE/2.
RS=0.75
M=VTO(CRG)/3.1416/RR**2.

RE=(3./2.*VE/3.1416)**(1./3.)
IF(RE.LE.H.AND.RE.LE.RR-RS) AE = 2.*3.1416*RE**2.
IF(RE.LE.H.AND.RE.LE.RR-RS) GO TO 4

DO 21 I=1,50
FRE=0.
AC=0.
Z0=0.
RO=(RE**2.-Z0**2.)**0.5
ALFO=3.1416
BETO=0.
IF(RO.GE.RR-RS) THEN
IF(RO.GE.RR+RS) THEN
ALFO=0.
BETO=3.1416
ELSE
ALFO=ACOS((RS**2.+(RO**2.-(RR**2.))/(2.*RS*RO))
BETO=ACOS((1-(RO**2.-(RR**2.))/(2.*RS*RR))
ENDIF
ENDIF

DO 21 Z = H/50.,H,H/50.
R0=0.
IF(RE.GT.Z) R=(RE**2.-Z**2.)**0.5
ALF=3.1416
BET=0.
IF(R.GE.RR-RS) THEN
IF(R.GE.RR+RS) THEN
ALF=0.
BET=3.1416
ELSE
ALF=ACOS((RS**2.+RR**2.-RR**2.)/(2.*RS*RR))
BETO=ACOS((RS**2.+RR**2.-RR**2.)/(2.*RS*RR))
ENDIF
ENDIF
FRE=FRE+(ALF*RR**2.+BETO*RR**2.+RS*RR*RSIN(BETO))
1 AE=AE+(ALF+ALFO)**(Z-Z0)/2.
RE=RE+AELF
BETO=BETO
Z0=Z
CONTINUE

1 PRINT*,"... NUMBER OF ITERATION EXCEEDS LIMIT IN "SHAPE" 1"
IF(1. GE. 50) STOP
IF(ABS(FRE)/VE.LE.1.E-03) GO TO 3.
2 CONTINUE
3 IF(RE.GE.((RR+RS)**2.+H**2.)**.5) THEN
   RE = ((RR+RS)**2.+H**2.)**.5
   AE = 0.
   ENDIF
4 RETURN
END
APPENDIX J

SUBROUTINE ISCOMP

GIVEN: VOL1 = TOTAL VOLUME BEFORE COMPRESSION
VOLF = TOTAL VOLUME AFTER COMPRESSION
PIN = PRESSURE BEFORE COMPRESSION

GIVEN IN COMMON BLOCK:
TEMP = TEMPERATURE OF EACH PARTICLE BEFORE COMPRESSION
VOL = VOLUME OF EACH PARTICLE BEFORE COMPRESSION
FFR = FUEL FRACTION OF EACH PARTICLE

RETURN: POUT = PRESSURE AFTER COMPRESSION
RETURN IN COMMON BLOCK:
TEMP = TEMPERATURE OF EACH PARTICLE AFTER COMPRESSION
VOL = VOLUME OF EACH PARTICLE AFTER COMPRESSION

SUBROUTINE NEEDED: UPROP, CLDPRD

SUBROUTINE ISCOMP(VOL1,VOLF,PIN,POUT)
COMMON/BURN/FFR(9999),IBURN(9999)
COMMON/TEMVOL/TEMP(9999),VOL(9999)
COMMON/PARMA/N,NT,N1,N2,N5
COMMON/UGASP/CCU(7),MBARU,RHUGAMU,CPU,HU,TU,RHOU
COMMON/UGAS/P/CC(7),MBAR,B,RHUGAM,CPB,HB
COMMON/CHARC/PHI
REAL VNEW(9999)

FO=44.45.*(32.376*28)/PHI

CALL UPROP(PIN,TEMP(N-1),ENTHLP,CSUBP,RHO)
POUT = PIN*(VOL1/VOLF)**GAMU
DO 2 ITS = 1,50
TOVOL=0.
UNB=0.
DO 1 I = 1,N+1
IF(IBURN(I).EQ.2) THEN
IF(UNB(I).EQ.0) THEN
CALL UPROP(PIN,TEMP(I),ENTHLP,CSUBP,RHO)
UNB=1.
ENDIF
VNEW(I) = VOL(I)*(POUT/PIN)**(-1./GAMU)
TOVOL=TOVOL+VNEW(I)
ELSE
CALL CLDPRD(PIN,TEMP(I),H,CP,RHO,IER)
VNEW(I) = VOL(I)*(POUT/PIN)**(-1./GAMU)
TOVOL=TOVOL+VNEW(I)
ENDIF
1 CONTINUE
TOVOL = TOVOL + (N-N-1.)*VNEW(NT+1)

C 2 POUT=POUT*(TOVOL/VOLF)**GAMU
IF(ABS(TOVOL-VOLF)/VOLF.LE.1.E-05) GO TO 9
IF(ITS.GE.50) PRINT*
1 ' ... NUMBER OF ITERATION EXCEEDS LIMIT IN "ISCOMP" '
IF(ITS.GE.50) STOP
2 CONTINUE

C 9 UNB=0.
DO 3 I = 1,MIN(N,9999)
IF(I.EQ.NT+1) THEN
IF(IBURN(I).EQ.2) THEN
IF(UNB(I).EQ.0) THEN
CALL UPROP(PIN,TEMP(I),ENTHLP,CSUBP,RHO)
UNB=1.
ENDIF
VOL(I)=VNEW(I)
TEMP(I)=TEMP(I)*(POUT/PIN)**((-GAMU-1.)/GAMU)
ELSE
CALL CLDPRD(PIN,TEMP(I),H,CP,RHO,IER)
ENDIF
3 CONTINUE
\[
\text{VOL}(I) = \text{VNEW}(I)
\]

\[
\text{TEMP}(I) = \text{TEMP}(I) \times (\text{POUT}/\text{PIN})^*(((\text{GAMB} - 1))/\text{GAMB})
\]

ENDIF
ELSE
VOL(I) = VOL(NT+1)
TEMP(I) = TEMP(NT+1)
ENDIF
3 CONTINUE
C RETURN
END
APPENDIX K

C

SUBROUTINE CODE(X,XEND,Y,YPRIM,DPDT,NQ,EP51,EP52,HLAST)
COMMON/BURN/FRF(9999),IBURN(9999)
COMMON/TENVOL,TEMP(9999),VOL(9999)
COMMON/CRC.ML/SA,CRC,CRCCTP
REAL YY(1000),YP(1000),YPRIM(1000)
REAL Y(NQ),YPRIM(NQ)

C

IF(NQ.GT.1000) PRINT*, 1, NUM OF EQUATIONS > 1000 !'
IF(NQ.GT.1000) STOP

C

CALL FCN(NQ,X,Y,YPRIM,DPDT)
TERM=ABS(Y(I)/YPRIM(I))
DO 7 I = 2,NQ
IF(Y(I).EQ.0.,OR.YPRIM(I).EQ.0.) GO TO 7
IF(ABS(Y(I)/YPRIM(I)).LT.TERM) TERM=ABS(Y(I)/YPRIM(I))
7 CONTINUE

C

H=MAX1(EP51*TERM,HLAST,XEND/10)
C

PRINT*, 1, FIRST H = 1,H
NIT=0
NRH=0
N=0

DO 10 STEP = 1,100
IF(STEP.EQ.51) PRINT*, 1, WRN : NUM OF STEP > 50 AT CRC = 1,CRC
IF(STEP.GT.99) PRINT*, 1, NUM OF STEP > 99 AT CRC = 1,CRC
IF(STEP.GT.99) STOP
IFLAG = 0
IF(X+H.GT.XEND) HLAST=H
IF(X+H.GT.XEND) XEND=X

C

CALL FCN(NQ,X+H,Y,YPRIM,DPDT)
DO 11 I = 1,NQ
YY(I)=Y(I)
YP(I)=YPRIM(I)
Y(I)=Y(I)+H*YP(I)
11 CONTINUE

C

DO 12 I = 1,NQ
YP(I)=Y(I)
12 CONTINUE

C

CALL FCN(NQ,X+H,Y,YPRIM,DPDT)
DO 13 I = 1,NQ
Y(I)=YY(I)+H/2.(YP(I)+YPRIM(I))
13 CONTINUE

C

ITS=ITS+1
NIT=NIT+1

C

DO 14 I = 1,NQ
IB(Y(I).EQ.0.,OR.YP(I).EQ.0.) GO TO 14
DIF=ABS(Y(I)-YP(I))/AMINT(Y(I),YP(I))
IF(DIF.GE.EP52.AND.ITS.LT.5) GO TO 9
IF(DIF.GE.EP52.AND.ITS.GE.5) THEN
IF(ICALG.EQ.2)
1 PRINT*, 1, WRN : H IS REDUCED THE 3RD TIME AT STEP*,STEP
IF(IFLAG.GE.3)
1 PRINT*, 1, STEP CONVERGENCE FAILED. DIF/EPS2 = 1,DIF/EPS2
IF(IFLAG.GE.3) STOP
H=MAX1(AMINT(H/2.,H/DIF*EPS2),H/5.)
IFLAG=IFLAG+1
NRH=NRH+1
DO 15 J = 1,NQ
Y(J)=YY(J)
15 CONTINUE
IF(IFLAG.LE.3) GO TO 6
ENDIF
IF(STEP.EQ.1) THEN
ENDIF
CONTINUE
X=X+H
IF(X.EQ.XEND) GO TO 20
IF(ITS.LE.2) H=H*1.5
IF (ITS.LE.2) NIH = NIH + 1
10 CONTINUE

C 20 PRINT *, ' STEPS TAKEN = ', IT, ' CORRECTOR ITERATION = ', NIT, ' NIH
C 20 PRINT *, ' STEPSIZE REDUCTION = ', NRH, ' STEPSIZE INCREASE = ', NIH
C 20 PRINT *, ' LAST H = ', HLAST
20 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE AGEMIX(DELT,W,NM,DSEE)
C
COMMON/MIX/MASS,F3, VOL3,T03
COMMON/PARNUM/N,NT,N1,N2,N3
COMMON/BURN/FR(9999),IBURN(9999)
COMMON/TEMPVC/TMP(9999),VOL(9999)
COMMON/IDNUM/IDN(9999)
COMMON/AGE/NAE,NP(500),FM(500)
REAL NM,CHosen(9999)
INTEGER TR(2),K, NR
DOUBLE PRECISION DSEE
C
NM = NUMBER OF PAIRS TO BE MIXED AT A FREQUENCY OF 'W'.
C
IF(W*DELT.GT.0.5) PRINT*,"W*DELT =",W*DELT,"> 0.5 !"
IF(W*DELT.GT.0.5) STOP
DO 100 I = 1,NT
  CHOSEN(I)=O.
100 CONTINUE
C
NP2=0.
DO 99 IAGE = 2, NAGE
  IF(IAGE.NE.2) NP2 = NP2 + NP(IAGE-2)
  XM = DELT*W*(NP(IAGE)+NP(IAGE-1))
  NM = INT(XM)
  FM(IAGE) = FM(IAGE)+NM
  IF(FM(IAGE).GE.1.) NM = NM+1
  IF(FM(IAGE).LT.1.) FM(IAGE) = FM(IAGE)-1
99 CONTINUE
C
RANDOM GENERATOR "GGUD" GENERATES NR INTEGERS FROM 1 TO K
C ( INITIAL SEED DSEE RANGES FROM 1.000 TO 2147483647.000 )
C
K = NP(IAGE)+NP(IAGE-1)
NR = 2
C
DO 130 I = 1,NM
  COUNT=O.
22 CALL GGUD(DSEE,K,NR,1R)
  I1=IR(1)+NP2
  I2=IR(2)+NP2
  IF(CHosen(I1),EQ.1. OR. CHOSEN(12),EQ.1.) COUNT=COUNT+1.
  IF(CHosen(I2),EQ.1. OR. CHOSEN(12),EQ.1.) COUNT=COUNT+1.
  IF(COUNT.GT.K) PRINT*," K =",K, NM =",NM
  IF(COUNT.GT.K) STOP
  IF(COUNT.GT.K) STOP
  IF(COUNT.GT.K) PRINT*,"," COUNT =",COUNT
  IF(COUNT.GT.K) STOP
  IF(CHosen(I1),EQ.1. OR. CHOSEN(12),EQ.1.) GO TO 22
  CHOSEN(1)=1.
  CHOSEN(12)=1.
130 CONTINUE
IF(IBURN(1),NE.IBURN(12),OR.
  (IBURN(1),EQ.1. AND. IBURN(12),EQ.1.) THEN
  IF(IAGE.EQ.2) GO TO 97
97 CALL MIXPRO(FR(11),FR(12),VOL(11),VOL(12),TMP(11),TMP(12))
  FFR(11)=F3
  FFR(12)=F3
  VOL(11)=VOL3
  VOL(12)=VOL3
  TMP(11)=T03
  TMP(12)=T03
  DO 8 J = 1,NP(IAGE)
    IF(IDN(J),EQ.11. OR. IDN(J),EQ.12) IDN(J)=0
  8 CONTINUE
  IDN(11)=0
  IDN(12)=11
  ENDIF
130 CONTINUE
99 CONTINUE
CALL COUNT(NT)
C
RETURN
END