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ABSTRACT

This thesis comprises an assessment of the introductory poem of a book of six, known as the "first elegy by Maximianus". The authorship of the poem is uncertain, and a principal objective of the study is to shed light on this puzzle. A review of the poem's quality and its historical context leads to the thesis that it could have been authored as a school exercise by one or a group of students of Latin literature writing in the 6th century A.D.

The influence of earlier writers on the composition of the poem has been examined by performing a thorough search through classical Latin literature for phrases that are similar to those found in this "first elegy by Maximianus". Such a study would have been prohibitively time-consuming until recently, when the introduction of high-speed computers has made the exercise feasible. In the present work, the PHI CD-ROM collection of the complete Republican and early Imperial Latin literature was used as the primary reference for phrase-matching. A methodology has been developed and described for classifying the probability of earlier influence on phrases in the poem.

A complete translation of the poem is followed by a commentary on the influence of previous writings on phrases in the first 220 lines of the poem that have been found in the course of the computer search to be similar to phrases used by previous authors. It is shown that 62 phrases and half-lines are likely to have been influenced by the Latin literature of the period from 250 B.C. to 200 A.D. The evidence is consistent with the suggestion in this thesis that the elegy could have been a school exercise written by an immature student or group of students.
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PREFACE

Although the first elegy of Maximianus is 292 lines long, this commentary stops at line 220 to keep within the specifications of an MA thesis.

The basic assumption in this research is that the best way to perform a thorough search of ancient literature is with the use of modern computing tools in conjunction with a suitable data base, in this case the PHI CD-ROM disk. On examining the results of this document, the reader can decide for himself whether or not this is true.

With this type of research I found two disadvantages: first, being tied to a machine I was essentially cut off from the normal interactions amongst fellow students, and, second, the sheer volume of the data and the accompanying problem of evaluation. I would like to thank Professors Richard Burgess and Eleanor Dickey for their advice in assessing the material and improving the translation. I am also grateful to Richard Levis for careful and critical review of parts of the manuscript, and to Trudy Seliger, Susan Wheat and Theresa Fruhwirth for assistance in the interpretation of German texts.

This research experience has forced me to become computer-literate or, at least, computer-acquainted. For this I am grateful to my husband Bill and my youngest daughter Emily who have patiently guided me in the use of the computer and unfailingly responded with first aid in many crises. My family’s moral support all along has been exceptional.

24 Feb. 1997

Margaret Tyson
ABBREVIATIONS

The following abbreviations are used throughout the text:


Latin authors and their works are generally abbreviated as in the Oxford Latin Dictionary. The exception is the Aeneid, referred to as Aen.

As much as possible, all citations have been checked in either the Oxford Classical Texts or the Teubner Editions and reference given to these editions.
THE FIRST ELEGY BY MAXIMIANUS:
A TRANSLATION AND COMMENTARY
BASED ON AN ANALYSIS
OF POSSIBLE EARLIER LATIN INFLUENCES
FOUND BY A COMPUTER SEARCH ON THE PHI CD-ROM DISK

INTRODUCTION

This elegy, almost three hundred lines long, is the introductory poem of a book of six, the last one a short epitaph. The authorship of the six poems is something of a mystery. The poet is given the name Maximianus (referred to in this thesis as M.), because that is the name of the dramatic character in the fourth elegy.¹ Nothing is known of his character and interests except what can be inferred from a careful study of the poems in which he comes across as a self-centred cynic. If the poems are autobiographical, we learn that he was born in Etruria, he was a poet, a teacher and an advocate in Rome who was sent as a legate to the east.

The elegies are probably best known for the fact that they were once mistakenly attributed to Cornelius Gallus, the first Roman elegist of note and a friend of Vergil and

¹ W., p.9.
Octavian. Pomponius Gauricus, who edited the elegies in Venice in 1501, is given the credit for this attribution, which was accepted in some quarters\(^2\) as late as 1879, e.g. Lewis and Short cite Gallus as the author. There was always debate on the matter. In 1836, Jules Grenouille made reference\(^3\) to *éditions et traductions les plus rares et les plus estimées de Maximien et de Gallus*. Aside from the fact that the text has a superficial resemblance to classical Latin, the main reason for the original designation is that right in the first line of the second elegy is an address to one *formosa Lycoris*, the name of Gallus' lover. Other factors contributed as well: the fifth elegy opens with *missus ad eoas legati munere partes*, indicating the poet held a high post in the East, and in lines 10 to 14 of the first elegy he tells of his brilliant youth in Rome.\(^4\) These autobiographical details could apply to Gallus, who, early in the principate, was favoured by Augustus at Rome and was sent to govern Egypt.

The best-known text is that edited by Emil Baehrens, *Poetae Latini Minores V*\(^5\), published by Teubner in the latter part of the nineteenth century. Subsequently, C. Ratkowitsch, F. Spalteustein and R. Webster (referred to in this thesis as R., Sp., and W.) conducted their research using this text. W.'s edition is published with a text, a full *apparatus criticus*\(^6\) and a commentary. (Further discussion of the commentaries of W. and Sp. is given below under "Methodology".) I had at my disposal both the Teubner text and

---


\(^4\) W., p.15.


\(^6\) See p.21ff. for W.'s text and critical appendix.
W.'s edition; it is the latter that I used. With regard to the manuscript tradition there follows a brief summary based on W. (p.17-21): although it is not complete, it focuses on the important manuscripts and two that I cite.

In the manuscript tradition the first position is usually assigned to A, *Etonensis*, of the 11th century. Unfortunately the manuscript has no title, but it alone transmits the correct readings at 1.225, 272 and 292. A, however, has some problems. There are frequent misspellings. For example, the *h* is misplaced at 1.6, 41, 109, 215 and 258, and the *b* and the *v* are interchanged at 1.76, 109, 123, 133, 135, 186. Both errors seem to be due to the original of A. W. gives examples of three errors attributed to tachygraphy: 1.207, *plaudent*; 1.253, *aequet*, and 1.273, *consumat*. W. believes that M. 1.11 is demonstrably corrupt, and that A cannot be considered a sole guide for reconstructing the text. Next chronologically comes a fragmentary codex S, *Reginensis 1424*, of the 11th century, but which is also untitled. Many of its readings are the result of careless mistakes. (I refer to S in the commentary at M. 1.50 and 99.) The following two manuscripts, F and V, are considered indispensable for the restoration of A. F, *Florentinus or Riccardianus 1224*, 12th century, is inscribed *Incipit liber Maximiani*. It is remarkable for careful corrections of miswritings, e.g. 1.157 and 279. Its errors in company with A seem "to prove a new relationship" (F also has some misplaced *h*’s), and in the fourth and fifth elegies it keeps the true reading along with A at 4.8; 37; 5.59, and 67. However, in the fifth elegy line 28 seems to indicate "several removes" from A, and the differences from A at 3.81 and 5.26 suggest that it may have been copied from two different MSS. V, *Palatinus 24*, 13th or 14th century, is headed
Maximianus, but stops at 4.44. It has many agreements with A and F; all three keep rabidi against rapidi of the other MSS. However, V has an isolated reading at 1.286.

There are four codices of the 13th or 14th century which W. classes together under the title docti: B, Barberinianus VIII 41 lacks a title and omits 3.57-4.25. G, Leidensis (Gronovii) 87, is entitled Maximianus; its spellings show some accuracy and an "ancestor" must have passed through the hands of a syntactician (see my comment on M. 1.63). L, Leidensis (Lipsii) 36, has many variae lectiones often coinciding with the better MSS.

Finally, M, Regius 15 A VII, has the heading Maximianus; the manuscript is possibly a curriculum anthology as it contains works from Cato, Theodulus, and Avianus - all four were popular in schools. Similar in quality to the four above are Bo1, Bodleianus 38, 11th or 12th century, which lacks 1.1-54 and 3.2-4.59; Bo2, Bodleianus auct. 5, 6, 13th century and Br, Britannicus add. 21.213, 13th century, headed incipient nuge Maximiani. The scribe who added nugae to the title must have considered the work childish in part because it was used for the abecedarians in the schools. Of the excerpted MSS the oldest is Man.,

Parisinus 2832, of the ninth century, with the title Eugenius de Sene. This text shows marked variance from the nearest approach that can be made to a fundamental text. W., however, finds Man. useful for the dating of M.8

The dating of M. is a puzzle. The fact that Boethius is mentioned in the third elegy - solus, Boeti, fers miseratus opem (1.48) - does not necessarily make him a contemporary.
Boethius could have preceded him, or the name could have been made up, or the Boethius of

---
7 Curtius, p.464.
8 W., p.17-21.
the poem could have been someone else of the same name as the celebrated Boethius of the sixth century A.D. W. in fact dates M. in the 6th century, but he bases his conclusion partly on the MS evidence. He argues that because of the deterioration of Man, it must be a long way from its archetype and, in addition, the fact that Man is a quotation in an anthology points to a popularity of some length of time. W. believes that there is at least a century and a half of text history before it. Because of the imitations of Eugenius of Toledo (whose name heads the six lines of Man and who died in 657 A.D.) in the tenth and eleventh poems of his minor works and the many verbal likenesses to the Consolatio of Boethius, he believes the date of writing to be between 524 A.D. and 657 A.D.⁹ E.R. Curtius (p.636), Pierre Riche (p.68), and Barry Baldwin (p.350) are also agreed on the 6th century.

On the other hand, R. assembles a great deal of evidence in favour of setting the date in the ninth century. She has found in medieval Latin literature many parallels with M. A most interesting one is the line quin etiam virtus fulvo pretiosior auro (l.19), for which I have listed two parallels with pretiosior auro in Ovid, but she also has discovered two parallels with fulvo pretiosior auro from writers of the ninth century A.D.: Alcuin (735 - 804 A.D.) c. 55.1.5 argento melior, fulvo pretiosior auro, and Florus of Lyon (ca. 860 A.D.) c.14.2 purior argento, fulvo pretiosior auro.¹⁰ M. was not necessarily a contemporary of these two writers. He could have preceded them and influenced their works. M. is known to have been read zealously in the Middle Ages, for his poems were included in anthologies, as noted above, and were part of school curricula.¹¹

¹⁰ R., p.33. Note the similarity of these two lines.
¹¹ Curtius, pp.50 and 464.
Although the century in which M. wrote is disputed, no one can argue the fact that society was Christian at the time. M.'s poems, however, have no Christian themes. W. therefore believes that M. was not a Christian, and that any hint of Christianity is ruled out by the author's general cynicism.\(^\text{12}\) R. states that he imitates Christian poets. For example, *has inter virtutis opes tolerantia rerum* (1.33) has the same verse beginning as Sedulius (ca. 435 A.D.) c.p.5.1 *has inter virtutis opes iam proxima paschae / coeparat esse dies.*\(^\text{13}\) Moreover, R., in the same chapter, draws a parallel with a pentameter hemistich in Prudentius (348-410? A.D.) at *Tit. hist.* 79, *omnia convenient Christo chlamys atque corona.* In *Secular Latin Poetry* Vol. 1,\(^\text{14}\) M. is listed with the Latin poet, Ennodius, and the claim is made that "there is no trace of Christianity" and that he is the product of secular schools, although "a few turns of phrase ... may be unconscious adaptations of Christian thought". Barry Baldwin states in his anthology that M. "is to be compared with Luxorius in Vandal Africa and Greek epigrammatists of sixth century Constantinople. All were Christians (genuine or nominal), often pretending to be pagans in a pagan world".\(^\text{15}\) Such a dichotomy is not surprising in the fifth or sixth century, when education was still based on the classical authors recommended by Augustine (354 - 430 A.D.) and Apollinaris Sidonius (ca. 430 - 437 A.D.)\(^\text{16}\) in spite of a Christian society.

\(^{12}\) W., p.14.

\(^{13}\) R., p.69-70. W. in his commentary on this line claims that the like opening in Alcuin, *Avitus* 6.380 *has virtutis opes* "makes it probable that the phrase is a poetic commonplace".

\(^{14}\) Raby, p.125.

\(^{15}\) Baldwin, p.350.

\(^{16}\) Among the poets listed are Vergil, Silius Italicus, Terence, Horace, Ovid, Tibullus, Lucan, Statius; among the writers of prose are Cicero, Sallust, Caesar and Valerius Maximus (Riche, p.79).
Traditionally, scholars have made the claim that the author of these elegies was greatly influenced by the Latin literature of the Silver and Golden Ages, the poetry of Ovid in particular. Therefore, the aim of this thesis is to determine as far as possible these influences by searching through the literature of the republic and early empire for Latin antecedents of the phrases, vocabulary, grammatical usage and some poetic conventions in the first elegy of M. with the aid of the PHI CD-ROM disk. The PHI CD-ROM disk covers Latin literature from its beginnings, approximately 250 B.C., to the end of the second century A.D., the same period covered by the Oxford Latin Dictionary. The approach is different from that of other studies, however, because a computer search for words and phrases in Latin via the PHI CD-ROM disk is more thorough than has been feasible in the past. The result is a more comprehensive listing of the parallels in M. with the four hundred and fifty years of Latin literature and language from 250 B.C. to 200 A.D.

The initial proposal for this thesis was "to settle once and for all the period in literary history to which M. belongs". Although I found many parallels with the earlier period of Latin, it is impossible to fix a date without treading on perilous ground. At least three hundred years had passed between the end of the second century A.D. and the earliest date during which scholars have agreed that these elegies on the miseries of old age were written. Therefore, even if a phrase in M.'s poem is identical to one used in, for example, Augustan elegy, it is impossible to say for certain that M. was directly influenced by the earlier poem. The phrase may have entered the vernacular and been used generally by

---

18 Suggested by J. Yardley.
everyone without knowing from where it came: for example, nowadays people often say "there’s nothing new under the sun" without realizing that the expression comes from the Bible.¹⁹ There are, moreover, numerous other possibilities. A similar phrase may have appeared in another poem, now lost, or may have become a common proverb much like our "he who pays the piper calls the tune". Finally, the phrase could well have been M.'s own and by coincidence was the same as that of a previous writer. The likelihood of this happening is especially possible here since the rules for the elegiac metre are so restrictive.

Historical Background

To understand the elegies of M., it is necessary to know something about his cultural background. For a summary of Roman education during the late empire, one need turn only to the writings of Augustine (354 - 393 A.D.), which tell of his experiences as a student and teacher; the Confessions contain memories of his own schooling in the authors, and in his de Utilitate Credendi he cites the grammarians Asper, Cornutus and Donatus.²⁰ In elementary studies, grammar was morphological in nature and dominated by the notion of classicism, i.e. the Latin taught was not contemporary speech, but the language of ancient books. From these language studies, the student went on to literature under the tutelage of the grammaticus. Vergil was at the top of the list, and then proximo sed longo intervallo came Terence; Horace, Lucan, Persius, Ovid, Catullus and Juvenal are cited by Augustine, and

¹⁹ Ecclesiastes I, 9.
²⁰ Marrou, H.I. St. Augustin et la Fin de la Culture Antique, p.11.
Marrou wonders if only chosen pieces of their works were assembled in anthologies. Amongst the writers of prose, Cicero is first, both for eloquence and philosophy; other writers retained are Seneca, Sallust and possibly Apuleius. This programme conforms to a tradition steeped in the past. In Roman schools, during the first century A.D., the works of Vergil, Ovid, Statius, and Lucan were read during their lifetime or shortly afterwards. In the latter half of the first century A.D., Quintilian advised that Vergil and Cicero be a major part of the school curriculum. The influence of Quintilian and the tradition of teaching poetry and Cicero continued into the late Empire, even as Christianity grew as a force in society.

The study of the classics under the grammaticus proceeded in four phases: lectio, emendatio, enarratio, iudicium. Lectio was the reading aloud of great literature to practice diction, which was important for oratory; in conjunction with lectio was recitatio - the young student learned by heart the best passages of the authors. For emendatio, he was required to criticize the style of texts (morphology, figures of speech and thought, metre, rhetorical procedures) and do compositions of his own in the ancient manner, some of the most important exercises in Augustine's view. For iudicium, a short review of an entire work was made and constituted an aesthetic judgment. Commentaries, e.g. Servius on Vergil, and Macrobius on the Somnium Scipionis of Cicero, became available to aid the student.

---

21 Ibid. p.1119.
23 Ibid. p.278.
24 Ibid. p.324.
25 Marrou, Augustin, p.20.
The schema for the teaching of rhetoric consisted of five divisions: *inventio*, *dispositio*, *elocutio*, *memoria*, and *actio*. The subject matter for the art of rhetoric comprised three kinds of eloquence: judicial oratory, deliberative oratory (originally political oratory in a public assembly) and panegyric or epideictic oratory. After the loss of freedom in the empire, the first two branches had no practical value and became exclusively school exercises. During the Hellenistic period, epideictic eloquence became very important; for it was politically wise to praise a ruler and "praise of the ruler" became a separate genre. Other genres included funeral, consolatory, birthday orations. One of the most important stylistic techniques in epideictic oratory was the elaborate *descriptio* of people, places, works of art (late antique and medieval poetry used it lavishly).26 There were rules for the choice and collocation of words and figures of speech, rules which were based on Augustine's interpretation of Cicero. Thus were recommended isocolon (the coupling of period members of equal length), antitheton (connection of two period-members which contain contrasting ideas), and homoioteleuton (isocolon with rhyme at the ends of the cola).27

In the 5th century, the Germanic invasions destroyed, for the most part, the Roman schools and culture throughout the empire. The only two exceptions were Vandal Africa and Italy. Italy was fortunate in that the Ostrogoth king, Theodoric (493 - 526 A.D.) appreciated the greatness of classical culture. Because of his interest in education, "Latin literature and thought had their last brief spell of glory - a real renaissance, thanks to the work of Boethius and Cassiodorus".28 Teachers’ salaries were actually paid by the state and there was still

---

26 Curtius, p.68-71.
27 Ibid., p.74.
teaching in rooms around Trajan’s forum, where there were public recitations as well.

Although Rome was the main university town, academic life flourished in Milan and Ravenna as well.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, in Italy, students continued to learn the art of speaking well in the manner of the great poets and writers of the past, and, according to the records of Ennodius and Arator, the authors studied included those already recommended by Augustine and Sidonius Appolinaris.\textsuperscript{30} When students performed the practical exercises of rhetoric, the \textit{suasoria} and the \textit{controversia}, they followed the advice of Seneca the elder. However, it is to be remembered that this effort to master the secrets of ancient poetic metres and rhythmic prose was made in order to speak with elegance a language that was not current in society.

The scholar achieved this elegance, but his inspiration was impoverished.\textsuperscript{31}

Given the status of the texts and the historical background, scholars conclude that the poems are not autobiographical, but are dramatic descriptions of old age,\textsuperscript{32} with many erotic allusions. R. is largely of the same opinion, but believes that M. has written a parody of a monk’s life by taking over phrases and situations from Ovid’s erotic poetry (\textit{Amores}, \textit{Ars Amatoria}, \textit{Heroides}), and transforming them into the outward appearance of lamentations. There are those who accept the autobiography, among them L.R. Lind, who published a translation in 1988.\textsuperscript{33} Sp., moreover, tends to deny an erotic interpretation to many phrases (these are noted in the commentary). If the poems are autobiographical the argument runs along the following lines. Considering the educational milieu of the author of M., if he lived

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{29} Ibid., p.345-6. \\
\textsuperscript{30} Riche, p.79. \\
\textsuperscript{31} Ibid., p.83. \\
\textsuperscript{32} W., p.8. \\
\textsuperscript{33} Prof. Lind belittles W.’s arguments against an autobiographical interpretation, p.315.
\end{flushright}
in Rome in the 6th century A.D. he would have been familiar with at least what the schools required a student to study of classical poetry and Cicero, and the techniques of rhetoric. All of this literature that he had either read, recited, or heard recited, would have been in the back of his mind when he wrote his poems. Some phrases, particularly those that appear frequently at the end or beginning of a verse or those that have a particularly memorable sound or unusual vocabulary, he would have remembered, at least in part. This is a natural result of a school system based on the recitation of authors.

The above assessments all attribute a certain earnestness to the elegies and infer a single author. There are reasons, however, to doubt this picture. The poem bears the trademarks of a school exercise on the topos of *vituperatio senectutis*,\(^{34}\) written by a student or even a group of students. Possibly several students constructed a parody of the topos for the fun of lampooning someone they were supposed to respect: a senator, perhaps, or a rhetor. M. may even have been the rhetor.\(^{35}\) In any case, the persona of the elegies is someone who thinks of himself as being important, but who is reaping the effects of a dissipated life and constantly bemoaning the wretchedness of his old age. Since the writing style is mostly an exercise in metre, rhetorical phrasing and various types of wordplay, with snippets of lines from earlier authors, one can easily attribute it to students, who were fed up with a superior's grumbling and decided to brighten a dull assignment with a joke.

When the young consider old age, they view the condition of a grouchy old man partly with horror and partly with the disbelief that they could ever find themselves in such a

\(^{34}\) The *Vituperatio Senectutis* goes back as far as Theophrastus and is found in Seneca and Varro in his Menippean Satires. Lind, p.313.

\(^{35}\) Teuffel's interpretation of M., l.283, is that he was perhaps a schoolmaster, p.551. According to Riche, *rhetor* was synonymous with *orator* in this period, p.68.
condition. This elegy displays no empathy for age and systematically makes fun of an old man. Much like Alceste in the first three acts of Moliere’s *Le Misanthrope*, he shows only one side to his character. He displays ridiculousness in his egotistic assumption that he alone is always right (*se solum doctum, se iudicat esse peritum* (1.199)), suspiciousness of others (*efficior custos rerum magis ipse mearum / conservans aliis, quae periere mihi* (1.187-8)), and arrogance which he betrays in his eulogy (1.9-100). There is no evidence of philosophy or depth of thinking that might come from maturity, but bald cleverness abounds: ancient writers are pillaged for phrases, and this lament on old age is a barely concealed excuse for eroticism. All the techniques of irony are fully exploited to reveal the dissolute nature of the "respected" M. The name "Maximianus" may itself be a sobriquet; W. has suggested "Mr. Oldster", but I incline to "the Big Shot" or "the Big Blow”.

The poem is modelled on the *consolatio*, a sub-species of epideictic oratory, and is divided according to the five parts that make up an oration. The persona of the poem is contrasting his illustrious youth with his lonely old age, an old age so miserable that he wishes for death. His introduction (*exordium*) opens the elegy with an apostrophe to a personified Senectus, requesting that she free his tired body from its wretched life. Then, from lines 9 to 100, he gives his own eulogy (*narratio*): first, he lets his mind wander over the accomplishments of his youth up to 1.44; second, after a brief interlude on morality, in which he says he has coveted nothing, he describes his success in society especially among

---

36 W, p.10. The name Maximianus appears only in the fourth elegy, which is not about old age. "The Big Shot" is a mere appropriate interpretation since the persona is not always senile, but does always have an inflated ego.
37 W. suggests that the third elegy may be a parody of the *consolatio*, p.12.
38 These were originally established for judicial orations and adapted to the other oratorical genres. Curtius, p.70.
women (1.59-74); third, he claims he is unmarried because he couldn’t find anyone worthy of himself and goes on to explain why (1.75-100). After panegyrizing himself in this manner, he muses on the differences between childhood, youth, and old age (1.101-16). An extensive list of the physical ailments of old age follows (1.117-64), along with a brief complaint that no medicines help the old (1.165-74). Finally there is a long description of himself in old age, weakening and enduring more and more the mockery of the young (1.175-220). All of this is evidence (probatio) that it is time for Senectus to stop instantem cupiens fulcire ruinam (1.171) and let him die. He then turns to the earth "his mother" and prays to her to take him back, expressing opposition (refutatio) to her unmotherly behaviour in line 234, non est materni pectoris ista pati, and, in line 231, reminding her that his eminent life deserves better explevi munera vitae (1.221-34). From lines 235 to 292, he recites another, more graphic list of the indignities of his living death, gives a grudging acceptance to the authority of Time, ipsa etiam veniens consumit saxa vetustas (1.273), and closes (peroratio) with the theme felix qui meruit tranquillam ducere vitam / et laeto stabiles claudere fine dies: / dura satis miseris memoratio prisci bonorum, / et gravius summo culmine mersa ruit, which harks back to mors est iam requies, vivere poena mihi (1.4) in the introduction.

Themes typical of the consolatio are present. One example of this is the consolation in the thought that even the mighty must die.\textsuperscript{39} The anonymous writer of the Consolatio ad Liviam in elegiac verse, for example, derives comfort from the belief that a life is not judged glorious by its length: quid numeras annos? vixi maturior annis: / acta senem faciunt: haec

\textsuperscript{39} Curtius, p.80. Achilles knows he’ll die young and finds consolation in the thought that even Heracles did not escape death. \textit{Iliad}, 18.117.
numerandi tibi / his aevum fuit implendum, non segnibus annis, (Epic. Drusi 447-449).

Similarly, M. eventually accepts the first consolatory theme that "all must die" et nullum est quod non tempore cedat opus (1.274). However, although his glorious days (1.9-100) have ended, he is nonetheless stuck with segnibus annis and can only bemoan the fact that the second consolatory theme does not apply to him. Being in a state of "non-death", he is absolutely inconsolable. Thus the author has turned the consolatio on its head and created an inconsolatio. The self-pitying M., whose power and lust are gone, declares that he cannot even look back on his own eulogy with pleasure, because the contrast of his present state has no relief except the elusive mors. The comic irony is obvious.

Methodology

In this study, which I conducted using the PHI CD-ROM disk, I also referred regularly to two commentaries of note that have been mentioned above. Richard Webster’s (W.’s) work, which is both scholarly and interesting, was published (in English) at the turn of the century. The second, a recent publication by François Spaltenstein (Sp.), includes a great deal of literary criticism. Both examine the poems for medieval, late Latin and classical sources and reveal an interesting number of the latter. W. identifies exact parallels, gives citations that illustrate that a phrase or usage is common, and cites passages that recall an idea found in the poem. Sp. clearly evaluates W.’s commentary, following similar procedures, but, in addition, he comments extensively on the style - even on individual
words. I did consult another translation, published by L.R. Lind in 1988, and W. lists one published in English in the 17th century, but this was not obtainable.

The advantage of the PHI CD-ROM disk is that the computer can do a word search through several centuries of Latin literature in a quarter of an hour (the speed varies with the quality of the computer). This is extremely useful for finding previous examples of some poetic devices, of a turn of phrase, or of grammatical usage, and for checking the validity of emendations. There are, however, some errors and difficulties. For example, the poems of the Appendix Vergiliana are attributed to Vergil; the anonymous Ilias and Epic. Drusi (Consolatio ad Liviam) are attributed respectively to Homerus Latinus and Ovid, the Declamationes Maiores to Quintilian and the Rhetorica ad Herennium to Cicero. Fragments are run together in a misleading way, e.g. Acc., Trag. 315, Item ac maestitiam mutam infantum quadrupedum comes up on the screen as the line immediately before Mors amici subigit, quod mi est senium multo acerrimum, although, according to the Teubner edition, they are two quite separate fragments. Unfortunately, the disk includes nothing from CIL or any other collection of inscriptions, an omission that is something of a handicap in studying M., as both W. and Sp. often cite the tombstone epitaphs for antecedents of M.'s phrases that have death themes or are eulogies. A big problem is that, in spite of its amazing speed and memory, the computer has no common sense whatever and the result is that a search usually turns up a plethora of citations and much time is spent in eliminating what is not useful. Probably the most frustrating aspect is that it cannot deal with ideas, so that it is  
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40 The only English translation mentioned in Richard Webster’s bibliography is by H. Walker, The Impotent Lover, accurately described in six elegies upon Old Age...made English from the Latin of Cn. Cornelius Gallus, London, 1689.
impossible to find the source of a poetic image or a philosophical truth unless the same
vocabulary is used; the extent to which this is a disadvantage cannot be underestimated, for
ideas and imagery are the essence of poetry and the fact of not being able to fully investigate
them makes the research very dull indeed.

In planning my approach, I felt it would be useful to examine previous Latin research
conducted on the PHI CD-ROM disk or one similar to it. However, because the technology
is relatively new, the literature does not contain any directly useful papers. Hence, it was
necessary to develop a methodology, described below.

Since the programme used in this research can only do a search for one or two words
at a time, I generally selected such pairs of words as a noun and its epithet, e.g. *aemula ...*
senectus (l.1); a verb and its subject, e.g. *occurrit ... pagina* (l.146); a verb and its
completion, e.g. *circumdare ... saltus* (l.23); an ablative absolute, e.g. *compage soluta*
(l.173); two nouns, e.g. *mens sensusque* (l.9) or *mater amoris* (l.84); prepositional phrases,
e.g. *in amplexu* (l.87); contrasting words, e.g. *libet ... pigebit* (l.159); paronomasia, e.g. *ut*
vivamus vivere (l.156); repetition, e.g. *iam ... iam* (l.153-54); polyptoton, e.g. *diversos ...*
diversa (l.103); rhyme, e.g. *levitate ... gravitate* (l.105); paradox, e.g. *vincere ... victus*
(l.44); alliteration, e.g. *quoque ... quondam* (l.47); antithesis, e.g. *una ... duas* (l.46); and
the pair of words at verse end, e.g. *amoris habet* (l.84), and verse beginning, e.g. *desuper*
incumbens* (l.140). When hunting for antecedents of line beginnings and endings, I searched
exclusively through the poets. Some searches I conducted out of curiosity. For example,
1.45 *haut facile est animum tantis inflectere rebus* struck me as a dull line with a particularly
uninteresting ending and so I researched the ending -*ere + rebus* to find that it actually
occurs three times in the Aeneid. Occasionally, I initiated a search for one word only, if it seemed to me unusual or interesting, e.g. *garrulitate* (1.108), or if W. or Sp. label it uncommon, e.g. *deplangunt* (1.138).

My search found that the poem has an abundance of phrases and half-lines used in the literature of the period from 250 B.C. to 200 A.D. In my commentary many of the citations are simply illustrative, i.e. they are examples of similar grammatical usages, word combinations, figures of speech, poetic conventions, philosophical thoughts or proverbs. They give an indication of the extent to which the poem is written in the classical style. Phrases and figures of speech that appear in more than one author are usually considered to belong to the conventions of Latin poetry, and they serve to give the poem a place in the long tradition of Latin poetry. Moreover, some phrases seem to have been selected for a specific purpose. Those from epic poetry and panegyrics, for example, tend to give a mock heroic flavour, most appropriate for the hero M., who thinks he's a "Big Shot". Those from Ovid are ironic, because of the sexual undercurrent that betrays the genuine truth about M. Often a borrowed phrase contributes to assonance, antithesis or word play - devices so popular in late Latin. Finally, sometimes the choice is inexplicable, perhaps because of the difficulty in understanding the times, or because the students have put in something simply for ease of comparison or to fit the metre.

The phrases found in previous literature were divided into four categories.

The first category includes phrases that are identical in both M. and another author. A phrase must appear exclusively in one author (in addition to M.) and at least twice in that
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author, in the same position in the hexameter or pentameter. (Position does not apply to prose). The phrase may have come directly from the author, but not necessarily, for it could have come from a "phrase-book" or from another poet (either unknown, or of a later period than my study) who used the phrase. For example, *artis opus* (1.30) is placed in category I because it occurs in Ovid alone five times, always at the end of the pentameter. It is entirely reasonable to say that it is "Ovidian". Such phrases are labelled as follows (e.g.):

\{1 - Ovidian\}

The second category includes phrases that seem to have been quoted for a special, humorous effect. M. gives a twist to the meaning of the original in order to suit the stated theme of old age, but the reader is expected to remember the original sense and therein lies the humour. There may be only one antecedent. In the commentary an explanation is given for the choice of each phrase for this category, and each is identified as follows (e.g.):

\{2 - Humour, Ovidian\}

The third category includes phrases that seem to have taken their shape from an earlier poet; they are strongly similar to their models (there may be only one antecedent) in grammar, metre and vocabulary. There are several possible explanations for how this could have occurred. In some cases the changes create assonance, or alliteration, or some other word play (1.129). Occasionally the result of the poetic choice is mock heroism (1.93) or irony (1.142). Whatever the purpose of the altered phrases, I identify them as follows (e.g.):

\{3 - Model, Ovidian\}

The fourth category has two sections: section A consists of phrases or stylistic techniques found in more than one elegiac poet, i.e. the poetry of Propertius, Tibullus or
other elegists as well as Ovid, and section B consists of phrases that were used by at least two of the poets within the 450 year period that I am studying. Those phrases belonging to one or the other group are labelled either: \{4 A - Elegiac Tradition\} or \{4 B - Poetic Tradition\}.

The ratings are grouped together in the concluding section by author according to these four categories in order to evaluate the extent to which the literature of antiquity may have influenced the elegies of M.
CONSPECTVS CODICVM

A = Etonensis.
B = Barberinianus.
Bo. = Bodleianus 36.
Bo. = Bodleianus, Auct. 5, 6.
Br. = Britannicus Add. 21, 213.
Exc. Vor. = Excerpta Vorauensis.
Exc. Leid. = Excerpta Leidensis.
P = Florentinus.
G = Leidensis Gronovii 57.
Gad. = Gaddianus.
L = Leidensis Lipsii 36.
M = Britannicus Reg. 15, A. 7.
Man. = Parisinus 2832.
P = Palatinus 1573.
Par. = Parisinus 6319.
R = Reginensis 2060.
S = Reginensis 1424.
V = Palatinus 243.
AEMULA quid cessas finem properare senectus?
cur et in hoc fesso corpore tarda venis?
solve precor miseram tali de carceri vita:
mors est iam requies, vivere poena mihi,
non sum qui fueram: perit pars maxima nostri;
hoc quoque quod superest langor et horror habent.
lux gravis in luctu, rebus gratissima laetis,
quodque omni peius funere, velle mori,
dum iuvenile decus, dum mens sensusque maneret,
orator toto clarus in orbe fui.
saepe poetarum mendacia dulcia finxi
et veros titulos res mihi ficta dabat.
saepe perorata percepis lite coronam
et merui linguae praemia grata meae.
quae cum defectis iam sint immorta membris,
heu senibus vitae portio quanta manet!
nec minor his aderat sublimis gratia formae,
quae vel si desit, cetera multa placet,
quin etiam virtus fulvo pretiosior auro,
    per quam praecellitur plus micat ingenium.
si libuit celeres arcu temptare sagittas,
    occuropit telis praeda petita meis.
si placuit canibus densos circumdare saltus,
    prostravi multas non sine laude feras.
dulce fuit madidam si fors versare palaestram,
    implicui validis lubrica membra toris.
nunc agili cursu cunctos antecire solebam,
    nunc tragicci cantus excuperare melos.
augebat meritum dulcis mixtura bonorum,
    ut semper varium plus micat artis opus.
   nam quaeque solent per se perpensa placere,
    alterno potius iuncta decore placent.
   has inter virtutis opes tolerantia rerum
    spernebat cunctas insuperata minas:
   vertice nudato ventos pluviasque ferebam,
    non mihi solstitium, non grave frigus etat:
   innabam gelidas Tiberini gurgitis undas.
   nec timui dubio credere membra freto.
quamvis exiguo poteram requiescere somno
    et quamvis modico membra sove re cibo;
at si me subito vinosus reperit hospes
    aut fecit laetus sumere multa dies,
cessit et ipse pater Bacchus stupuitque bibentem
    et, qui cuncta solet vincere, victus abit.
haut facile est animum tantis inflectere rebus,
    ut res oppositas mens ferat una duas:
hoc quoque virtutum quondam certamine magnum
Socratem palmam promeruisset ferunt,
hinc etiam rigedium memorant valuisse Catonem:
non res in vitium, sed male facta cadunt.
intrepidus quaecumque forent ad utrasque ferebar:
cedebant animo tristiae cuncta meo.
pauperiem modico contentus semper amavi
et rerum dominus nil cupiendo fui.
tu me sola tibi subdis, miseranda senectus,
cui cedit quicquid vincere cuncta potest.
in te corruimus, tua sunt quaecumque fatiscunt,
ultima teque sub. conficis ipsa malo.
ergo his ornatum meritis provincia tota
optabat natis me sociare suis:
seme mihi dulce magis resuloto vivere collo
nullaque coniugii vincula grata pati.
ibam per mediam venali corpore Romam
spectandus cunctis undique virginitibus.
quaeque peti poterat, fuerat vel forte petita,
erubuit vultum, visa puella meum
et modo subridens latebras fugitiva petebat
non tamen effugiens tota latere volens,
sed magis ex aliqua cupidiebat parte videri,
laetior hoc potius quod male tecta fuit.
sic cunctis formosus ego gratiasque videbar
omnibus, et sponsus sic generalis eram,
sele tantum sponsus; nam me natura pudicum
fecerat, et casto pectore durus eram.
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nam dum praecipuæ cupio me iungere formae, 75
permansi viduo frigidus usque toro.
omnis foeda mihi atque omnis mihi rustica visa est
nullaque coniugio digna puella meo.
horrebam tenues, horrebam corpore pingues,
non mihi grata brevis, non mihi longa fuit.
cum media tantum dilexi ludere forma;
maior enim mednis gratia rebus inest.
corporis has nostri mollis lascivia partes
incolit, has sedes mater amoris habet.
quarebam gracilem, sed quae non macra fuisset:
carnis ad officium carnea membra placent.
sit quod in amplexu delectet stringere corpus,
ne laedant pressum quaelibet ossa latus.
candida contempsi, nisi quae suflusa rubore
vernarent propriis ora serena rosis.
hunc Venus ante alios sibi vindicat ipsa colorem,
diliget et florem Cyris ubique suum.
aurea caesaries demissaque lactea cervix
vultibus ingenuis visa sedere magis.
nigra supercilia, frons libera, lumina nigra
urebant animum saepe notata meum.
flammae dilexi modicumque tumentia labra,
quae gustata mihi basia plena darent.
in tereti collo visum est pretiosius aurum,
gemma et iudicio plus radiare meo.
singula turpe seni quondam quaesita referre;
et quod tunc decuit, iam modo crimen habet.
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diversos diversa iuvant: non omnibus annis
omnia conveniant: res prius apta nocet.
exultat levitate puer, gravitate senectus:
inter utrumque manens stat iuvenile decus.
hunc tacitum tristemque decet, fit clarior ille
laetitia et linguae garulitate sueae.
cuncta trahit secum vertitque volubile tempus
nec patitur certa currere quaeque via.
nunc quod longa mihi gravis est et inutili actas,
vivere cum nequeam, sit mihi posse mori.
o quam dura premit miseror condicio vitae:
secum mors humano subiacet arbitrio.
dulce mori miseris, sed mors optat recedit:
at cum tristis erit praecipitata venit.
me vero heu tantis defunctum in partibus olim
vivum Tartarae constat inire vias.
iam minor auditis, gustus minor; ipsa caligant
lumina; vix tactu nescere certa queo
nullus dulcis odor, nulla est iam grata voluptas:
sensibus expertem quis superesse putet?
en Lethaeae meam subeunt obliquia mentem,
nec confusa sui iam meminisse potest:
ad nullum consurgit opus, cum corpore languet
atque intenta sua astupet illa malis.
carmina nulla cano: cantando summa voluptas
efugit et vocis gratia vera perit.
non fora sollicito. non blanda poemata fingo,
  litibus haut rabidis commoda iura sequor.
ipsaque me species quondam dilecta reliquit
  et videor formae mortuus esse meae.
pro nivio rutiloque prius nunc inficit ora
  pallor et exanguis funereusque color.
aret sicca cutis, rigidi stant undique nervi,
et lacerant uncae scabida membra manus.
quondam ridentes oculi nunc fonte perenni
deplangunt poenas nocte dieque suas;
et quos grata prius ciliorum serta tegebant,
desuper incumbens hispida silva premit,
ac velut inclusi caeco conduntur in antro:
torvum nescio quid heu furiale vident.
iam pavor est vidisse senem, nec credere possis
  hunc hominem humana qui ratione caret.
si libros repeto, duplex se littera findit,
largior occurrit pagina nota mihi.
claram per nebulas videor mihi cernere lucem,
nubila sunt oculis ipsa serena meis.
eripitur sine morte dies: caligine caeca
  septum tartareo quis neget esse loco?
talia quis demens homini persuaserit auctor
  ut cupiat votu turpior esse suo?
iam subeunt morbi, subeunt discrimina mille,
  iam dulces epulae deliciaeque nocent.
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cogimur a gratis animum suspendere rebus,
atque ut vivamus vivere destitimus.
et me, quem dudum iam nulla adversa nocebat,
ipsa quibus regimur nunc alimenta gravant.
esse libet satrum: satrum mox esse pigebit;
praestat ut abstineam: abstinuissae nocet.
quia modo profuerat, contraria redditur esca:
fastidita iacet, quae modo dulcis erat.
non Veneris, non grata mihi sunt munera Bacchi,
 nec quicquid vitae fallere damna solet.
sola iacens natura manet, quae sponte per horas
solvitur et vitio carpitur ipsa suo.
non totiens experta mihi medicamina prosunt,
non aegris quicquid ferre solebat opem.
sed cum materia percut quaecumque parantur,
 fit magis et damnis tristior urna suis.
non secus instantem cupiens fulcire ruinam
 diversis contra nititur obicibus,
donec longa dies omni compago soluta
 ipsum cum rebus subruat auxilium.
quid quod nulla levant animum spectacula rerum,
nec mala tot vitae dissimulare licet?
turpe seni vultus nitidi vestesque decorae,
quis sine iamque ipsum vivere turpe seni.
crimen amare iocos, crimen convivia, cantus:
o miseris, quorum gaudia crimen habet.
quid mihi divitiae, quorum si dempseris usum,
quamvis largus opum, semper egenus ero?
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immo etiam poena est partis incumbere rebus,
quas cum possideas est violare nefas.
non aliter sitiens vicinas Tantalus undas
captat et appositis abstinet ora cibis.
officior custos rerum magis ipse mearum
conservans aliis, quae periere mihi;
sicut in auricomis dependens plurimus hortis
pervigil observat non sua poma draco.
hinc me sollicitum torquent super omnia curae,
hinc requies animo non datur ulla meo.
quae nequeo, semper retineo laboro,
et retinens semper nil tenuisse puto.
stat dubius tremulusque senex semperque malorum
credulus, et stultus quae facit ipse timet,
laudat praeteritos, praesentes despicit annos,
hoc tantum rectum, quod sapit ipse, putat.
se solum doctum, se iudicat esse peritum
et quod sit sapiens desipit inde magis.
multa licet nolis referens eademque revolvens
horret et alloquium conspuit ipse suum.
deficit auditor, non deficit ipse loquendo.
o sola fortes garrulitate sines!
omnia nequicquam clamosis vocibus implet:
nil satis est: horret, quae placuere modo.
arridet de se ridentus, 2 ac sibi plaudens
incipit opprobrio laetior esse suo.
hae sunt primitiae mortis, his partibus aetatis
defuit et pigris gressibus ima petit.
non habitus, non ipse color, non gressus cunctis,
non species eadem quae fuit ante manet.
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labitur ex umeris demisso corpore vestis.
quaque brevis fuerat iam modo longa mihi est.
contrahimur miroque modo decrescimus: ipsa

diminui nostri corporis ossa putes.
nec caelum spectare licet, sed prona senectus
terram, qua genita est et reditura, videt

litque tripes, prorsus quadrapes, ut parvulus infans,
et per sordentem (fleble) repetit humum.

ortus cuncta suos repetunt matremque requirunt,
et redit ad nihilum, quod fuit ante nihil.
hinc est quod baculo incumbens ruuita senectus
assiduo pigram verbere pulsat humum
et numerosa movens certo vestigia plausu
talia rugato creditur ore loqui:

'suscie me, genetrix, nati miserere laborum:
membra peto gremio fessa fovere tuo.
horrent me pueri, nequeo velut ante videri:
horrendos partus cur sinis esse tuos?

nil mihi cum superis: explevi munera vitae:
rede precor patrio mortua membra solo.

quid miseror variis prodest extendere poenis?

non est materni pectoris ista pati.'
his dictis trunco titubantes sustinet artus,
neglecti repetens stramina dura tori.
quo postquam iacuit, misero quid funere differt?

heu tantum addicti corporis ossa vides.
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cumque magis semper iaceam vivamque iacendo,
quis sub vitali computet esse loco?
iam poena est totum quod vivimus: urimur aestu,
officiunt nebulae, frigus et aura nocet,
ros laedit modicoque etiam corrumpimus imbre,
veris et autumni laedit amena dies.
hinc miserios scabies, hinc tussis anhela fatigat:
continuos gemitus aegra senectus habet.
hos superesse reor, quibus et spirabilis aer
et lux, qua regimur, redditur ipsa gravis?
ipsa etiam cunctis requies gratissima, somnus
avolat et sera vix mihi nocte reddi,
vel si lassatos umquam dignabitur artus,
turbidus in quantis horret imaginibus!
mollia fulcra tori duris sunt cautibus aequa,
parva licet magnum pallia pondus habent.
cogor per mediam turbatus surgere noctem
multaque, ne patiar deteriora, pati.
vincimur infirmi defectu corporis, et qua
noluero, infelix hac ego parte trahor.
omnia naturae solvuntur viscera nostrae,
et tam praeciprum quam male nutat opus!
his veniens onerata malis incurva senectus
cedere ponderibus se docet ipsa suis.
ergo quis has cupiat per longum ducere poenas
paulatimque anima deficiente morti?
morte morti melius, quam vitam ducere mortis
et sensus membris hic sepelire suis.
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265 morte morti melius, quam vitam ducere mortis
et sensus membris hic sepelire suis.
non queror heu longi quod totum solvitis anni:
improba naturae dicere iussa nefas.
deficient validi longaevo tempore tauri,
et quondam pulcer fit modo turpis equus.
fracta diu rabidi conpsecitur ira leonis
lentaque per senium aspera tigris erit.
ipsa etiam veniens consumit saza vetustas,
et nultum est quod non tempore cedat opus.
set mihi venturos melius praevertere casus
atque infelices anticipare dies.
poena minor certam subito perferre ruinam,
quod timeas gravior sustinuisse diu.
at quos fert alios quis posset dicere casus?
hoc quoque difficile est commemorasse seni.
iurgia, contemptus violentaque damna secuntur
nec quisquam ex tantis praebet amicus opem.
ipse me pueri atque ipsae sine lite puellae
turpe putant dominum iam vocitare suum.
irrident gressum, irrident iam denique vultum
et tremulum, quondam quod timuere, caput.
cumque nihil videam, tamen hoc spectare licebit
ut gravior misero poena sit ista mihi.
felix qui meruit tranquillam ducere vitam
et laeto stabiles claudere fine dies:
dura satis miseris memoratio priscis honorum,
et gravior summo culmine mersa ruit.
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I 1 aemula : a, mala B. (cp. CIL X 8131, 1) less picturesque; see comm. cessas : tardas Man. (from tarda below?—same confusion IV 35.) finem : mortem Man.—a gloss. 2 hoc fessu more likely original than efferto Man. (but cp. Traube; still hoc f.; hoc f.; ec f.; eff.; is possible—cp. exc. Vor. I 128); effetio (Traube corr.) is better, but Boet. de cons. I metr. 12 (not 9, as he cites), is not parallel, as there the personal pronoun has already been expressed (1, 3, etc.), here hoc is personal. tarda venis: t. manes Sittl (ALL 1—1884 S. 482); tardueris B.—tetrasyllabic close! pigra Par. Gad. Man. came in after tardas was written in I (cp. Pacuvius Herm. 179, Ov. Mett. X 396; Hrabanus Maurus XXXVII 7, Eugenius Tol. opusc. I xii 87.) 3 qui (Propertius I xii 11): quad B. and Ehwald (E); cp. Ov. Tr. III xi 25, V i 40; CIL VI 13528, 15. 11 mendacia dulcia (see Broer. p. 9); mend. carmina A, from gloss—Heege (p. 4, Anm.) cites mend. blandant—1 129. 18 multa: muta Barth (Ov. ex P. II vii 52); nulla Petsch. quae vel si desint cetera multa. (sic!) placet (or comma after cetera) Broer. 28 melos: melo M B. and Ellis); meiis B. (cp. Comediae Horatianae T. P. 68.) 42 multa: multa Ellis. 48 Socratem (Sidonius Ap. carm. II 178, XV 96 Socratieus): te Socrati Ellis. 63 venali (see comm.): invenili G (El. I 9, Comediae Horatianae N. S. 88—same vs. place; in inscr.—e. g. CIL VI 1975, 3); invenali Petsch. (Verg. V 475) 68 effugiens: et f. Barth (Verg. Buc. III 65), effugiiis B., e facie Ellis: see comm. 95 nigra alterum; clara B (B RP; cp. Comediae Horatianae N. S. 39); pigra Petsch. If any change were necessary, iima would be better than pigra, as it suggests innocent coquetry. 107 hunc . . . illus: haece . . . illa Br. (and Ellis). clarior: carior vulgate (and Ellis). 113 condicio: contrito or descriptio Ellis. 117 defunctum partibus (omitting in) Ellis. 119 catigant: vacillant Ellis. 126 obstupet (A etc.) unclassical; a / stupet B.; ars stupet Owen, Ov. Tristia proleg. p. xciii; cp. Ov. Tr. I ii 32—an almost certain conjecture; see comm. however. 130 commoda inra Broer. p. 13 sq. 141 condantur Ellis. 152 esse: esse B., ipse Withof (and Ellis). 164 dulce:
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duplexus Bo.¹ (from Ausonius XXIII App. iv 27) 157 quem cui (G M) plainly interpolated; see Rösch, It. u. Vulg. S. 441. iam nulla: non nulla Hege (from Verg. II 726.) en me quam crudum, quem nulla adversa nocebat ("auffällig ist die Wortstellung"—Hue-mer) Petsch. 163 Veneris: Ceresis Withof (and B.). 168 aegris: curis Petsch. 169 sic: sed Petsch. 170 damnis: donis Ellis. tristior: stricter Ellis. herba: urna B. 177 cultus, Ommerenus (and Ellis) for vultus. 178 quis sine iam: quinetiam est Ellis. quin sine maestitia (tristitia) B. 189 dependens: se tendens B. 193 quaerere, qua nequeo semper retinere, laboro Ommerenus (and Broer.) quaerere qui negoce, Petsch. 201 nulla: stulta B. licet nolis (same metrical position Lucan II 512, Martial lx pro loc 1): licet notis (h. e. qui ea noverint) B; nobis (A etc.) is corrupt. 216 diminui: dem. B. 219 prorsus: rursus Ellis from Bo.¹; see comm. 225 See comm. on 1 289. 228, 229 original; 229 dropped (F'), because it and 230 were ignoring. 233 extendere G (Petch. and Ellis); expendere probably due to the presence of praevis. 238 adacti: attriti Wernsdorf; adacti Ellis. 240 sub vitali (see comm.): futurus tali (B.; subdivali Ellis. computet: non putet B., Ellis, Bror. me putet Ellis (B L M Bo.¹ Bo.² Br.) loco: rogue Ellis. 252 turbida nas quantis Petsch. 259 viscera: vincula Ellis. 265 morte: Marie B. 266 consolativa B. for hic s. 271 diu (Ellis, Brer, p. 22): die Ommerenus (and B.) 272 aspera (Hor. Carm. I xxiii 9): caspia (Ellis: so Keller: Thiere des classischen Alterthums, S. 130 cites this vs. and omits to refer to Ennodius XLIII [Carm. I ix 63]), "docti cuiusdam interpolatio est"—Petch. 279 at: ac B. 290 leto instabiles (G) may hide a better reading than leto stabiles. 292 mersa (see comm.): missa vulgate (and B.).
TRANSLATION OF MAXIMIANUS I

Address to Senectus

Jealous Old Age, why do you hesitate to hasten the end?

And why do you come slowly upon this tired body?

Free, I pray, my wretched life from such a prison:

dead is now a respite, living is punishment for me,

I am not what I used to be: the best part of me has perished;

also, stiffness and enfeeblement control what remains.

(Life's) light is grievous in mourning, most pleasant in happy times,

and what is worse than any death is to be wanting to die.

The Accomplishments of His Youth

While my youthful beauty, while my mind and senses lasted,

I was an orator, famed throughout the whole world.

Often I have composed agreeable poetic deceptions

and the panegyrical fashioned by me brought true honours.

Often after pleading a case I received a garland

and I earned pleasing rewards for my oratory.

Since these skills have no vitality in my weakened body-

 alas, how small the crumbs of life that remain for the old!
No less than these the pleasure of a handsome body was mine,
    and should that be lacking, many other qualities are very pleasing,
yes, more precious than yellow gold is the skill
    through which one's brilliant talent sparkles the more.
If I wanted to try (shooting) swift arrows from a bow,
    the sought-for prey fell by my weapons.
If I wanted to encircle a dense wood with dogs,
    I felled, not without merit, many wild animals.
If, by chance, I had the pleasure of engaging a sweaty wrestling partner
    I tangled up his slippery limbs with my powerful muscles.
Sometimes I used to go ahead of all by my agile running,
    sometimes to excel in the melodies of tragic song.
The sweet melange of talents increased my worthiness,
    as a work of art with variatio always sparkles with more life.
For those thoughtful words which usually give pleasure on their own,
    give greater pleasure when conjoined with a connecting figure of speech.
Amongst these riches of talent an unconquerable patience
    spurned all threats:
my head uncovered I would endure wind and rain,
    neither summer heat, nor cold weather was bothersome to me:
I used to swim the icy water of the Tiber River
    and I did not fear to entrust my body to its dangerous water.
However scanty my sleep I could refresh myself,

and however modest my food nourish myself;

but, if unexpectedly a wine-bibbing friend found me

or a happy day made me consume many (drinks),

even father Bacchus himself yielded and was astonished at my drinking,

and he, who customarily conquers everything, goes away conquered.

Content with Modest Means

It is not easy to bend one's thinking to such great ideas

that one mind may harbour two opposing modes of thought:

they say that in this struggle also of the virtues

great Socrates once earned the palm,

from this struggle they recall that even puritan Cato drew strength.

Circumstances do not lead to vice, but evil deeds do.

Fearless I was carried both ways whatever happened:

all sad thoughts yielded to my mind.

Content with modest means I have always embraced poverty

and I have been a master of my life by coveting nothing.

You alone subdued me, wretched Old Age,

whatever can conquer everything yields to you.

We are wrecked on you, whatever wearies is yours;

you, the actual end, end yourself by your own evil (way).
Social Success

Therefore the whole province used to hope

to marry me, blessed with ability, to their children.

but it is sweeter for me to live with my neck unyoked

and to avoid the pleasurable chains of marriage.

I used to go through the middle of Rome, my body for sale,

to be admired from all sides by all the young girls.

A girl who could be sought, had perhaps been sought,

when she came into my sight, blushed at the sight of my face

and sometimes smiling, she sought hiding places, a fugitive,

not escaping, however, nor wishing to hide completely,

but rather she was yearning to be seen from some angle,

happier rather for the reason that she was badly protected.

Thus I seemed handsome to all and pleasing to each,

and thus I was a fiance to all,

but only a fiance; for nature had made me

chaste, and I was obdurate in my pure heart.
No Woman is Worthy of Him

For so long as I yearned to bond myself to an outstanding beauty,

I constantly remained cold on my bachelor’s bed.

To me every girl seemed repugnant and every girl unrefined

and none worthy of marriage to me.

I used to shudder at the skinny girls, shudder at the plump ones,

the short was not pleasing to me, nor the tall.

I loved to play only with a medium frame;

for the greater pleasure is contained in moderate things.

Soft lust inhabits these parts of our body,

the Mother of Love is mistress of these abodes.

I used to seek a slender female, but the sort who was not skinny:

fleshy limbs are pleasing for the business of the flesh.

let it be a body which it is delightful to squeeze in an embrace

so that no bones whatever harm the squeezed side.

I was contemptuous of white faces, unless, pink-flushed,

they bloomed cheerfully with their own roses.

Venus, herself, asserts her title to this colour before any others,

and in all places the Cypriot Goddess loves her own flower.

Golden hair and a milky-white, lowered neck

seemed to be more becoming to noble faces.

I often noticed their black eyebrows, clear forehead, black eyes,
and they used to burn my soul.

I loved flaming, full lips,

which, when tasted, would give me full kisses

on a shapely neck. Gold seemed more precious

and, in my judgment, a gem to shine more.


Childhood, Youth and Old Age

It is degrading for an old man to itemize formerly sought pleasures;

what was fitting in the past, now only has reproach.

Different things delight different people: not everything is suitable

for every age: a pastime, previously appropriate, becomes harmful.

Child(hood) exults in frivolity, old age in seriousness:

between the two (extremes) youthful dignity stands (solid).

It becomes the old to be silent and sad, let the young be brighter

in joyfulness and the chit-chat of their tongues.

Flowing time drags with it everything and turns it around

and does not allow anything to run on a sure path.

Now because long life is grievous and useless to me,

since I cannot live, may it be possible for me to die!

O how harsh are the terms of life that oppress the wretched (old):

and Death does not lie under human jurisdiction.

It is sweet for the miserable to die, but hoped-for death recedes:
but, when it will be sad, it comes prematurely.

*Physical Ailments of Old Age*

Indeed, alas it is apparent that I, already

dead in such significant ways, travel Hell’s paths alive.

Now less acute is my hearing, my sense of taste; my very eyes

are dim; I can scarcely recognize familiar objects by touch

no fragrance is sweet, now no pleasure is agreeable:

who would think that I, bereft of sense-impressions, remain alive?

See, Lethe’s oblivion undermines my mental prowess,

and, confused, it can no longer remember itself:

It rises to no challenge, it languishes with my body

and preoccupied with its own afflictions is amazed by them.

I sing no songs: the supreme joy of singing

has fled and the true pleasure of my voice has perished.

I importune no public spaces. I compose no poetry,

I pursue easy lawsuits without energetic litigation.

And my physical beauty itself, formerly beloved, has abandoned me

and I seem to be dead as far as my beauty is concerned.

Now a pallor and a bloodless, funereal colour

cover my face instead of the peaches and cream complexion of the past.

My dry skin is moistureless, my muscles are stiff all over,
and my crooked hands scratch my itchy body.

From a perennial font my once laughing eyes

now weep their torments day and night;

and over those eyes, which handsome garlands of eyebrows used to protect,

a shaggy bush, hanging down, presses,

and, as if hidden, (the eyes) are shut in a dark cave:

alas, they see a wild and raging mien.

Now, to have seen an old man is a fright, and you could not believe

that he who lacks human reason is a human being.

If I reread books, the lettering splits itself in two,

the familiar page, expanding, rushes at me.

I seem to discern bright light through mist,

even clear weather is cloudy to my eyes.

The light of day is snatched away without death:

who would deny that he who is enclosed in a blinding darkness is in a Tartarean place?

What mad counsellor could persuade a man of such miseries

that he would desire by his own prayers to be more ugly?

Now diseases undermine him, a thousand dangers,

now delicious banquets and indulgences harm him.

I am forced to withdraw my mind from pleasant things,

and I stop living in order to live.

and me, whom for a long time no adversity harmed,
now, the very nourishments by which my (health) is governed oppress.

It is pleasant to be full: soon I will regret it;

it is better that I abstain: it is harmful to have abstained.

The food, that at one time had been a boon, is returned undigested:

Food, which at one time was delicious, lies despised.

Neither the gifts of Venus, nor of Bacchus are pleasing to me,

nor anything else that usually disguises the deprivations of life.

No Medicines Help the Old

One's nature, lying alone, remains, which of its own accord
decays through time and is, itself, eaten away by its faults.

Oft-proven medications are no help to me,
nor anything which used to bring help to the sick.

But whatever is prepared, its efficacy perishes with its substance,

and our physique becomes more and more wretched from its own deficiencies.

It is not different from one who, anxious to prop up a building threatening to collapse,
leans props against it from the opposite direction,

until advancing time, with every joint loosened,

undermines the support itself with the structure.
The Old Man Grows Weaker and Endures the Mockery of the Young

What about the fact that no social life alleviates one’s mind,
and it is not permissible to disguise the numerous setbacks of one’s life?

Disgraceful for an old man are radiant faces and comely garments,
without which even living is now a disgrace for the old man.

It is a reproach to love jokes, a reproach to love banquet and song:

O they are wretched whose joys harbour rebukes.

What value is wealth to me, if you have snatched away its use?

Although endowed with riches, shall I always be destitute?

Nay, it is even a hardship to take possession of any acquired things
which, although you are the owner, it is wrong to violate.

No differently does thirsting Tantalus grasp for

the waters close by and holds back his mouth from the food beside him.

I actually am made the guardian of my own possessions

conserving, rather, for others what has perished for me.

Likewise the huge snake, hanging in the golden orchards

and watchful, guards apples not his own.

From this cares torture me above all, make me anxious,

from this no rest is given to my soul.

I always struggle to hold onto what I cannot acquire,

and holding I always suppose that I have held nothing.

The old man stands hesitant and trembling, always prone to believe
in misfortune, and, foolish, he fears his own actions,
praises the past years, despises the present,
thinks that only that which he himself knows is right.

He judges that he alone is learned, is skilled,
and he is most foolish in thinking that he is wise.

He, relating many things and repeating them again, although you don’t want to hear them,
has a dreadful appearance and splutters his verbiage.

No listener is present, yet he keeps on talking.

O old men, strong in talkativeness alone!

Vainly he fills everything with his raucous shouts:

nothing suffices: he shrinks in horror at what just now gave pleasure.

He smiles at those making fun of him, and while applauding himself
he begins to be more joyful on account of the insult to himself.

These are the first signs of death, from these portents life
slips down and seeks the depths with sluggish steps.

Neither his bearing, nor his colour, nor his steps as he goes,
nor his appearance remain the same as before.

With my body stooped over, my garment slips from my shoulders.

What had been short only now is long for me.

I am huddled up and I shrink in an amazing way:

you would think the very bones of my body are diminished.

Nor is it allowable to look at heaven, but prostrate Old Age
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sees the earth, her source and destination,
and becomes three-legged, then four-legged, like a tiny baby,
and crawls, bringing tears to your eyes, over the dirty ground.

Prayer to Mother Earth

All things seek out their roots again and look for their mother,
and that which previously was nothing returns to nothing.
From this is derived the fact that old age, leaning on a cane and on the point of falling,
strikes the unfeeling ground with persistent tapping
and moving numerous steps in a regular beat
from his wrinkled mouth he is believed to utter such (as follows):
"take me, Mother Earth, pity the struggles of your child:
I ask that you embrace my tired body in your bosom.
Children dread me, I cannot be seen as I was before:
why do you allow your offspring to become frightening?
Nothing is left for me with the living: I have fulfilled the duties of life:
return, I pray, my dying body to the ancestral soil.
What is the point in extending wretched lives by various torments?
To allow that is not characteristic of a mother's heart."
Indignities of Living Death and Grudging Acceptance of the Tyranny of Time

These words spoken, he supports his tottering limbs on a staff

while returning to the hard straw of his neglected bed.

After he has lain down upon it, what separates him from a pitiful corpse?

Alas you only see the bones of a curled-up body.

And while I rest more and more and I live by resting,

who would think that I am in the realm of the living?

Now the torment is entirely the fact that I am alive: I am roasted in the summer heat,

the clouds harm me, the cold and wind hurt,

the dew is chilling and I am even wasted by a light rain,

a lovely day of spring or fall is chilly.

From this itchiness exhausts me in my misery,

from this breathless coughing exhausts me:

sick Old Age has continuous groans.

Do I think those to be still alive to whom both the life-giving air

and the light, by which we are ruled, actually become burdensome?

Even rest itself, which is also most pleasing to all, and sleep

fly away and scarcely return to me late in the night,

even, if it ever blesses my tired bones,

troubled, it trembles in such awful dreams!

Soft bedposts are like hard pointed rocks,

blankets, though small, have great weight.

Disturbed, I am forced to rise in the middle of the night
and to endure many things, lest I endure worse.

I am conquered by the failing of my weakened body,

and unhappily I am dragged, by that part by which I will have regretted.

All the centre of my nature is disintegrating,

and how badly so splendid a creation totters!

Bent over Old Age, weighed down by these burdens as she comes,

herself teaches him to yield to her oppressive loads.

Therefore who would desire to bear these torments for long

and to die gradually while one’s reason deteriorates?

It is better to die with death than to lead a life of death

and to bury one’s senses in one’s own body here (on earth).

Alas, I do not lament because you, long years, unravel all:

it is forbidden to say that the dictates of nature are immoral.

Strong oxen grow weak in old age,

and the horse, once beautiful, is just now made ugly.

The angry lion, aggressive for a long time, is broken and subdued

and the fierce tigress will be slowed by age.

Advancing time actually consumes even rocks,

and there is no creation that does not yield in the face of Time.

But it is better for me to anticipate the coming disasters

and to look ahead to the unhappy days.

It is a lesser punishment to endure abruptly a certain death
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(than) to have sustained for a long time what one more grievously fears.

But who could say what other disasters (Old Age) brings?

This also is difficult for the old man to have recounted. 280

Quarrels, contempt and violent injury follow

and not any one friend out of so many numbers offers help.

The very children, boys and girls without a quibble,

think it vile to keep calling me "Sir" now.

They mock my gait, now finally they mock my face 285

and my twitching head, which they once feared.

And although I see nothing, yet I shall be allowed to see this

so that that despicable punishment may be even more demoralising
for me in my misery.

Happy is he whose reward has been to lead a tranquil life

and to terminate his strong days with a blessed death: 290

The remembering of former good times is hard enough for the miserable

and, having plunged, rushes too heavily from its high peak.
aemula...senectus. Both W. and Sp. quote Verg., Aen., 5.415-16, aemula necdum / temporibus geminis canebat sparsa senectus and indicate that the idea is common. Aemula was not found with senectus elsewhere. See note on 217.

finem properare. There were no examples of properare with finem, though the verb is found with mortem at Verg., Aen. 9.401, pulchram properet per vulnera mortem? (W.) and Tib., 3.7.205, seu matura dies celerem properat mihi mortem. W. considers properare to be technical of premature death, citing several examples from CIL including 2.1413.4, properantur tempora fati, and 14.3723.5, immatura mors properata, but it does not appear in Lattimore's essay on "The Untimely Dead" pp.184-87.

1-2 senectus...tarda. Tarda is an ancient epithet for old age; see Enn., Trag. 300, tarda in senectute; Hor., Sat. 2.2.87-88, seu / dura valetudo inciderit seu tarda senectus? (W.); Tib. 2.2.19, Vincula, quae maneant semper, dum tarda senectus (W.); Ov., Tr. 4.8.23, tarda vires minuente senecta (W.), and Sil. Ital. 10.103, nec tarda senectus (at verse end), and 11.358, tardam ne sperne senectam.
2 in hoc fesso corpore. In classical Latin in or ad + the accusative is usual after venio (OLD #3d). According to Blaise, "in + ablative" may answer the question "quo" instead of "in + accusative" in late or medieval Latin. To express motion in a direction M. has in + accusative once at 1.50 and ad + accusative once at 1.222, but he seems to prefer compounds of eo + the accusative e.g. Tartareas ... inire vias (1.118) and Lethaea meam subeunt oblivia mentem (1.123).

fesso. Fesso commonly occurs on tombstones (W.) and frequently in literature with corpore:

Cat. 64.188-89, non tamen ante mihi languescent lumina morte/nec prius a fesso secedent corpore sensus; Tac., Ann. 2.71, Caesar paulisper ad spem erectus, dein fesso corpore, ubi finis aderat, and 6.46 incertus animi fesso corpore consilium cui impar erat fato permisit.

tarda venis. The phrase begins the hexameter in Verg., G. 2.58, tarda venit seris factura nepotibus umbram, and the pentameter in Ov., Fast. 3.350, tarda venit dictis difficilisque fides. In addressing Senectus, M. may be putting venis with the vocative adjective at verse end - like the addressee; he also avoids having tarda venis in the same position in the line as aemula ... cessas. For a similar verbal pattern, W. cites Prop. 2.13.50, O mors cur mihi sera venis.

3 de carcere vitam. A similar idea, using this vocabulary, occurs in Cic., Rep. 6.14, Immo vero, inquit, hi vivunt, qui e corporum vinclis tamquam e carcere evolaverunt, vestra vero, quae dicitur, vita mors est. The concept of the body as a prison-house goes back to Orphic

4 mors ... requies. The theme "death is a rest from the trials of life" is a sepulchral commonplace, according to W., who cites several examples from *CIL*. The following has the same theme as the poem: *poenam non sentio mortis / poena fuit vita, requies mihi morte parata est* (11.207.5-6). He notes that it occurs also in Sall., *Cat.* 51.20, *in luctu atque miseriis mortem aerumnarum requiem non cruciatum esse*. Ovid has a different need for the relief of death at *Met.* 10.377-78, *nec modus et requies, nisi mors, reperitur amoris / mors placet.*

*vivere poena.* For the theme, see Sen., *Contr.* 10.5.17, *nec aliter illum Philippus vendidisset nisi putasset illi poenam esse vivere* and *CIL* 11.207.5-6, cited above.

5 non sum qui fueram. Nearly the same phrase begins the hexameter in Prop. 1.12.11, *non sum ego qui fueram: mutat via longa puellas, and Ov., Tr. 3.11.25, non sum ego quod fueram; quid inanem proteris umbram?* (W.) Occasionally, Propertius uses the pluperfect as equal to the preterite or imperfect to express, apparently, sudden or rapid action (Butler and Barber). According to Richardson (ad loc.), the sentence seems subsequently to have become a catchphrase, if it was not so already. {4A - Elegiac Tradition}
pars maxima nostri. W. has noted the verbal echo of Ov., Am. 3.7.69, pars pessima nostri
- Ovid’s euphemism for his misbehaving mentula. On the surface the reader can take the
definition of the phrase from 1.9 dum iuvenile decus, dum mens sensusque maneret (cf. Hor.,
Carm. 3.30.6, multaque pars mei). However, I believe the reader is intended to remember
the Ovidian original and make the interpretation that sex was the best part of M.’s life. The
replacement of pessima by maxima is a joke, a pun on the name, Maximianus. The phrase
then sets the tone for the poem: the theme of the miseries of old age is an excuse for erotic
jokes at the expense of the said Maximianus. {2 - Humour, Ovidian}

6 horror habent. Sp. says that horror means trembling, but it also means stiffness; a blend
of both meanings seems more appropriate when it is coupled with languor. Cf. Ov., Met.
9.290-91, quin nunc quoque frigidus artus,/ dum loquor, horror habet, parsque est meminisse
doloris.

7 lux. This is a common figure for life, e.g. Verg., Aen. 4.31, o luce magis dilecta sorori,
and G. 4.255, tum corpora luce carentum / exportant tectis et tristia funera ducunt. It forms
an oxymoron with gravis, a set epithet for old age and death, e.g. Cic., Sen. 2, quod
numquam tibi senectutem gravem esse senserim; Sen., Oed. 594, gravis senectus (W.), and
lux est ingrata gravisque.
8 velle mori. See Ov., Met. 10.132, *velle mori* statuit where the phrase begins the hexameter. W. cites Luc. 4.279-80, *cadat impetus amens, / perdant velle mori*, and 485, *velle mori* at verse beginning. The phrase, with reversed word order, is found at Sen., *Contr.* 7.3.3.8, *cum se mori velle dicat, vitam roget; Contr.* Exc. 8.4.1.8, *quid est in vita miserius quam mori velle*, and frequently in Quintilian: *Decl.* 1.335.2, *ipsum sit calamitatis genus mori velle*: 1.335.3, and 337.4.

*mens sensusque*. See Cic., *Cat.* 1.17, *dubitas quorum mentis sensusque volneras; de Orat.* 1.224. *mentis sensusque degustet; Marc.* 10, *te vero quem praesentem intuemur, cuius mentem sensusque et os cernimus, and Luc.* 66.17, *mentem sensumve*. That this is a Ciceronian phrase is certainly probable, as Cicero’s writings were an essential part of the school curriculum (Riche p.79, n.166). See also *Sen.* 72, *spondisse dicitur: "senectute. " sed vivendi est finis optumus, cum integra mente certisque sensibus opus ipsa suum eadem quae coagmentavit, natura dissolvit*, which exemplifies Cicero’s much more optimistic view of old age.

{1 - Ciceronian}

10-14 These verses resemble ancient epitaphs in which the dead are made to list their own great qualities in a short autobiographical sketch, e.g. *Francus ego cives, Romanus miles in armis, egregia virtute tuli bello mea dextera semper (CE, 620 [Aquineum]), and studia amavi, obsequaeus magistris fui, observavi parentorum praecepta, me([os] [a])micos colui, patronos, bonos (omnes), (CE, 1568, 9-10 [Rome]). On the subject of the tombstone panegyric see Lattimore, p.285-90.
10 toto clarus in orbe. The phrase was found only once, at Sen., Oct. 935-36, cuius nomen clarum toto fulsit in orbe.

11 poetarum mendacia dulcia finxi. The idea is old. Plautus sees poetry as mendacia at Ps. 401-4, sed quasi poeta, tabulas cum cepit sibi, / quaerit quod nusquam gentiumst, reperit tamen, / facit illud veri simile, quod mendacium est, / nunc ego poeta fiam. Cicero writes about the difference between poetic imagery and veritas; he sometimes used the former to further an argument; see Cic., Leg. 1.4, Et mehercule ego me cupio non mendacem putari, sed tamen nonnulli isti, Tite noster, faciunt imperite, qui in isto periculo non ut a poeta sed ut a teste veritatem exigant. Sp. cites Ov., Am. 3.6.17, mendacia vatum; Fast. 6.253, mendacia vatum; and Tr. 2.355, magnaque pars mendax operum est et ficta meorum.

12 res...ficta. This phrase was used by Cicero at Inv. 1.27, argumentum est ficta res, quae tamen fieri potuit, and Amic. 24, stantes plaudebant in re ficta. See also Quint., Decl. 342.7, nam ipsa statim scaena rem ficiam esse testatur.

13 perorata...lite. W. claims that this is a Ciceronian expression, but the search turned up no examples. The simple verb oror is used with lis, occurring twice on the PHI CD-ROM disk: Cic., Off. 3.43, orandae litis tempus, and de Orat. 2.43, in lite oranda.

14 linguae praemia. For the theme Hor., Ep. 1.3.23-25, seu linguam causis acuis..../ prima feres hederae victricis praemia.
15 defectis...membris. See Luc. 4.600, iam defecta vigent renovato robore membra. This appears to be the only occurrence of membra with the past participle of deficio on the PHI CD-ROM disk.

16 vitae portio. From the time of Plautus portio is used in the expressions pro portione (OLD 1) and ex, pro portionibus (OLD 2). On the PHI CD-ROM disk there were no examples of portio with the genitive and without the preposition until the first century A.D., and only once did the phrase vitae portio appear: Juv. 9.127-28, angustae miseraeque brevissima vitae / portio, already noted by Sp.

19 fulvo pretiosior auro. The verse ending is Ovidian: Ars 2.299 aurata est? ipso tibi sit pretiosior auro (W.). See also Am. 3.8.3, ingenium quondam fuerat pretiosius auro. The same phrase occurs midline in reverse order at Met. 8.79, illa mihi est auro pretiosior. At Met. 1.115, fulvo pretiosior aere, is actually the closest match to M.'s line, and see the introduction for matches in Alcuin and Florus of Lyon.

{3 - Model, Ovidian}

20 praeclarum...ingenium. Note Cic., ad Br. 23.9, praecella illa quidem ingenia quae gloria invitantur.

21 celeres...sagittas. W. indicates that this is a stock phrase of Augustan poetry and he gives as examples Verg., Aen. 1.187; 5.485; 9.590, and Hor., Carm. 3.20.9. See also Aen.
12.394, augurium citharamque dabat celerisque sagittas; Tib. 3.7.89, Quis tardamve sudem melius celeremve sagittam / iecerit; Ov., Met. 5.367, inque dei pectus celeres molire sagittas; and Met. 8.380, dum levat hunc Peleus, celerem Tegeaea sagittam / imposuit. Sagitta is almost invariably the ending of the hexameter.

22 praedâ petita meis. Petita meis preceded by a trochee is an Ovidian verse ending. W. cites Ov., Am. 3.7.2, At non formosa est, at non bene culta puella, / at, puto, non votis saepè petita meis, and Pont. 2.2.14, Úlla petita meis. See also Ars 2.2, Decidit in casses praedâ petita meos. W. also cited Am. 1.8.92 et soror et mater, nutrix quoque carpat amantem: / fit cito per multas praedâ petita manus; where the old crone, Dipsas, using a hunting metaphor, lists the assortment of servants who benefit from hunting through the pockets (fleeing) their lady's lover. For the use of petere in this sense see Hor., Ep. 5.10, petita ferro belua (W.) and Cic., de Orat. 3.102, haud praedam petit.

{1 - Ovidian}

23 circumdare saltus. This hunting term is used by Vergil at Ecl. 10.57, Parthenios canibus circumdare saltus, and G. 1.140, inventum et magnos canibus circumdare saltus (W). Seneca refers to the latter citation from Vergil at Ep. 90.11 and at Dial. 7.14, latos canibus circumdare saltus.

25 dulce fuit. Cf. Hor., Ep. 2.1.103, Romae dulce diu fuit et sollemne reclusa / mane domo vigilare, and Ov., Tr. 3.4b.54, quicquid et haec nobis post duo dulce fuit.
madidam...palaestram. W. cites similarities with Luc. 9.661, liquidaeque palaestrae.

si fors. The phrase holds the same position in the hexameter at Verg., Aen. 12.183, cesserit Ausonio si fors victoria Turno (W). There are two more examples at Ov., Met. 1.297, figitur in viridi, si fors tulit, ancora prato, and Met. 11.751, proximus, aut idem, si fors tulit, "hic quocuse", dixit.

26 implicui. W. translates this line "I have grappled with strong men", citing Luc. 3.695 implicitis ... membris. Certainly that is the imagery of the verb which is used regularly to describe vines growing around a tree at Cat. 61.35, ut tenax hedera huc et huc / arborem implicat errans, the entanglements of lovers’ arms, Cat. 61.108-9 implicabitur in tuum / complexum; Ov., Am. 2.18.9, implicuitque suos circum mea colla lacertos, and, also at verse-beginning, Ars 1.561, implicatamque sinu, the winding of snakes around their victims, Verg., Aen. 2.214-15, corpora natorum serpens amplexus uterque / implicat et miserors morsu depascitur artus, and hand to hand combat, Verg., Aen. 11.631-32, tertia sed postquam congressi in proelia totas / implicuere inter se acies legiique virum vir. R. considers implicuitque (Ov., Am. 2.18.9) the source (p.66), but I think it difficult to pinpoint with accuracy the source of a single word that is used in a not irregular way. If I were to choose a specific antecedent, I would incline to the Vergilian implicuere (Aen. 11.632) for a mock heroic effect.
lubrica membra. *Lubricus* is a natural way to describe an active body doused with olive oil: this phrase occurs once at Stat, *Silv.* 4.2.47-48, *sic lubrica ponit / membra Therapraea resoluus gymnade Pollux.*

30 artis opus. The pentameter ending is Ovidian; see *Ars* 1.266, *dicere praecipuæ molior artis opus*; 2.214, *casus inest illic; hoc erit artis opus; Fast.* 1.268, *ipse meae movi callidus artis opus*; 6.662, *quod subito gratae frangeret artis opus,* and *Pont.* 2.11.2, *Naso, parum faustæ conditor Artis, opus.* {1 - Ovidian}

33-38 M.'s "lifestyle" is reminiscent of that attributed to Cato. See Plutarch, *Marcus Cato* 20.4-7.

33 has inter. The phrase occurs at the beginning of the hexameter in Verg., *Aen.* 12.318, *has inter voces, media inter talia verba,* and *G.* 1.237, *has inter mediamque duae mortalibus aegris;* in Prop. 1.16.13, *has inter gravibus coger deflere querelas;* in *Luc.* 9.734-35, *has inter pestes duro Cato milite siccum / emetitur iter;* and five times in Silius Italicus at 6.512; 9.632; 10.185; 13.601; 14.442. With all these citations the phrase looks like a poetic tradition originated by Vergil. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

tolerantia. The noun is not common in the period before 200 A.D., but W. cites two examples: Cic., *Parad.* 4.27, * tolerantia rerum humanarum,* and Quint., *Inst.* 2.20.10. Other examples are found in Col., 8.16.3; Gel., 11.18.17, and 12.5.3; Quint., *Inst.* 5.10.33, and
Sen., Ep. 31.7: 66.13; 67.5, and 115.3. Tolerantia is listed by neither Du Cange nor Souter but is defined by Blaise as "the courage to endure" and "resignation".


ferebam. With the sense of enduring the weather, see Stat., Silv. 1.6.27, dum nostri lovis hi ferantur imbres.

35-36 The theme of the couplet recalls Tib. 1.2.31-32, non mihi pigra nocent hibernae frigora noctis, / non mihi, cum multa decidit imber aqua.

36 non mihi. With this phrase Tibullus begins one pentameter at 1.2.32 (cited just above), Propertius one at 2.7.16, non mihi sat magnus Castoris iret equus, and Ovid three at Pont. 1.1.48, non mihi, sed magno poscitur ille deo; 3.5.12, non mihi quam primo grata fuere magis, and 4.12.22, non mihi quam fratri frater amate minus. It also occurs at the beginning
of the hexameter twice in Vergil at G. 2.43, and Aen. 6.625-26, *non mihi si linguae centum sint oraque centum, / ferrea vox*, thirteen times in Ovid, and three in Lucan. This verse-beginning likely belongs to poetic tradition; however, it does not appear in Horace or Propertius. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

37 *innabam*. This verb is used in hexameter verse with a direct object e.g. Verg., G. 2.451, *nec non et torrentem undam levam innatam alnus; 3.142 carpere prata fuga fluviosque innare rapacis; Aen. 6.134, bis Stygius innare lacus, bis nigra vulae; 6.368-69, ostendit (neque enim, credo, sine numine divum / flumina tanta paras Stygiamque innare paludem); 8.651, et fluvium vinclis innaret Cloelia ruptis, and Sil. 4.363-64, et tandem bellis innare subactis / Eurotan patrium.

gelidas. *Unda* is often modified by this epithet in the Augustan period and later. It occurs 4 times in the same line position: Ov., *Met. 5.433, nam brevis in gelidas membris exilibus undas / transitus est; Sil. 17.314, at tu, qui gelidas Ticini primus ad undas; Stat., Theb. 1.357, Inachus et gelidas surgens Erasinus in undas, and 9.573, ante diem gelidas ibat Ladonis ad undas.

Tiberini gurgitis undas. W. notes that this recalls the verse end at Pers. 2.15, *Tiberino in gurgite mergis*, which is metrically equivalent. Another similar line is Verg., *Aen. 10.833, interea genitor Tiberini ad fluminis undam*. The adjective *Tiberinus* occurs regularly in this position of the hexameter with *gurgo or flumen*: e.g. Verg., *Aen. 11.49, instructos acie*
Tiberino a flumine Teucros, and Hor., Ep. 1.11.4, cunctane praecampo et Tiberino flumine sordent? The rhythm of the line from the long syllable before Tiberini resembles the waves of the sea, rising to crests in the dactyls and sinking to troughs in the spondees. These undulations are lost in the following citations where two spondees precede gurgitis undas:

Ciris 416, vincante tam magni tranabo gurgitis undas (R., p.56); Sil. 12.750, corpora nunc viva sparguntur gurgitis unda, and 15.485, fluminei veluti deprensus gurgitis undis. The Vergilian phrase Tiberini ad fluminis undam may have been a model for M., for, as well as the metrical similarity, it has two genitives and the accusative of unda.

{3 - Model, Vergilian}

38 nec timui. Ovid begins the hexameter with this phrase at Her. 16.74, nec timui vultu quamque notare meo, and the pentameter at Fast. 3.617, nec timui de morte tamen: metus absuit iste.

dubio...freti. The only occurrence of dubium with fretum is at Sen., Thy. 292, dubiumque Libycae Syris intrabit fretum.

40 modico...cibo. This seems to be a medical term used fourteen times by Celsus.

40 membra fovere cibo. Fovere with the accusative membra occurs first in Tib.1.8.30, ut foveat molli frigida membra sinu. The phrase is found in Ovid at Her. 16.224, membra superiecta cum tua veste foveat and 21.190, aegra superposita membra fovere manu; the last
example, as noted by R. (p.66) is parodied by M., who places his phrase in exactly the same place in the line. The meaning of *fovere* in M. is overtly "to nourish", but in the context of their citations, the elegiac poets clearly have the erotic sense "fondle". Thus, although the line ostensibly depicts the Catonian regimen that M. says he followed, the Ovidian phrase *membra fovere manu* is called to mind and throws doubt on the claim.

{2 - Humour, Ovid}

*multa dies*. No examples of this phrase at verse-end were found, but it is used to begin the hexameter as early as Ennius (Macr. Sat. 6.2.16.127k2) *multa dies in bello conficit unus*;

Hor., Ars 293, *multa dies et multa litura coercuit atque*, and Verg., Aen. 11.425, *multa dies variique labor mutabilis aevi*.

43 *pater Bacchus*. *Bacchus (Liber)* is often referred to as *pater* in classical authors, but the title follows the name in these two examples in the vocative case: Hor., *Carm. 3.3.13*, *hac te merentem, Bacche pater*, and Ov., *Met. 13.669*, "*Bacche pater, fer opem*".

44 *vincere, victus*. W. notes that the paradox recurs at lines 56 and 257 and is found at

Hor., *Ep. 2.1.156*, *Graecia capta ferum victorem cepit*; Prop. 3.11.16, *vicit victorem candida forma virum*; Ov., *Met. 9.250*, and Fast. 3.101-2, *nondum tradiderat victas victoribus artes / Graecia*. It is a stock expression with regard to Greek cultural influences in Rome.
victus abit. The phrase is Ovidian and occurs as a pentameter ending at Ars 1.394,
Perprime temptatam, nec nisi victor abit; hexameter ending at Ars 2.197, cede repugnanti:
cedendo victor abibis, and hexameter beginning at Fast. 5.649, victor abit, secunque boves,
Erytheida praedam, and Her. 1.57, victor abes, nec scire mihi, quae causa morandi. The
readers or listeners, who know Ovid, expect the departing character to be the winner, as in
all of these examples, but M. makes him the loser - a humorous twist achieved by replacing
the noun with the past participle of the cognate verb.  

{2 - Humour, Ovidian}

45 haut facile est. The phrase begins the hexameter at Lucr. 3.328, haud facile est, quin
interest natura quoque eius.

animum...inflectere. flectere with the accusative of animus seems more common: Ter.,
Hec. 608, istuc est sapere, qui ubiquomque opus sit animum possis flectere; Cic., Brut 142,
nulla res magis penetrat in animos eosque fingit format flectit; Leg. 1.29.4, si...non
inbecillatatem animorum torqueret et flecteret, and Ov., Met. 2.482, neve preces animos et
verba precantia flectant.

46 una duas. The Ovidian antithesis and pentameter ending very likely influenced M.: Ov.,
Her. 7.138, poenaque conexos auferet una duos; 16.70, vincere quae forma digna sit una
duas; 18.126, unaque mens, tellus non habet una duos, and Fast. 6.100, Pergama: plus
laedunt, quam iuvat una, duae. Note that in Her. 18.126 the fact that una modifies mens
makes a close resemblance to M.'s line.  

{1 - Ovidian}
47 quoque virtūtum quondam. The alliteration occurs three times in Classical elegy in the
same position in the hexameter at Tib. 1.1.19-20, Vos quoque, fēlicis quondam, nunc
pauperis agri / Custodes; Ov., Met. 4.281, te quoque nunc ādūmās quondam fīdissime parvo,
and Tr. 3.1.7, id quoque, quōd viriād quondam male lusit in aevo. See also Sil. 8.146, tu
quoque, nōstrārum quondam fiducia rerum. The poets seem to want quoque at the verse-
beginning, but metrical requirements necessitate a preceding monosyllable. Tibullus appears
to be the originator of this phrasing. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

48-49 prōmerūsī...valūsī. This is a common rhyme in classical elegy. However, it
only occurs four times in this order (1st in the pentameter, then in the hexameter), but
usually in a triple rhyme as in the following three quotations: Tib. 1.8.8-10, Quos videt
invitos subcūbūsī sibi. / Quid tibi nunc molles prodest colūsī capillos / Saepeque mutatas
disposuisset comas; Ov., Her. 9.72-74, ne pigeat molli subcūbūsī viro. / Inter Ioniacīs
calathum tēnūsī pūellas / diceris et dominae pertīmās minās, and Epic. Drusi 46-48,
Altius et vitis exēruisset caput? / Nec nocuisset ulli et fortunam ħabuisset nōcendi, / Nec
quemquam nervos exītuisset ūros? It is worth noting that in these three authors there are
three successive lines, starting with the pentameter, ending in 2 syllables + -uisse + 2
syllables, 1 syllable + -uisse + 3 syllables, and 2 syllables + -uisse + 1 syllable so that
each phrase has 7 syllables. M. has only the first two lines of this pattern. There is a
precedent for this in Ov., Fast. 4.804-5, et cessurae subposuisset casae / per flammas
saluisset pecus, saluisset colonos. Here, Ovid puts the third rhyme two syllables before the
caesura in the hexameter; however saluisset has only four syllables instead of the five
expected in the third rhyme, but is followed by the two as is usual in it - the effect is emphatic. An analysis of the Tibullan quotation on the basis of Wilkinson's study of verbal music (Golden Latin Artistry, p.9-11) reveals a plethora of unpleasant sounds; there are fully twenty sibilants in the three lines, several short i's and consonantal u's. The result is a hissing sneer, and the other three quotations are almost as ugly. One can only hazard a guess as to what M. is doing: assuming that the first two citations from ancient elegy are the most familiar to M. and noting that the rhymes pace a deepening involvement with a lover, perhaps one can credit M. with a humorous effect from using this pattern to progress from the high morality of Socrates to that of Cato. Unfortunately, his hexameter lacks the emphasis that Ovid's gains by the repeated past infinitive at Fast. 4.805 and his second pentameter line (1.50) falls flat. The influence of classical elegy is most probable.

{4A - Elegiac Tradition}

rigidum. This is a common epithet for Cato (W.), although it does not occur in Cicero. Plin., Ep. 3.21.5, Tunc me vel rigidi legant Catones; Juv. 11.90-92, cum tremerent autem Fabios durumque Catonem / et Scauros et Fabricium, rigidique severos / censoris mores etiam collega timeret, and Sen., Ep. 11.10, Elige itaque Catonem; si hic tibi videtur nimir rigidus.

50 W. asks, "Can the verse be intended as a citation from Cato, by confusion of Cato Dionysius (Catunculus), author of the collection of moral apophthegms Disticha de Moribus ad Filium, and the earlier moralist? Cf. Dist. 2.21, nulla etenim vini culpa est, sed culpa

66
bibentis." Catunculus (the diminutive became common as his works were studied by abecedarians, [Curtius, p.464]), who lived in the 3rd century A.D., is one of the old curriculum authors in the late Latin and medieval schools. (Curtius, p.51)

in vitium. This is the only time in this elegy M. uses in with the accusative (one manuscript (S) has vitio).

male facta cadunt. The same pentameter ending occurs at Ov., Tr. 2.1.148, spes mihi, respicio cum mea facta, cadit. {3 - Model, Ovidian}

51 intrepidus. This adjective begins the hexameter at Ov., Met. 9.107, intrepidum pro se, curam de coniuge agentem, and also at Luc. 2.207; 5.658; 6.219; 10.15; Sil. 4.460, and Stat., Theb. 11.687, but nowhere in Vergil.

53 modico contentus. See Juv. 9.8-9, unde repente / tot rugae? certe modico contentus agebas. The protestation of poverty is conventional (Baldwin, p.319), and, here, it is to be taken ironically. The irony may be potent, if the audience recalls Juvenal's line, which mocks a formerly well-groomed and now unkempt dandy (Courtney, p.425).

{3 - Humour, Juvenalian}

54 rerum dominus. Cicero uses this phrase at Fin. 2.37, deinde adiunctis virtutibus, quas ratio rerum omnium dominas, tu voluptatum satellites et ministras esse voluisti. See also Ov.,
Pont. 2.2.11-14, nec nos Enceladi dementia castra secuti / in rerum dominos movinus arma deos, where it occurs in the same position in the pentameter. (Pont. 2.2.14 is cited on Max. 1.22) Sp. noted Verg., Aen. 1.282, Romanos, rerum dominos gentemque togatam, which, in Austin's view, is "magnificent, full of justifiable Roman pride" (Austin, ad loc.). Cf. Luc. 5.698, non rector ut orbis nec dominus rerum (to Caesar), and 6.505, vel dominus rerum vel tanti funeris heres (to Pompeius). If ancient epic, especially the Vergilian phrase, influenced M.'s line, it is a clever mockery of the remembered heroism of M.'s life.

{3 - Humour, Vergilian}

55-56 W. says "the paradox common of death (cf. Sen. Herc Fur. 726 and Vulg. 1 Cor. 15.26) is here applied to senectus, living death, with a trace of the erotic paradox" (see below). M. is acknowledging who is boss.

55 tu me sola tibi subdis. The irony is potent, if this is modelled on the classical elegiac address to a lover or friend; Sp. cites Tib. 3.19.3, "tu mihi sola places", and Prop. 1.11.23, "tu mihi sola domus". Also note Prop. 2.7.19, tu mihi sola places; Ov., Ars 1.42, Elige cui dicas "tu mihi sola places", and Rem. 464, "tu mihi solus eras".

{4A - Elegiac Tradition}

57 fatiscunt. W. points out that this is a Vergilian line ending at Aen. 9.809, et saxis solida aera fatiscunt. It is also found at Aen. 1.123, accipiunt inimicum imbre rimisque fatiscunt.
In the first century it is common, being used, for example, by Silius Italicus at 2.316 and 9.323, and by Statius at Silv. 5.1.35, and 5.5.21.

59 provincia tota. See Apul., at Met. 3.11.5, et provinciam totam inclitae vestrae familiae nobilitas comsectitur. There has been some question of the exact meaning of provincia; however, according to W. "it is not necessary to make the scene of 59, 60 the same as that of 63 ff., though all the critics have done this, and so created, to a large degree, the difficulty in provincia." The phrase simply gives an exaggerated notion of his popularity as a youth.

60 sociare. In the classical period it is regularly the penultimate word in the hexameter, e.g. Verg., Aen. 4.16, ne cui me vinclo vellem sociare iugali; Tib. 3.3.7; Ov., Met. 10.635; Her. 3.109; Stat., Theb. 1.460; 574 (W.). See also Verg., Aen. 12.27, me natam nulli veterum sociare procorum / fas erat, and 4.16. (See coniugii vincula 1.62).

63 ibam. See the same verse-beginning at Hor., S. 1.9.1, Ibam forte via sacra, sicut meas est mos, and Verg., Aen. 6.268, Iabant obscuri sola sub nocte per umbram. (W. and Sp.) The subjects of ibant are Aeneas and the Sibyl, walking through the underworld.

{4B - Poetic Tradition}

venali corpore. W. and Sp. interpret the phrase as meaning that he was up for sale - un homme à prendre. W. quotes the old proverb omne Romae / cum pretio (Juvs. 3.183).
However a reasonable emendation is possible: iuvenali corpore. The third foot is changed from a spondee to a dactyl; this suggestion has already been made by Petschenig, citing Verg., Aen. 5.475, et mihi quae fuerint iuvenali in corpore vires, where it is in the same position in the hexameter (W., Critical Appendix, p.113). The idea is supported by G, though W. indicates that iuvenili is a syntactician's correction (p.19). Perhaps the fact that my search found that the phrase occurs twice in Ovid (in the same position) at Met. 2.150, occupat ille levem iuvenali corpore currum, and 4.50, vererit in tacitos iuvenalia corpora pisces is enough evidence to assume that iuvenali corpore could be correct. However, I prefer to read the Baehrens text unaltered, as I consider that venali corpore is a crude pun on iuvenali (in) corpore, a mockery of the phrase in Augustan epic. By this interpretation, the tone of off-colour humour is imparted to spectandus...virginibus as well (l.64).

{2 - Humour, Ovidian or Vergilian}

64 spectandus. This gerundive begins the verse at Hor., Ars 37, spectandum nigris oculis nigroque capillo; Carm. 4.14.17, spectandum in certame Martio; Juv. 10.67 Seianus ducitur unco / spectandum, gaudent omnes. "quae labra, quis illi / vultus erat". The Horatian ode is an epinikion for Drusus, brother of Tiberius, and is written in Horace's grandest style (Fraenkel, p.432). Juvenal's poem is satirical. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

65 forte petita. This also appears at the end of the hexameter in Sil. 13.637, sola die caperem medio cum forte petitos.
67 fugitiva petebat. The two ideas are frequently juxtaposed. See Verg., Aen. 12.263, petet ille fugam; Ov., Met. 5.460, fugit anum latebramque petit, and Sen., Ep. 44.7, illum dum petunt fugiunt. W. cites Verg., Ecl. 3.64-65, malo me Galatea petit, lasciva puella, / et fugit ad salices et se cupit ante videri.

67 & 68 subridens...effugiens...volens. The rhyme and flow of the participles extend the image of the flirting girl. Ovid uses three present participles at Met. 5.459, mirantem flentemque et tangere monspra parantem in conjunction with "seeking flight". In the first century a series of present participles was used in a number of descriptive passages: Luc. 9.722-25, oraque distendens auidus fumantia prester,/ ossaque dissoluens cum corpore tabificus seps; / sibilaque effundens cunctas terrentia pestes,/ ante uenena nocens, and Sil. 4.517-20, agmina magno / respectans clamore uocat, quaque obuia densos / artat turba globo rumpens iter aequore fertur, / ut torrens celsi praeceps e uertice Pindi. (Although torrens has become a noun, it carries on the sound and movement of its original present participle status.) Note that, although all these examples are found in epic poetry, none is found in Vergil. In late Latin the present participle can replace a relative clause (Norberg, p.145).

70 male tecta. See Ov., Her. 3.103, Per tamen ossa viri subito male tecta sepulchro, and Tr. 4.2.41, cornibus hic fractis viridi male tectus ab ulva.
71-72 *cunctis...omnibus*. Cicero uses *cunctus* in conjunction with *omnis*; *cunctus* seems to deal with a smaller unit and the sentences build up to a climax embracing something larger with *omnis*, e.g. Cic., *Vat.* 8, *Sed nihil sit factum mea causa: omnia illa senatus consulta, populi iussa, Italiae totius, cunctarum societatum, conlegiorum omnium decreta de me*, and *Fam.* 1.9.16, *te ferente consule, comitis centuriatis, cunctis ordinibus hominibus incumbentibus, omnibus denique suis viribus reciperavisset*. Servius' comments on the two words at *Aen.* 1.518.8 are as follows: *Cicero saepe ait "cuncti atque omnes", quia omnes non statim sunt cuncti, nisi idem simul sunt iuncti*. M. puts *cunctis* with *formosus* and *omnibus* with *gratus*. If he is thinking of building a climax as Cicero does, he spoils the progression with the conjunction.

72 *omnibus*. W. notes that there is a similar break at the end of the first foot in the pentameter at 1.196.

73 *natura pudicum*. The same ending in the hexameter is found at Ov., *Tr.* 3.7.13, *nam tibi cum facie mores natura pudicos, 1 et raras dotes ingeniumque dedit*. Ovid's poem is addressed to Perilla, a young girl of innocence who may have been his stepdaughter (Wilkinson, *Ovid Recalled* p. 345-6). The words *natura pudicum* recall her in an unusual setting; they are squeezed between M.'s flirtations with the girls on the streets of Rome (1.63 - 72 1/2) and his intimate knowledge of *amor* which he discloses while explaining that no woman is worthy of him (1.77-100). The phrase serves to point out that M. "doth protest too much" (*Hamlet* 3.2.242), when he credits himself with a *casto pectore* (1.74).

{2 - Humour, Ovidian}

pectore durus. From the time of Homer an insensitive person was said to have a heart as hard as *ferrum, saxum,* etc. (see McKeown on Ov. *Am.* 1.11.9), e.g. *Pont.* 4.12.31, *quae nisi te moueant, duro tibi pectora ferro.* Here M. says he's *durus* because of a *castum pectus!*

75 formae. For *forma* as "beautiful woman" Sp. cites Prop. 2.3.32, *post Helenam haec terris forma secunda reedit.* See also Prop. 2.28.53. This usage may have originated in comedy, since three of the five OLD citations are Pl., *Mer.* 405, *illa forma matrem familias flagitium sit sei sequatur; Ter., Eu.* 297, *taedet cotidianarum harum formarum,* and 361, *estne, ut fertur, forma?*

76 viduo frigidus usque toro. *Viduo* + word(s) of 5 syllables + *toro* is an Ovidian ending for the pentameter, occurring at Ov., *Am.* 3.5.42, *frigidus in viduo dēstituēre toro; Her.* 5.106, *nunc iacet in viduo crédius ille toro; Her.* 10.14, membraque sunt *viduo prāecipitātā toro,* and Tr. 5.5.48 *ius tale de viduo pāēne quērēlā toro.* Prop. 2.9a.16, *Scyria nec viduo Deidamiā toro* is worth mentioning here, though *toro* is an emendation (Butler and Barber, ad loc.). See also Ov., *Her.* 16.318, *in viduo iaceo solus et ipse toro.* Note that in the Augustan elegists *viduus torus* is the unhappy result of separation from a toro whereas M. chooses the empty bed.}}

{1 - Ovidian}
77-100 This catalogue of women contrasts with those of Ovid at Am. 2.4.9 ff.; Ars. 2.657 ff., and Rem. 327 ff. (Sp.). There is a great difference between the attitude of Ovid toward women and that of M. Ovid savours with relish the infinite variations in feminine charms and personalities. He introduces his catalogue with the statement that he has no specific idea of beauty in mind: Am. 2.4.9, *non est certa meos quae forma invitet amores*. His conclusion is that young women of any stripe attract him: 4.47-48, *denique quas tota quisquam probat Urbe puellas, / noster in has omnes ambitiosus amor*. M., on the other hand, has imagined an ideal woman whose beauty must be somewhere in the middle, without extremes: *cum media tantum dilexi ludere forma; / maiorem enim mediis gratia rebus inest* (1.81-2).

77 omnis...omnis. In the classical period this repetition is not joined by a conjunction; e.g. Verg., Ecl. 3.56, *et nunc omnis ager, nunc omnis parturit arbos*. Such usage continues into the first century A.D., e.g. Stat., Silv. 5.1.25-26, *omnis pariter matertera vatem, omnis Apollineus tegeret Bacchisque sacerdos*. Statius postpones the conjunction at Theb. 4.557-58, *manus omnis in armis / omnis et in capulo*. The conjunction causes it to lose its rhetorical punch, unless it is repeated too as at Ov., Met. 7.198, *dique omnes nemorum, dique omnes noctis adeste*.

79 tenues...pingues. In the first century A.D. these adjectives were used together in natural science literature, e.g. Sen., Nat. 3.2.2, (aqua) quaedam tenue quaedam pingue. Plin., Nat. 18.123.3, lens amat solum tenue magis quam pingue, and Col. 2.10.15, Lentim modo semediata luna usque in duodecimam solo tenui et resolutu vel pingui.

horrebam. The imperfect of horrere begins the hexameter at Stat., Silv. 4.3.31, and three times in Silius Italicus at 4.741. horrebat glacie saxa inter lurica summo; at 6.695, and 8.570; it is the first word in the pentameter at Ov., Rem. 664, and Fast. 2.348, horrebant densis aspera crura pilis.

80 brevis...longa. Sp. noted this reminiscence of Ov., Am. 2.4.35-36, corrum por utraque: / conveniunt voto longa brevisque meo. Unlike M., Ovid loves these extremes, but compare Hor., S. 1.2.92-93, "O crus! O bracchia!" verum / depugis, nasuta, brevi latere ac pede longo est, where Horace, with his usual wit, describes a woman with both "faults".

81 media...forma. W. notes the "golden mean" theme, adding that the lines evoke Martial at 1.57.1-3, qualem, Flaccle velim quaeris nolimve puellam? / nolo nimis facilem difficilemque nimis, / illud quod medium est atque inter utrumque probamus, and he also cites Gellius at 5.11.11, Inter enim pulcherrimam feminam et de formissimam media forma quaedam est. Quintilian used the phrase to describe an oratorical style at Inst. 12.10.11, Medium illam formam teneant L. Crassus, Q. Hortensius.
84 mater amoris. W. noted this phrase at the end of the hexameter in Ov., Am. 3.1.43, rustica sit sine me lascivi mater Amoris. That it is an Ovidian phrase is most probable, as it occurs twice as an address in the same place in the pentameter at Ars 1.30, Vera canam: coepitis, mater Amoris, ades!, and Her. 16.16, hoc mihi quae suasit, mater Amoris, iter. Mater Amorum terminates the hexameter at Fast. 4.1, "Alma, fave", dixi "geminorum mater Amorum"; Her. 7.59, praecipue cum laesus amor, quia mater Amorum, and 16.201, Phryx etiam Anchises, volucrum cui mater Amorum. {1 - Ovidian}

mater amoris habet. This pentameter ending of a two-syllable word + amoris habet appears once in Tibullus at 1.4.9-10, O fuge te tenerae puerorum credere turbae, Nam causam iusti semper amoris habent. However, Ovid’s influence is most probable as he uses it eleven times, eight in the same way as M., i.e. a two-syllable noun precedes amoris and is the subject of it: Am. 3.10.18, rustica nec viduum pectus amoris habet, Ars 2.16, Nunc Erato, nam tu nomen amoris habes; 2.176, Et iocus, et causas quicquid amonis habet; 2.242, Curam mansuri quisquis amoris habes; Fast. 4.195-96, (mensis Cythereius illi / cessit, quod teneri nomen amoris habet); Fast. 4.720, Iunone invita munus amoris habet; Her. 4.100, illa ferae spolium pignus amoris habet; 7.190, ille locus saevi vulnus amoris habet, 9.162, "hic," dixit, "vires sanguis amoris habet"; Tr. 2.1.382, haec quoque materiam semper amoris habet, and 2.1.498, qui semper vetiti crimen amonis habent. {1 - Ovidian}

86 carnea. Postclassical word meaning "of flesh" (Lewis and Short).
ad officium. The phrase occurs first in Plautus at Trin. 818, *Eo ego igitur intro ad officium meum* and frequently in Cicero (eighteen times). Petronius returned it to its ironic potential, as it is used here, at 26.1, *igitur ne maiorem iniuriam in secreto frater acciperet, consurrexi ad officium nuptiale,* and 74.7, *Trimalchio "quid vos" inquit "adhuc non cenastis? abite, ut alii veniant ad officium".*

87 in amplexu. In Ovid *in* + the ablative of this noun occurs at Am. 3.8.12, *huius in amplexu,* vita, iacere potes?, and Pont. 1.9.19, *haesit in amplexu consolatusque iacentem est.* Ovid uses the accusative case with verbs of movement at Ars 3.732, *in amplexus uxor itura viri,* Fast. 4.171, *seu quod in amplexum sex hinc venere deorum; Her. 5.100, quae sit in amplexus tam cito versa tuos,* and Rem 668, *venit in amplexus.* See also Sen., Phaed.

705, *etiam in amplexus ruit; Thy. 976, hic esse natos crede in amplexu; Stat., Silv 1.1.97, ibit in amplexus,* and Theb. 5.73, *nullus in amplexu sopor est.*

stringere corpus. Compare the unique hexameter ending in Verg., Aen., 10.331 *inrita,* *deflexit partim stringentia corpus, / alma Venus,* where Venus deflects the enemy weapons so that they just lightly graze or stroke Aeneas’ body. Menelaus was protected in the same way by Athene (II. 4.130). In M.’s phrase we have the picture of his hands stroking the body of a woman because of Venus’ inspiration (1.84). The fact that the infinitive of *stringo* had to replace the present participle, so that *in amplexu* could be fitted into the line, doesn’t prevent the audience from recalling the divine intervention in the military setting of the great epic;
M's "hands on" exploration of women, which, he implies, is inspired by Venus (1.84). is a
grotesque caricature of the Vergilian line. \{2 - Humour, Vergilian\}

89 candida...rubore. Candida begins the hexameter when Corinna is presented as an
epiphany at Ov., Am. 1.5.10, candida dividua colla tegente coma, for "the epithet is often
attributed to deities" (Mckeown). The white skin with the blush of rose is the choice of the
poets and W. cites Verg. Aen. 11.68; Prop. 2.3.12, and Ov., Am. 2.5.37. Sp. notes Am.
3.3.5, candida, candorem roseo suffusa rubore.

90 vernarent...rosis. W. notes that this use of the verb seems rare, but cites CIL 9.4756.7,
flore genas tenero vernans, and Comoediae Horatianae T.P. 37, vernabunt roseae circum
caput inde coronae. Spring and roses are regularly associated: Sen., Thy. 947, Vernae capiti
fluxere rosae; Ov., Fast. 5.194, (dum loquitur, vernas efflat ab ore rosas) and Prop. 3.5.22,
et caput in vernam semper habere rosa. (De Tribus Puellis in the anthology Comoediae
Horationae is edited by R. Jahnke, who says on p.51 that the author and date of writing are
unknown.)

ora serena. Compare Stat., Theb. 11.459, non habitu quo nota prius, non ore sereno.

91 Venus...vindicat. Ovid has Venus possess the spring month of April rather than the
spring rose at Fast. 4.89-90, Aprilem... / quem Venus inicta vindicat alma manu. W.
suggests that the line may give added point to rosea cervice refulsit in the theophany of
Verg., Aen. 1.402. Apuleius covers Venus in roses at Met. 6.11, *Venus remeat totumque revincta corpus rosis micantibus*. Aside from the tradition associating Venus with roses, M.'s line is likely his own; the subject and verb are chosen for their alliterative effect, and alliteration is something of a fixation with him, e.g. 1.5, 13, 22, 31, 35, 40, 44, 55, 56-7, 58, 65, 89, 94, 101, 102, 107, 109, 110, 115, 125, 127, 136, 141, 144, 149, 154, 156, 175, 179, 190.

93 *aurea caesaries...lactea cervix*. This recalls, as noted by W., Verg., Aen. 8.659-60, *aurea caesaries ollis atque aurea uestis, / virgatis lucent sagulis, tum lactea colla* (vestis = beard [Fordyce, Aeneid VII - VIII, ad loc.]). The Vergilian lines describe the solemn procession in the pageant of Roman history etched on the shield, which was given to Aeneas by Venus. They may be the model for l.93. For *lactea cervix*, see also Apul., Met. 5.22.19, *videt capitis aurei genialem caesariem ambrosia temulentam, cervices lactae genasque purpureas pererrantes*, where the same fashionable idea of beauty is found - golden hair, milk-white neck and blushing cheeks. {3 - Model, Vergilian}

demissa...cervix. Sp. cites Prop. 2.14.11, *at dum demissis supplex cervicibus ibam*. M. likes his women to be submissive.

94 *vultibus ingenuis*. For this adjective with *vultus* W. notes Juv. 11.154, *ingenui vultus*. See also Petr. 107.7, *vultus ingenuos*. 79
95 *lumina nigra*. For this Propertian oxymoron see Prop. 2.12.23, *qui caput et digitos et lumina nigra puellae / et canat ut soleant molliter ire pedes?*, and 4.3.14, *quae mihi deductae fax omen praetulit, illa / traxit ab everso lumina nigra rogo*. The phrase is not at verse-end, as in M. {2 - Humour, Propertian}

96 *urebant animum*. The verb is used with *animus* in the accusative at Sen., *Oct*. 792,

*Hinc urit animos pertinax nimium favor.*

97 *tumentia*. The epithet is found in the same line position of the hexameter at Stat. *Theb.* 6.484, *vela tumentia ponto*, and 3.443, *generisque tumentibus haerens*. It is commonly used for body swellings, including in a sexual sense, e.g. Hor., *Epod*. 8.9, *venterque mollis et femur tumentibus / exile suris additum*, and Sen., *Dial*. 5.39.4, *dum tumentem mammam leniter fovet*. In the following cases it has its original participial sense, being placed in apposition to the person and completed by the ablative of the part affected: Juv. 10.309, *utero pariter gibboque tumentem*, and Mor. 33, *labroque tumens* - the latter, mentioned by W, is the only example with *labrum*. Ovid makes "faces" subject of the verb at *Ars* 3.503, *ora tument ira*.

97-98 *labra ... gustata*. This may be a pun on what was possibly a common expression *primis labris gustare*, which is found twice in Cicero at *Cael*. 28, *non modo qui primoribus labris gustassent genus hoc vitae et extremis, ut dicitur, digitis attigissent*, and at *N.D*. 1.20, *hunc censes primis ut dicitur labris gustasse physiologiam id est naturae rationem*. In
addition to these examples, Cicero uses elsewhere the verb *gusto* in the sense of "test" or "try": *Fin*. 1.58, *animus a se ipse dissidens secumque discordans gustare partem ullam liquidae voluptatis et liberae potest*; *Tusc*. 5.13, *sic Stoicorum ista magis gustata quam potata detectant*, and *Fam*. 12.23.3. W. points out *Lucr*. 5.179, *qui numquam vero vitae gustavit amorem*, and Cíc., *Tusc*. 1.93, "*nondum gustaverat* inquit "*vitae suavitatem*".

98 basia. W. states "though common in Catullus, *basium* is not found in Tibullus or Propertius, but turns up again in Martial; probably it belonged to the everyday speech." My search determined that Ovid did not use it either. According to Axelson (p.35), the word is non-elegiac and coarse and is to be read as a blemish here. Catullus counts *basia* whereas M. is thinking of their quality in *plena basia*. See also Petr. 21, *basiis olidissimis* and 31 *spississima basia*. Martial has *mollia...basia* in *Ep*. 11.22.1-2, and two similar pentameter endings, *basia pura dabunt* in 6.50.6, and *basia sola daret* in 8.46.6; in all he uses *basium* or a cognate (*basiare, basiatio, basiator*) 55 times. Mart. 8.46.6 may be the model for M.'s phrase.


Another example of the epithet found with *collum* is Stat., *Ach*. 1.609, *e tereti demisit nebrida collo*.

*pretiosius aurum*. The similarity of this verse ending to that of 1.19, *pretiosior auro* probably accounts for *pretiosior auro* in S, which must be an early attempt at correction.
100 iudicio...meo. Ovid uses the words of the phrase in the same pentameter position at Fast. 1.332, veraque iudicio est ultima causa meo, and Tr. 4.1.92. tutaque iudicio littera nostra suo est; 4.10.40, otio, iudicio semper amata meo; 5.3 54, nullaque iudicio littera laesa meo est, and Pont. 2.4.2, Attice, iudicio non dubitande meo. Note that there are always 5 syllables (1 dactyl, 1 trochee) between iudicio and the possessive adjective.

gemma...radiare. See Ov., Pont. 3.4.103, scuta sed et galeae gemmis radiuntur et auro.

101 singula. According to W., singula begins the line to break up a preceding catalogue.

See Ov., Am. 1.5.23, and Martial 11.8.11.

turpe seni. Numerous instances of turpe with old age exist; W. notes Ov., Am. 1.9.4, quoted at line 177-78, which, he suggests, had become an everyday proverb. In the period to which this search is restricted, turpe is more often used with senectus: Hor., Carm. 1.31.19, turpem senectam; Verg., G. 3.96, nec turpi ignosce senectae, and Sil. 15.651, et turpi finem donate senectae.

102 iām mōdō crimen habet. This pentameter line ending appears once in Prop. 2.32.2, non cupiet: facti lūminā crimen habent, and frequently in Ovid at Am. 2.5.6, nec data furtive mūnerā crimen habent; Ars 2.271-72, turpiter his emitur spes mortis et orba senectus / a, pereant, per quos mūnerā crimen habent!, and the following (all of which have the verb in the singular crimen habet): Ars. 2.634, famaque non tacto cōrporē crimen habet; 1.586, tuta frequensque licet sit via, crimen habet; Fast. 2.162, cavet mortales; de lōve crimen habet,
and Her. 18.142, *utque mihi parcat, nōminē crimen habet*. Note that, in most cases, the
dactyl preceding *crimen habet* is a neuter noun, a practice M. imitates in line 180 *O miser*,
*quorum gāudīa crimen habent*. {4A - Elegiac Tradition}

103 diversos diversa. The polyptoton occurs once in Lucretius at 5.646-47, *nonne vides*
etiam diversis nubila ventis / diversas ire in partis inferna supernis?. M. has a penchant for
this figure of speech, e.g. *carnis...carnea* (1.86), *carmina...cando: cantandi* (1.127), *hominem*
...*humana* (1.144) etc..

omnibus annis. See Hor., Ep. 1.7.21, *haec seges ingratos tulit et feret omnibus annis*; Ov..
Pont. 2.10.43, *haec tibi cum subeant, absim licet, omnibus annis*, and Luc. 7.421, *omne tibi*
bellum gentis dedit, *omnibus annis* for the identical hexameter ending.

105 levitate...gravitate. Cf. Cic., *Luc. 66.24, quam habemus de gravitate sapientis, errore*
levitate tementitate diiunctius, and Tac., Ann. 15.48.11 (noted by Sp.), *procul gravitas morum*
aut voluptatum parsimonia; *levitati ac magnificentiae et aliquando luxu indulgebat*. This
rhyming pair of opposites does not seem to have been used by the poets of the period under
examination.

106 inter utrumque. This first occurs in Hor., S. 1.7.9, *ad regem redeo postquam nihil*
*inter utrumque*. Sp. considers it a formula, citing Ov., *Met. 1.50, totidem inter utramque*
locavit. W. states that it is an Ovidian line beginning and has five citations: *Ars 2.63, inter*
utrumque vola; Met. 2.140, inter utrumque tene; 8.13, inter utrumque volat dubiis Victoria pennis, 8.206, inter utrumque vola and Tr. 1.2.25, inter utrumque fremunt immmani murmure venti. According to my search it occurs also at Hal. 85, inter utrumque loci melius moderabere finem.

{4B - Poetic Tradition}

108 linguae garrulitate suae. Ovid used garrulitas once at Met. 5.678, raucaque garrulitas. According to Sp. the ablative form is always the last two dactyls of the pentameter (it makes a perfect pentameter ending, when followed by an iamb); he cites Mart., 5.52.8, auctoris pereunt garrulitate sui, and 7.18.8, offendor cunni garrulitate tui with the subjective genitive of cunnus. There is also Mart. 7.62.4, Et niger obliqua garrulitate cliens. Here M. is speaking of the chatter of children, but he uses the same word for the muttering of old men, O sola fortes garrulitate senes (1.204). Cunni garrulitate tui may be the inspiration for the phrase.

{3 - Model, Martialian}

110 currere quaeque via. For this Propertian pentameter ending see Prop. 1.2.12, et sciat indociles currere lymphae vias; 2.1.34, Actiaque in Sacra currere rosae Via, and 3.1.14 non datur ad Musas currere lata via.

{1 - Propertian}

111 longa mihi gravis est et inutile aetas. See Prop. 3.5.23, atque ubi iam Venerem gravis interceperit aetas. Note that gravis and aetas have the same position in the hexameter and imply that great age spoils life. In contrast to the elegists, Caesar and Cicero have a different view of gravis aetas. In Caesar, Gal. 3.16.2.2, nam cum omnis iuventus, omnes
etiam gravioris aetatis, in quibus aliquid consilii, the adjective is not so negative and people of great age are relied on for their wisdom. Cicero uses gravitas with aetas with the meaning of "respect" or "authority": Q. fr. 1.2.3, gravitas istius aetatis, and Sen. 33, gravitas iam constantis aetatis et senectutis maturitas. W. states that gravis is a set epithet of old age and death; he also suggests that the verse sounds like an imitation of Mart. 11.69.7, Non me longa dies nec inutilis abstulit aetas. That is possible, as both have the epithet inutilis / inutile with aetas and, also, longa and aetas have the same places in the hexameter.

{3 - Model, Martialian}

112 sit mihi posse mori. This phrase ending the pentameter seems to be modelled on Ovid’s use in Ars 2.28, vivere non potui, da mihi posse mori, and Tr. 1.1.34, sedibus in patriis det mihi posse mori. Posse mori is a graveyard tag e.g. CIL 6.29629.3, si tamen haec vita est, tam cito posse mori (W.). However, according to Lissberger p.41, Ovid is the inspiration for the epitaphs.

{3 - Model, Ovidian}

113 condicio vitae. This expression occurs seven times in Cicero: Clu.154, eam condicionem vitae; Fam. 5.16.4, ex iniquissima condicione vitae; Rab. Post. 16.8, illam condicionem vitae secuti; Phil. 14.33, ita pro mortali condicione vitae; Tusc. 3.77, de communi condicione vitae; 4.63, communis condicio lexque vitae, and Ver. 2.3.98, iniqua condicione vitae. See also Sal., Cat. 20.6, condicio vitae, and Plin., Nat. 25.24, condicio vitae.
premit miserōs. Cf. Ov., Tr. 2.450, *seque sua miserum nunc ait arte premi*.

114 humano...arbitrio. The phrase is found in Pl., *Nat.* 29.53, *tamquam congruere operationem eam serpantium humani sit arbitrii*, and Quint., *Decl.* 279.5, *alioqui enim tolerabilia et certe humanius erat arbitrium*. Seneca uses the genitive of *homo*, rather than the adjective, in a slightly more optimistic view of the theme at *Nat.* 2.38.3, *dicam quemadmodum manente fato aliquid sit in hominis arbitrio*. *Arbitrium* is found in Ovid 24 times, but never at the end of the line; however, it does not occur at all in Propertius or Tibullus.


116 (mors) praecipitata. The verb is used with *prona pericula mortis* by Lucan at 5.692-94, *sors ultima rerum / in dubios casus et prona pericula morti / praecipitare solet*.

117-18 The theme "living death" introduces the catalogue of old age diseases.
118 Tartareas constat inire vias. Cf. Ov., Fast. 3.619-20, adspexi non illo corpore digna / vulnera Tartareas ausus adire domos. {3 - Model, Ovidian}

119-22 M. begins with the loss of the five senses. Traditionally, possession of these is considered essential for voluptas in life, e.g. Gel. 19.2.1, quinque sunt hominum sensus ... per quos voluptas animo aut corpori quaerit uidetur: gustus, tactus, odoratus, uisus, auditus, and the lack of them is associated with death: Cic., Fin. 1.40, quod mors sensu careat, and Quint., Inst. 5.14.12, mors nihil ad nos, nam quod est dissolutum sensu caret. Cf. Cat. 64.188 - 89, cited in 1.2.

121 est iam grata voluptas. Ovidian hexameter ending at Ars 1.347, sed cur fallaris, cum sit nova grata voluptas, and 2.687, quae datur officio, non est mihi grata voluptas. In Ovid, sit and est are followed by words of two short syllables; thus, the fourth foot is a dactyl of which the quick and light beat is appropriate for the cheerful phrase grata voluptas. M.’s spondee est iam (preceded by another spondee) makes his line heavy footed and gloomy: the rhythm contrasts with the meaning of grata voluptas and serves to give point to the loss of it. It is interesting to note that Ovid has two spondees before the similar phrase vera voluptas at Her. 19.65, Me miseram! brevis est haec et non vera voluptas, in which he also expresses how wretched he is without voluptas. {3 - Model, Ovidian}

123 subeunt. W. notes that oblivia is the subject of this verb at Ov., Tr 1.5.13, quam subeant animo meritorum oblivia nostro.
125 ad nullum consurgit opus. Sp. says that opus refers to a literary work. Since M. moves from the senses to the mind and its loss of memory (lines 123-24), he is saying that, with his body weakened, strenuous mental effort - intenta (line 126) - is stunted. However, I believe, along with W., that consurgit may add an erotic sense to opus. Surgere "nonnumquam turpem habet sensum" (Pichon, p.272); see Ov., Am. 2.15.25, te nuda mea membra libidine surgent, and Am. 3.7.75, nullas consurgere posse per artes.

languet. The verb is carefully chosen. It can simply mean to grow physically weak, e.g. Lucr., 4.929-30, unde / perturbari anima et corpus languescere possit, where the anima is disturbed along with the debilitation of the body and is appropriate for a poem that makes overt claims to the theme of old age. However, the verb is also part of the amorous vocabulary in elegiac poetry (Pichon, p.183) cf. Ov., Am. 2.10.35-36, at mihi contingat Veneris languescere motu / cum moriar, medium solvar et inter opus, and this latter meaning illuminates the erotic theme under the surface.

126 atque (mens) intenta suis astupet illa malis. The line, as noted by W., recalls Ov., Tr. 4.1.4, mens intenta suis ne foret usque malis, with intenta, suis, and malis in the same positions in the hexameter. {3 - Model, Ovidian}

127 carmina nulla cano. W. notes the nearly identical sentence in Verg., Ecl. 1.77, carmina nulla canam, non me pascente, capellae. The eclogue tells the story of the farmers who were dispossessed of their farms in order to give the promised land settlements to
Octavian's soldiers. Meliboeus, who must leave his pastures and flocks, will no longer sing to them and, in departing, he loses the most precious of all gifts - the inspiration to music (Coleman, ad loc.). The egocentric townsman M., who says singing was his *summa voluptas*, incongruously calls up a picture of the helpless shepherd, Meliboeus, when he repeats his "heartfelt" words. 

{*summa voluptas.* The phrase occurs in the philosophical writings of Cicero and Seneca, who used it absolutely and, only rarely, with the genitive of another noun. One example was found in Cic., *Fin.* 2.89.3, *in qua quid est boni praeter summam voluptatem* (the expression is still abstract), and another in [Quint.], *Decl. Maior.* 3.6.4, *ac summam flagitiorum voluptas.*

129 *bländā poemata pāngo.* Cf. Hor., *Ars* 416, *mirā poemata fingo*, a phrase with a not dissimilar sound. If M. revised Horace's line, he replaced *fingo* and *mira* with words that give the assonance of the vowel A and the alliteration of labial consonants. Both devices are characteristic of late Latin poetry (Roberts, p.37).

131 *species.* W. gives the translation "beauty", indicating that this "force is classic". Sp. agrees citing *Juv.* 10.310, and *Ov.*, *Fast.* 5.353. However, allowing the word to carry the overtones of all its meanings, including "form" and "illusory impression" makes the loss greater and more tragic. A line with a similar sense is found in *Ov.*, *Met.* 15.252, *nec species sua cuique manet.*
134 pallor et exanguis. Paleness is associated with sickness and death, e.g. Ov., Met.

15.627, pallidaque exsanguis squalebant corpora morbo, and 4.267, partemque coloris / luridus exsangues pallor convertit in herbas.

136 uncae...manus. The claw-like hands in Verg., Aen. 3.216-17, are part of the description of the Harpies foedissima ventris / prolavies uncaequa manus et pallida semper / ora fame. In the sixth book of the Aeneid, Palinurus tries desperately to save himself by clutching the jagged outcroppings of a cliff: prensantemque uncis manibus capita aspera montis (6.360).

scabida. Neither scabida nor scabrida (variant found in the manuscripts F and M) is in the Oxford Latin Dictionary, which means it did not appear in Latin texts until after the end of the 2nd century A.D. Scabida means "itchy" (Lewis & Short), "mangy", "leprous" (Souter), or "galeux", "rude", "grincant" (Blaise).

membra manus. This pair of words completes the pentameter at Prop. 3.16.6, ut timeam audaces in mea membra manus, and Ov., Her. 8.112, ignara tetigi Scyria membra manu. and begins the hexameter at Ov., Met. 4.382, membra, manus tendens, and Verg., Aen.

10.868, membra manusque ambas. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

137 quondam. W. points out that quondam ... nunc "is the motif for a good part of the elegy". Ovid never begins a line with quondam.
fonte perenni. W. says that this is "apparently commonplace" and cites Ov., Am. 3.9.25, *adice Maenidea, a quo ceu fonte perenni*. The image is usually expressed with the noun *perennitas*, especially in Cicero, but see Mil. 34.23 *fontem perennem gloriae* for a different metaphorical use.

138 deplangunt. W. notes that the verb is not common, but is used by Ovid at Met. 14.580, *et ipsa suis deplangit Arg Ardea peninis* and 4.546, where *deplangere* begins the hexameter.

See also Sen., Her. O. 1851, *deplanxit una*.

nocte dieque. This common phrase occurs in the same position in the pentameter at Ov., Pont. 3.1.40, *et niti pro me nocte dieque decet*, Mart., Ep. 2.43.2, *quae tu magnilocus nocte dieque sonas*, and 11.56.6, *et brevis atque eadem nocte dieque toga*.

{4B - Poetic Tradition}

140 desuper incumbens. Statius begins the hexameter with this phrase at Ach. 2.151, *desuper incumbens verbisque urgere pudorem*. The hero, Achilles, in the story of his own upbringing, tells of the time he was losing his foothold in a swift stream and, while he struggled to stand firm, Chiron, looming over him (*desuper incumbens*), threatened and taunted him to keep him in his endeavour. M.'s bushy eyebrows, hanging over his eyes, taunt him in his predicament.  {2 - Humour, Statian}
141 caeco conduntur in antro. This closely resembles the end of the hexameter in Luc.

4.458, caecisque abscondit in antris. {3 - Model, Lucanian}

142 torvum nescioquid. W. notes Ov. Pont. 2.8.22 torvaque nescio quid forma minantis habet? as a parallel. Ovid says this while looking at Octavian’s head on the obverse of a Roman coin. {3 - Model, Ovidian}

furiale. W. considers furiale to be adverbial, but it could also modify torvum nescioquid.

Cf. a similar use of the neuter adjective in Hor., Carm. 3.11.17, Cerberus, quamvis furiale centum / muniant angues caput eius atque; Ov., Met. 4.506, vertit furiale venenum / pectus in amborum, and Stat., Theb. 11.583-84, durus sanguine crinis / obnubit furiale caput. For the adverbial use see also Stat., Theb. 6.429, aurigae furiale minatur / efferus, and Sil. 13.374-76, inde minaci / obtutu torvum contra et furiale renidens / bellatorem alacer per pectora transigit ense. At Verg., Aen. 7.375, furiale modifies the neuter adjective malum in penitusque in viscera lapsum / serpentiis furiale malum totamque pererrat.

144 hominem humana. This turns up frequently, especially in philosophical passages, in Cicero and Seneca, e.g. Cic., Quinct. 97.7, si non hominis at humanitatis rationem haberet; Rab. Perd. 2.2, cum dignitas hominis, cum ratio humanitatis defendum est; Sen. Dial. 5.43.5, dum inter homines sumus, colamus humanitatem, and 12.8.4, Quidquid optimum homini est, id extra humanam potentiam iacet, nec dari nec eripi potest.
ratione caret. This vocabulary occurs at the end of the hexameter in Ov., Am. 1.10.25, sumite in exemplum pecudes ratione carentes, and Fast. 3.119, ergo animi indociles et adhuc ratione carentes.

145 libros repeto. There were no examples of liber in the accusative with repeto. The verb is used with de + the noun in Rhet. Her. 3.8.17, argumentationis artificiosae tractandae ratio de secundo libro petetur and Str. 13.6, praecptio narrandi de primo libro repetetur.

duplex...littera. M. is saying that he "sees double" when he tries to read.

146 occurrat pagina. Martial frequently personifies pagina: Ep. 1.4.8, lasciva est nobis pagina, vita proba; 4.10.2, pagina dum tangi non bene sicca timet; 5.6.15, nigris pagina crevit umbilicis. See also Juv. 7.100, nullo quippe modo millensima pagina surgit. A page will seem to grow larger and rush at a reader whose eyes become unfocussed.

147 claram per nebulas. Compare Stat., Theb. 6.388, claraque per zephyros, and 8.624 claraque per somnos, both introducing the hexameter line.

{3 - Model, Statian}

cernere lucem. This phrase is found as a hexameter ending at Luc. 4.568, infudere mari despectam cernere lucem.
148 nubila...serena. The oxymoron makes the statement ironic; usually when the two words are together, the context is one of hope - "clouds are cleared away", e.g. Sen., Ep. 107.8.2, nubilo serena succedunt, and Plin., Nat. 1.13.7, hic caeli tristitiam discuit atque etiam humani nubila animi serenat.

149 This line occurs towards the end of a long lament about failing vision and W. suggests that it means blindness with dies equalling lux; he also points to its funereal character especially as eripio is used of premature death e.g. Cic., de Amic. 102.5, Scipio ... subito ereptus. If one doesn’t forget that dies means "day", sine morte can be read ironically, for the usual implication is "good luck", e.g. Petr. 108.9, multi ergo utrimque sine morte labuntur, or "timelessness", e.g. Prop. 3.2.26, ingenio stat sine morte decus.

caligine caeca. This hexameter ending is found, as noted by W., at Lucr. 4.456; Cic., Arat. Phcen. 34.478, at vero serpens Hydrae caligine caeca; Verg., Aen. 8.253, evomit involvitque domum caligine caeca, and Ilias 308. It also occurs at Ov., Met. 1.70, cum, quae pressa diu fuerant caligine caeca sidera coeperunt, and Sil. 5.34, tum super ipse lacus densam, caligine caeca. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

151 demens homini persuaserit auctor. The vocabulary and structure of this sentence bear a close resemblance to Verg., G. 2.315, Nec tibi tam prudens quisquam persuadeat auctor, where the auctor is a farm superintendent. Auctor does not appear as subject of persuadeo in the work of any poet except Vergil. (The only other occurrence of the phrase is in Quintilian
at Inst. 9.4.16, magnus auctor Cicero persuaserit.) Also W. notes that talia quis demens seems to be a rearrangement of Verg., Aen. 4.107, quis talia demens / abnuat.

{3 - Model, Vergilian}

152 turpior esse suo. R. notes the similarity of this pentameter ending to that in Ov., Ars 1.534, non facta est lacrimis turpior illa suis about the weeping Ariadne.

{3 - Model, Ovidian}

153 subeunt morbi. W. noted Verg. G. 3.67, subeunt morbi tristisque senectus, which was quoted by Seneca at Ep. 108.24 and 29. The phrase also occurs at Ep. 108.28, quia subeunt morbi, quia senectus premit.

{2 - Humour, Vergilian}

153-54 iam subeunt...subeunt.../iam. Catullus, on three occasions, introduces two successive lines with iam at 30.2-3, 46.1-2 and 46.7-8. Tibullus repeats iam in this way, at 1.1.70-71, iam veniet tenebris Mors adoperta caput, / iam subrepet iners aetas, nec amare decebit, 1.2.49-50, and 2.5.41-42; and Ovid at Met. 2.182-84, et iam mallet equos numquam tetigisse paternos, / iam cognosse genus piget et valuisse rogando, / iam Meropis dici cupiens ita fertur, where one hears the recurring iam and -sse sound of perfect infinitives, and also 13.764, iamque tibi formae, iamque est tibi cura placendi, / iam rigidos pectis rastris, Polypheme, capillos, / iam libet. This repetition is a poetic tradition and Vergil twice uses it at G. 2.416-17 iam victae vites, iam falcem arbusta reponent / iam car.: and at Ecl. 4.6-7 iam reedit et Virgo, redeunt Saturnia regna, / iam nova progenies caelo demittitur alto. The
latter Vergilian citation is interesting, because the verb *redire* is repeated in exactly the same places as *subire*; M.'s line may actually be modelled from it.

{3 - Model, Vergilian}

154 *dulces ... nocent*. Cf. Ovid *Am. 2.19.26 stomacho dulcis ut esca, nocet.*

156 *ut vivamus vivere*. Sp. states that *vivere* simply means "*profiter de la vie*" and disagrees with W.'s interpretation "*vivere has the technical sense of erotic poetry*". The erotic sense is probably best exemplified by Cat. 5.1 *vivamus, mea Lesbia, atque amemus* where *vivere = amare*. Note the similar paronomasia at Cat. 68.160 *lux mea, qua viva vivere dulce mihi est* with *vivere* in the same position in the pentameter. These sentiments found their way onto the epitaph *CIL 2106.8, felix te vivo morte ego rapta prior*, which was inspired by the just quoted line from Catullus (Lissberger p.95). W.'s interpretation is consistent with the underlying current of eroticism throughout the poem: even though the subject is *epulae* (l.154), the word is joined by a co-ordinating conjunction to *deliciae*, which means *venereae voluptates*, "amantes" and "amatoria verba, amatorii lusii" (Pichon, 125-6) and, in l.155, both are referred to as *gratis ... rebus - gratus* also has a sexual connotation in elegiac poetry (Pichon, 160).

*ut vivamus vivere destitimus*. The reverse of the theme "we stop living in order to live" is characteristic of the *epithalamium*, e.g. Petr. 34.10, *ergo vivamus, dum licet esse bene*.  

96
Dudum iam. The reverse iam dudum is usual in classical Latin, but see Pl., Mil. 1028, tibi uti dudum iam demonstravi. M.'s usage is often at variance with that of the Augustan age (see on in hoc fesso corpore...venis [I.2]). Dudum with iam is not mentioned in any of the medieval dictionaries I consulted. Dudum alone, meaning "sudden", is found in Blaise. Perhaps this usage is a result of the archaizing movement that started in Quintilian's time (Williams, Change and Decline, p.306-12).

Quem...nocebant. The transitive construction is commonplace in postclassical Latin (W., Sp.).

159-62 For the thought, cf. Ov., Am. 2.19.25-6, pinguis amor nimiumque patens in tadia nobis / vertitur et, stomacho dulcis ut esca nocet (R.).

159 libet...pigebit. The verbs are used for contrast, and the irony in the contrast is emphasized with the repeated saturum. Ovid has the same pair of verbs with a repeated word at Met. 9.631, cum pigeat temptasse, libet temptare.

160 W. notes that the break in the sense makes the hiatus less harsh. Lucan uses praesto and noceo to emphasize contrast at 10.388, hoc praestare potest, Pompei caede nocentis.

163 munera Bacchi. This phrase is often at the end of the hexameter: Ov., Ars 1.565, ergo ubi contigerint positi tibi munera Bacchi; Met. 4.765, Postquam epulis functi generosi
munere Bacchi, and Met. 12.578, a sermone senis repetito munere Bacchi. It belongs to poetic tradition, as it occurs also in Col. 10.1.1, cum caneret laetas segetes et munera Bacchi: Man. 4.204, per nova maturi post annum munera Bacchi, and Stat., Ach. 2.101, haec mihi prima Ceres, haec laeti munera Bacchi. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

164 vitae...damna. Cf. Quint. Decl. 305.16, facile est vitae damnun, and Sen., Ep. 68.8, "o magnum virum! contemnis omnia et damnatis humanae vitae furoribus fugit".

166 vitio carpitur ipsa suo. W. notes the unusual pentameter ending at Ars 2.113-4, forma bonum fragile est, quantumque accedit ad annos / fit minor, et spatio carpitur ipsa suo. Ovid berates a youth for trying to impress his lover with physical beauty only; he reminds him of the importance of cultivating his mind for the later years when his beauty is gone nec levis ingenuas pectus coluisse per artes / cura sit (121-2). The threat that youth and beauty will fade in its time is a common topos (Sharrock, p.39). M.'s poem uses the phrase to twist the theme to life itself being a victim of its own rot, but the irony is that, unlike a faded beauty, the old man has no alternative and no future. {2 - Humour, Ovidian}

167 medicamina prosunt. Cf. Col. 6.13.2, id medicamentum candente sole inlitum maxime prodest. For medicamine in the same position in the hexameter, see Ov., Met. 7.311, dux gregis inter oves, agnus medicamine fiat and 15.533, nec nisi Apollineae valido medicamine prolis. M. is in a bad way; in this line medications no longer help him, and in l.161 food no longer helps.
168 ferre sōlebat opem. This Ovidian pentameter ending is found at Her. 10.24, ipse locus miserae ferre vōlebat opem; 20.234, quid dubitas unam ferre dūobus opem?; Pont. 2.9.22, supplicibus vestris ferre sōletis opem, and 3.6.20 Leucothea nanti ferre nēgāvit opem. There are two examples of the imperfect tense + opem at verse end: Fast. 5.62, saepe ferebat opem, and Tr. 1.5.76, diva ferebat opem. {1 - Ovidian}

171 fulcire ruinam. According to W., this architectural figure was common, and he cites Ov., Tr. 1.6.5, te mea supposita veluti trabe fulta ruina est; 5.13.8, quippe mea est umeris fulta ruina tuis; Pont. 2.3.60, restat adhuc umeris fulta ruina tuis; Luc. 8.528, tu, Ptolemaee, potes Magni fulcire ruinam, also at verse end, and Sen., Nat. 6.1.11.7, quae in futurum ruina sua fulta sunt?

173 donec longa dies. Poets use longa dies regularly to depict an event in which time would seem to drag, for example, Juvenal on the fall of Troy in 10.265, longa dies igitur quid contulit?, Ovid on old age at Met. 14.148, longa dies faciet, consumptaque membra senecta or on his suffering in exile Pont. 411.19, At cum longa dies sedavit vulnera mentis. However, W. notes an exact parallel for the full phrase in Verg., Aen., 6.745, donec longa dies perfecto temporis orbe, which also introduces the hexameter. These three words, originating in Anchises’ account of the Roman view of life after death, recall the long, dreary wait of the souls that must be cleansed. They have an epic grandeur, perhaps because of the assonance of long O in donec longa dies, (the feminine adjective was chosen for
metrical convenience. [Austin, ad loc.]) and, set within the image of a collapsing building.
i.e. within M's mundane life, they make a mockery of M. and his ego.

{2 - Humour, Vergil}

compage soluta. W. noted compages for the joints of the human body at Pers. 3.58,
laxumque caput compage soluta, also at verse end, and Sen., Her. O. 1228-29, nec ossa
durant ipsa sed compagibus / discussa ruptis mole conlapsa fluunt. In addition consider the
following hexameter endings: Luc.1.72, sic, cum compage soluta, and Stat., Theb. 8.31, ille
autem supera compage soluta. {4B - Poetic Tradition}

175 quid quod. This is a frequent introductory spondee of the hexameter in Ovid e.g. Met.
10.615-18

sed quod adhuc puer est; non me movet ipse, sed aetas.

quid, quod inest virtus et mens interrita leti?

quid, quod ab aequorea numeratur origine quartus?

quid, quod amat tantique putat conubia nostra.

which occurs 15 times in his poetry. Juvenal has the same construction at 3.86, 6.45, and
3.147. quid quod materiam praebet causas iocorum. See also Hor., Carm. 2.18.13, and
Epod. 8.15, quid, quod libelli Stoici inter Sericos.

spectacula rerum. The one antecedent found for this is in Verg., G. 4.3, admiranda tibi
leuium spectacula rerum. Vergil's term for the tiny, busy world of the bees (Mynors, ad
loc.) is *levium spectacula rerum*, a society much admired (*admiranda*) by men. The excerpted phrase represents the hive of human activity, from which M. is so cut off that he can merely gawk at it. M., so proud of his former role as a mover and shaker in society *toto clarus in orbe* (l.10), is now reduced to distant observer status.

{2 - Humour, Vergil}

176 *nec mala...dissimulare licet*. The infinitive occurs frequently in Ovid in the same position and three times with *licet* at *Tr. 4.9.32, dum licet huic nomen dissimulare suum; Ib. 304, non licet hoc Cereri dissimulare sacrum*, and, as noted by W., *Her. 9.122, nec mihi quae patior dissimulare licet*. This last citation so closely resembles M.'s line that it may be the model for it. According to the pulse-accent theory, "coincidence" dominates in the last dactyl of the line when the infinitive with a long "a" or "e" is followed by a disyllabic end-word. (Wilkinson, *Golden Latin Artistry*, p.123.)

{3 - Model, Ovid}

177-78 *turpe ... seni*. The thought and vocabulary recall Ov., *Am. 1.9.4, turpe senex miles*, *turpe senilis amor*, and *Ars 2.271, turpiter his emitur spes mortis et orba senectus*. See note on line 101.

178 *quis sine*. W. points to the same construction in Verg., *G. 1.161, quis sine nec potuere seri nec surgere messes.*
179 crimen amare iocos...convivia...cantus. Cf. Cic., Cael. 19.46, Obterendae sunt omnes voluptates, relinquenda studia delectationis, ludus, iocus, convivium, sermo est paene familiarium deserendus, and Hor., Ep. 2.2.55-56, (noted by W.) singula de nobis anni praedantur euntes: / eripuere iocos, Venerem, convivia, ludum.

180 crimen habent. For this Ovidian pentameter ending, see on 1.102.

181-82 For the theme, cf. Ov., Am. 3.7.49-50, quo mihi fortunaee tantum? quo regna sine usu? / quid nisi possedi dives avarus opes? (W) and see the commentary on 1.185.

183 incumbere rebus. Quintilian considers the construction with the dative a modernism:
Inst. 9.3.1.

184 violare nefas. The two words frequently go together: e.g. Juv. 15.9 porrum et caepe nefas violare et frangere morsu (Sp.), and Nep., Paus. 4.4, quod violari nefas putant Graeci.

185 sitiens...Tantalus. Traditionally, Tantalus, with an insatiable thirst, is in a pool of water which always moves away from his mouth when he wants to drink; also, he looks just above his head to a bough of delicious fruit, but the wind blows it away whenever he reaches for it. The poets, like M., allude to Tantalus to represent the frustrations, the suffering of someone who cannot have what he needs or thinks he needs: e.g. Hor., S. 1.1.68, Tantalus a labris sitiens fugientia captat / flumina - quid rides?, Ov., Am. 3.12.30, proditor in medio
Tantalus amne sitit, and Phaed. 7.6.7, ostendit hominum sine fine esse miserias. / quod stans in amne Tantalus medio sitit. W. cites Ov., Am. 3.7.51, sic aret mediis taciti vulgator in undis / pomaque, quae nullo tempore tangat, habet, with the same theme, i.e. old age prevents the quenching of the thirst for pleasure.

187 custos rerum. W. considers the phrase "rather grandiloquent", citing Hor., Carm.

4.15.17, custode rerum Caesare. From time immemorial it had been the pride of a good king to be regarded as the custos of his flock, and the idea was revived for Caesar (Fraenkel, p.296); custos is a familiar term for Caesar, but custode rerum Caesare is a bold superlative (Fraenkel, p.452). The phrase occurs in the last of the odes, in a stanza that must have flattered Octavian and every emperor after him. It would not be surprising to me if it had become required memory work for every schoolboy - much as "God Save the King" was for me. I believe its use here is intended to be ironic: M. likens his position to that of a political leader responsible for the public interest - unfortunately, the "public interest" happens to be his own private fortune! {2 - Humour, Horatian}

188 conservans...periere. The vocabulary continues the irony, cf. Cic., Phil. 2.37, patres conscripti, rem publicam vestris quondam meisque consiliis conservatem brevi tempore esse perituram.
189 auricomis. W. indicates that the word first occurs in Verg., Aen. 6.141, *auricomos quam quis decerpserit arbores fetus*, describing, as here, a tree. Silius Italicus uses the epithet in the same line position at 3.608, *iam puer auricomus praeformidat Batauo*.

190 *pervigil...dracon*. The two words occur twice in Ovid and are placed, as here, at the beginning and end of the verse. W. cites Met. 7.149, *Pervigilem superest herbis sopire draconem*, and it is also found at Her. 6.13, *pervigilem spolium pecudis servasse draconem*. M. has moved his imagery from the responsible citizen to the mythical snake looking after someone else’s property and, like M. (1.192), that snake, always *pervigil*, doesn’t sleep. Cf. Met. 9.190, *pomaque ab insomni concustodiata dracon: 7.36, insopitumque draconem*, and Sen., Her. F. 531-32, *cum somno dederit pervigiles genas / pomis divitibus praepositis dracono?* The snake was the guardian of the golden apples of the Hesperides and Heracles managed to get three of them by a trick. The story is told by Ovid at Met. 4.637 ff. The snake is commonly regarded as sacred in antiquity, especially as the guardian of treasure (OLD #1,b).

{2 - Humour, Ovidian}

191 *super omnia*. W. points out the phrase in Verg., Aen. 8.303; Ov., Met. 6.526 and 8.677 in the same line position. It recurs, similarly placed, in Statius at Theb. 3.19.

torquent...curae. *Torqueo* is the appropriate word for the personified snake whose peace of mind is twisted with care; it must be intended as a pun - it is usually the snake’s tail that is twisted. Cf. Sen., Her. F. 787, *longusque torta sibilat cauda dracon*. For *cura* with *torqueo*
see Cic., *de Orat.* 3.33.10, *me maior in verbis (quam in sententiis) eligendis labor et cura torquet verentem.*

191-92 **hinc...hinc.** There are no examples of this repetition at the beginning of lines in elegy, but there are a few in the epic metre: Lucr. 1.254-55, *hinc alitur porro nostrum genus atque ferarum / hinc laetas urbes pueris florere videmus;* Verg., G. 2.45-46, *hinc bellator equus campo sese arduus infert, / hinc albi, Clitumne, greges et maxima taurus;* App. Verg., Dirae 86-87, *hinc ego de tumulo mea rura nouissima uisam, / hinc ibo in situas: obstabunt iam mihi colles, and Sil. Ital. 1.185-6, *hinc studia accendit patriae virtutis imago, / hinc fama in populos iurati didita belli.*

{4B - Poetic Tradition}

192 **requies.** This noun is often found with *animus* in Cicero, e.g. *Off.* 2.6, *oblectatio quaeritur animi requiesque curarum;* Arch. 13, *ad ipsam requiem animi,* and Leg. 2.2, *ad requietem animi. In addition, cf. Livy 9.17.2 (with the dative of animus) *requiem animo meo quaererem;* Sen., *Her.* F. 1066, *Tuque, O domitor, Somne, malorum / requies animi,* and Tac., *Ann.* 2.33.16, *ad requiem animi ... parentur.*

193-95 **semper...semper...semper.** *Semper* repeated three times within three consecutive lines occurs at *Ov., Ars* 1.401-3,

*nec semper credenda Ceres fallacibus arvis,*

*nec semper viridi concava puppis aquae,*

*nec teneras semper tutum captare puellas.*
Another word is often repeated with *semper*, though M has put *retinere…retinens* in a chiastic arrangement, for which no antecedent was found. Chiasmus is a common stylistic feature of late Latin poetry (Roberts, p.44).


197 praeteritos, praesentes. W. notes the juxtaposition of these opposites and their alliteration. Cf. Quint. *Inst.* 5.10.28 ex *praeteritis enim aestimari solent praesentia*.

198 For the idea W. cites Hor., *Ep.* 2.1.83, *vel quia nil rectum nisi quod placuit sibi ducunt*. It is also found in Apul., *Pl.* 2.20.16, *sed in sua manu esse sapiens recte putat*.

200 desipit inde. Juvenal ended the hexameter at 6.612 with *quod desipis, inde est*.

204 garrulitate. The word, in the same case, is in the same position at line 108. See the commentary on that line.

205 omnia ...clamosis...implet. Filling a place with noise is a common metaphor. Vergil used all three words at *Aen.* 3.312-13, "Hector ubi est?" dixit, lacrimasque effudit et *omnem / impleuit clamorem locum*. Clamosus does not appear in other examples of the same image:

206 *nil satis est.* This was probably a saying of conventional wisdom. Horace begins the hexameter with it at S. 1.1.62, "*nil satis est*" inquit, "quia tanti quantum habeas sis".

207 *arridet de se ridentibus.* The word play occurs at. Sen. Dial. 4.2.5 *adridemus ridentibus.* *Ridere* + dative or + *ad* and the accusative = to laugh as a sign of goodwill, but *ridere* with prepositions indicating the source of amusement = to laugh (OLD).

209 *primitiae mortis.* Ovid has *primitiae* four times in its original meaning only and always at the beginning of the line Met. 8.274; 10.433; Fast. 2.520, and 3.730. W. noted Vergil’s metaphorical use at Aen. 11.156, *primitiae iuvenes miserae*; in addition, it is found at Aen. 11.15-16, *haec sunt spolia et de rege superb* / *primitiae* where the phrasing more closely resembles M.’s line. See also Sil. 4.428, *primitias pugnae* (same line position), and 10.551; Stat., Theb. 2.742, *primitias operum.* Sp. referred to Stat. Theb. 6.146 *primitias egomet lacrimarum.*

pigros cana senectus. As an epithet of footsteps see Ov., Met. 1.551, pes modo tam velox pigris radicibus haeret, and Sen., Thy. 421 pigro ... genitor incessu stupet.

ima petit. W. cites Verg., G. 1.401, at nebulae magis ima petunt campoque recumbunt; Aen. 8.66-67, deinde lacu fluvius se condidit alto / ima petens; Hor., S. 2.4.57, quatenus ima petit volvens aliena vitellus, and Ov., Met 2.265, ima petunt pisces.

211-12 The old man's dress has deteriorated as much as his physical body.

212 quae fuit ante manet. The verse-close is Ovidian. W. cites Ov., Am. 3.3.2, quae fuit ante manet: Ars 1.120, qui fuit ante color; 3.74, qui fuit ore color; Pont. 1.10.26, qui fuit ante, color; Tr. 4.6.6, quae fuit ante, manet; 4.10.30, quod fuit ante, manet; and 5.2.8, qui fuit ante, manet. My search on the PHI CD-ROM disk found Ars 2.442, quod fuit ante, reedit; Fast. 6.88, qui fuit ante senum, and 6.168, qui fuit ante color. Cf. the verse-ending in 1.222.

{1 - Ovidian}

213 demisso corpore. Demissus is found with parts of the body at Prop. 2.14.11, cited on line 93, where M. has demissa...cervix, and Petr. 52.5, demisso labro.

217 *prona senectus.* In the last seventy lines are found thematic and verbal echoes of the beginning. Here *senectus* harks back to the first line and line 55, where it is also the hexameter ending, and it recurs three more times in the conclusion (see 223, 246 and 261), twice in the same line position. In classical Latin the word occurs frequently in this position, preceded by a qualifying adjective: Cat. 108.1 *cana senectus*; Hor., *Ep.* 1.20.18, *balba senectus* and S. 2.2.88, *tarda senectus*; Ov., *Ars* 2.271, *turpiter his emitur spes mortis et orba senectus*; Met. 10.396, *pigra senectus*; Am. 1.12.29, *cariosa senectus*; Her. 14.109, *cana senectus*; Juv. 10.190, *longa senectus*; 9.129, *obrepit non intellecta senectus*.

218 According to W., the "dust to dust" theme in which the earth is thought of as a mother, is common in Greek and Latin, and he writes fully on it. He cites several Greek authors including Pindar and Sophocles, and, in Latin, Lucr. 2.999 *cedit item retro, de terra quod fuit ante, / in terras* and 5.259. See also Sen., *Phaed.* 906-7, *hunc Graia tellus aluit an Taurus Scythes / Colchusque Phasis*? *redit ad auctores genus.* For the earth as mother see Lucr. 5.795-96, *ut merito maternum nomen adepta / terra sit, e terra quoniam sunt cuncta creatae*; Verg., *G.* 2.45, *Terra mater,* and Ov., *Met.* 1.393, *magna parens terra est.*

219 *quadrupes.* M. extends the riddle of the Sphinx by adding a fourth stage to human life, by claiming that the very old go back to crawling on all fours like a child. *Quadrupes* is an epithet of *infans* in Ov., *Met.* 15.221-22, *editus in lucem iacuit sine viribus infans; / max*
quadripes rituque tulit sua membra ferarum. It does occur also in the work of the early poet Lucius Accius at *Trag.* 315, *Item ac maestitiam mutam infantum quadrupedum.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horatian</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>(1) Identical Phrase</th>
<th>(2) Humorous Phrase</th>
<th>(3) Line Modelled Phrase</th>
<th>(4A) Line Traditional Elegiac Phrase</th>
<th>(4B) Line Traditional Poetic Phrase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>187 custos rerum</td>
<td>129 bland poemata pango</td>
<td>147 claram per nebula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propertian</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>currere quaecque via</td>
<td>95 lumina nigra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140 desuper incumbens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciceronian</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>mens sensusque</td>
<td>53 modico contentus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenalian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141 caeco conduntur in antro</td>
<td>5 non sum qui fueram</td>
<td>33 has inter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48-9 promeruisse ...valuisse</td>
<td>36 non mihi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antique Poetry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55 tu me sola subdis</td>
<td>63 spectans</td>
<td>47 quoque virtutum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102 iam modo crimen habet</td>
<td>106 inter utrumque</td>
<td>quondam ibam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136 membra manus</td>
<td>64 spectans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>138 nocte dieque</td>
<td>106 inter utrumque</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>149 caligine caeca</td>
<td>136 membra manus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163 munera Bacchi</td>
<td>138 nocte dieque</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173 compage soluta</td>
<td>149 caligine caeca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173 compage soluta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>191-2 hinc... hinc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Identical Phrase</td>
<td>Humorous Phrase</td>
<td>Modelled Phrase</td>
<td>Traditional Elegiac Phrase</td>
<td>Traditional Poetic Phrase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovidian</td>
<td>praeda petita meis artis opus una duas viduo...toro</td>
<td>pars maxima nostri membra fovere manu victus abit</td>
<td>fulvo pretiosior auro male facta cadunt sit mihi posse mori</td>
<td>Tartareas constant inire vias est iam grata voluptas atque (mens) intenta suis...malis</td>
<td>torvum nescioquid turpior esse suo nec mala...dissimulare licet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mater Amoris amoris habet ferre solebat opem</td>
<td>natura pudicum vitio carpitur ipsa suo</td>
<td>190 pervigil...draco</td>
<td>121 est iam grata voluptas 126 atque (mens) intenta suis...malis</td>
<td>142 Tiberini gurgitis undas 151 demens homini persuaserit auctor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>quae fuit ante manet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergilian</td>
<td>rerum dominus stringere corpus</td>
<td>aurea caesaries...lactea cervix</td>
<td>127 carmina nulla cano</td>
<td>153-4 iam subeunt...subeunt.../iam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>175 spectacula rerum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergilian or Ovidian</td>
<td>venali corpore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98 basia 108 linguae garrulitate suae 111 longa...inutille aetas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martialian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

In lines 1 to 220 of the first elegy I have demonstrated that 62 phrases and half-lines seem to have been influenced in some way by the Latin literature of the period from 250 B.C. to 200 A.D. These results, gleaned from an average of 30 searches per 10 lines, are tabulated below. The specific phrases are identified on the facing pages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phrase:</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4A)</th>
<th>(4B)</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identical</td>
<td>Humorous</td>
<td>Modelled</td>
<td>Traditional Elegiac</td>
<td>Traditional Poetic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovidian</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergilian</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergilian or Ovidian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martelian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horatian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propertian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciceronian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenalian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucanian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antique Poetry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               |       |     |     | 10    | 18    | 18    | 4    | 12    | 62    |
Most of these phrases, which look as though they have been borrowed from the literature of the period 250 B.C. to 200 A.D., are from Ovid or Vergil, especially Ovid. The one phrase *iuvenali corpore* (line 63) for which Ovid and Vergil share the dubious privilege of being the model is slightly different in Vergil i.e. *iuvenali in corpore*. Ovid, perhaps, should be given a slight edge because he has the phrase twice, the second one in the plural. Two phrases come from Propertius. Interestingly, Tibullus is not listed here, although he may have been the originator of the unusual pattern of rhyming active past infinitives in lines 48-49 and of the particular use of *quoque ... quondam* in line 47. One may perhaps speculate whether Tibullus was studied in the schools just for the contributions he made to elegy. Certainly, Quintilian thought highly of Tibullus's work when he stated: *elegia quoque Graecos provocamus; cuius mihi tersus atque elegans maxime videtur auctor* *Tibullus* (*Inst.* 10.193). The influence of the great *grammaticus* on education lasted long after his death.\(^{42}\) Tibullus is included among the authors recommended by the 6th century rhetors.\(^{43}\) E.R.Curtius states that Tibullus was known almost exclusively through anthologies (p.51). The satires of Martial, Juvenal and Horace, and the epics of Statius and Lucan, also have some impact on this elegy. Cicero, who is known to have been a major part of the school curriculum in the late empire, appears only once, which seems surprising, since M. claims that he is an orator.

The 62 possible "borrowings" are not spread evenly throughout the poem, but occur randomly. They are divided into two sections and may be charted as follows:

\(^{42}\) Marrou, *Education*, p. 278.
\(^{43}\) Riché, p. 79.
Section 1: lines 1-110 of M. 1.

1-10
11-20
21-30
31-40
41-50
51-60
61-70
71-80
81-90
91-100
101-110

Borrowings: 0 1 2 3 4 5

In this first section of the poem, there are 33 passages with phrases which appear originally in the Latin literature during the period 250 B.C. to 200 A.D.
Section 2: lines 111-220 of M. 2

111-120
121-130
131-140
141-150
151-160
161-170
171-180
181-190
191-200
201-210
211-220

Borrowings: 0 1 2 3 4 5

In the second section of the poem, there are fewer occurrences, 29 in all, although it is in the last 40 lines where the number tapers off most dramatically.\(^4^4\) Why this occurs is a good question. It suggests that the poem was written by a student (or a group of students), who couldn’t remember any more suitable phraseology and hurried to present the poem, or

\(^{44}\) Both W. and Sp. have significantly shorter commentaries for lines 110-220. W. has 15 pages for lines 1-110, and 10.5 for lines 110-220 (a ratio of 1.43); Sp. has 40 pages and 31 pages respectively for these lines, i.e. an even smaller ratio of 1.29.
who, at this particular point, drew inspiration from late antique poetry\textsuperscript{45} or from lost poetry. Perhaps there were fewer authors involved at this point. The explanation could be in the fact that the lines 175-220 present in graphic terms a reflection of the decline of the doddering old man. If the author or authors became so absorbed by the narrative and by an \textit{idée fixe} about the person being lampooned, it is possible that the writing sprang from an enthusiastic creativity rather than from phrasebooks. In any event, the poem draws on an accurate, if unsympathetic, picture of the all too common state of \textit{primitiae mortis} (l.210). Even though there are small numbers of reminiscences from earlier Latin, lines 180 to 220 are not bereft of antique colour, for of the six mythological allusions he uses, fully half are in this section: 
\textit{Tantalus} (l.185-86), \textit{pervigil Draco} (l.189-90) and the riddle of the Sphinx (l.219).

The topos of \textit{vituperatio} was included among the standard progymnasmata.\textsuperscript{46} Indeed, E.R. Curtius maintains that the description of human ugliness derives from the \textit{vituperatio} (p.182). The poem has the characteristics of the rhetorical style which the students learned from their rhetor. \textit{Isocola}\textsuperscript{47} abound. In the pentameter lines often two cola are exactly equal in length: e.g. line 36, \textit{non mihi solstitium} (7 syllables), \textit{non grave frigus erat} (7 syllables), and line 81, \textit{non mihi grata brevis} (7 syllables), \textit{non mihi longa fuit} (7 syllables); in the hexameter lines one colon is slightly longer than the first with the caesura in the middle of the third foot e.g. line 231, \textit{nil mihi cum superis} (7 syllables): \textit{explevi munera vitae} (8 syllables), or the fourth e.g. line 55, \textit{tu me sola tibi subdis} (8 syllables), \textit{miseranda senectus}

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{45} Ausonius greatly influenced M., Lind, p.313. See the introduction for possible influences from Prudentius and Sedulius.
\textsuperscript{46} Roberts, p.159.
\textsuperscript{47} "\textit{Isocolon} is the figure of diction by which successive \textit{colc} contain the same or roughly the same number of syllables", Roberts, p.158.
(7 syllables). One of the examples of a tricolon is line 211, a crescendo from four syllables *non habitus*, to five syllables *non ipse color*, to a climax of 6 syllables *non gressus euntis*. The use of antitheton is common: e.g. *quam largus opum, semper egenus ero?* (1.182), and *lux gravis in luctu, rebus gratissima laetis* (1.7). Line 79, *horrebam tenues, horrebam corpore pingues*, and the tricolon of line 95, *nigra supercilia, frons libera, luming nigra* are examples of homoeoteleuton. The rhetorical artifices, of which these are only a few examples, are so straightforward and easy to identify that they are likely the reason the elegies were popular for the abecedarians in the school curricula.\(^{48}\)

The poem owes a heavy debt to the ancient practice of the catalogue. This is not surprising, because, from the fourth century to the twelfth, poetic cataloguing grew to "abnormal frequency and dimensions".\(^{49}\) It was taught in the schools of rhetoric during the first century, and became one of the primary tools, mechanistic but serviceable, in the rhetorical art. In regard to length, the catalogue may extend from a few items in a line to several hundred lines.\(^{50}\) The persona of this elegy itemizes everything. M. documents his own youthful talents (1.9-44), then, after a break about moral superiority (his own, with, admittedly some deference to Socrates and Cato), he recites another catalogue outlining what a fine figure he used to cut in Rome (1.59-74). From lines 77 to 100 he has a catalogue commonly called the "catalogue of women", but it is no such thing. It is a list of the qualities that M.'s ideal woman should and should not have, but it plays the devil's advocate, for it was clearly stated by someone who has "experienced" women. After all, M. has just

\(^{48}\) Curtius, p. 50 and 464.  
\(^{49}\) Wedeck, p.4.  
\(^{50}\) Ibid.
finished describing himself as "tantum sponsus; nam me natura pudicum fecerat" (1.73-4).

Finally he enumerates *ad nauseam* the ailments of his old age (l.117-64) and the indignities of his living death (l.235-66).

With my first reading of the elegies, I found them tiresomely prosaic, despite the metre. Most couplets begin in the hexameter with a particular subject matter and move, with monotonous regularity, to a climax in the pentameter. As Sp. notes, the elegy reveals *une rhétorique à effets, qui tend naturellement à proposer des objets en surenchère.*\(^{51}\) A passion for various types of word-play is the limit of the poet’s imagination. The different types of wordplay include a habitual use of paradox *e.g.* *ut vivamus vivere destitimus* (l.156) and *et quod sit sapiens desipit inde magis* (l.200); the use of polyptoton *e.g.* *diversos diversa* (l.103); anaphora *horrebam .... horrebam* (l.79); antithesis and rhyme *e.g.* *levitate ... gravitate* (l.105); and of framing a third word with a noun and its modifier, as if they were brackets, *e.g.* *rebus gratissima laetis* (l.7), *mediis gratia rebus* (l.82) and *litibus haut rabidis* (l.130). He has a penchant for brackets of all types *e.g.* *nigra supercilia, frons libera, lumina nigra* (l.95) and *turpe sensi vultus nitidi vestesque decorae, / quis sine iamque ipsum vivere turpe sensi* (l.177-78). Metaphors are almost non-existent except for those one finds in everyday speech *e.g.* *lux* for "life" (l.6) and *dies* for "light" (l.149).\(^{52}\)

Each *ephrasis* or *descriptio*, though long, has only a limited artistic arrangement of the details, especially in comparison with the work of the fourth century A.D. poets, Sedulius and Ausonius. For example, the *variatio* in the description of Aaron’s breastplate

---

\(^{51}\) Sp., p.35.

\(^{52}\) *La métaphore ….. permet à une imagination vive d’enrichir les suggestions du texte en lui associant un objet nouveau. Chez Maximien, la rareté des métaphores témoigne de la nature prosaïque de son imagination.* Sp., p.53.
(Aus., *Hept. E.*, 1098-1103), as analyzed by M. Roberts (p.10-13), reveals a complexity of chiasmus, and morphological and vocabulary connectives which subtly unite the six lines within their regular framework. In M.'s first elegy there is a modest example of this type of *variatio*, in a quatrain where the first couplet is actually connected artistically to the second, a rare occurrence in M.:

\[
\text{exultat levitate puer, gravitate senectus:} \\
\text{inter utrumque manens stat iuvenile decus,} \\
\text{hunc tacitum tristemque decet, fit clarior ille} \\
\text{laetitia et linguae garrulitate suae.} \quad (1.106-109)
\]

In line 106, the isocola are antithetical and the contrast is emphasized by the rhyme of *levitate* and *gravitate*. *Hunc* and *ille* of line 108 recall *puer* and *senectus* chiastically. The subject of the intervening line is *juvenile decus*, appropriately placed between discussions of old age and childhood. In the final line of the quatrain *laetitia* and *garrulitate* recall *levitate* and *gravitate*, because of the initial "l" and "g", and the alliteration of "t". The regularity of the pattern is broken by the element of surprise in *garrulitate*, which goes with *puer* and not *senectus*.

For the most part, this elegy, in contrast, misses opportunities to create *variatio*. The four couplets from line 157 to 164 have the same theme, but they are not linked together in any creative manner. The idea of disintegration is repeated three times: *nulla adversa nocebant, ipsa quibus regimur nunc alimenta gravant* (l.157-8) i.e. "nothing used to harm, and now nutriments are disagreeable". The persona then says the same thing over and over again in the next six lines. Within each couplet there are figures such as paronomasia e.g. *abstineam: abstinuisset* (l.160), or anaphora e.g. *saturum: saturum*, (l.159), or chiasmus e.g. the idea in each colon of line 161, *quae modo profuerat, contraria redditur esca* is in the
reverse position in line 162. What M. considers to be his *alimenta* is defined as *Veneris* and *Bacchi munera* in line 163, but there are no connections with line 158 by figures of speech. Similarly, the couplets in the eight lines from 83 to 91 are limited in their creative scope. The first couplet refers to Venus as the *mater amoris*, and the last couplet actually names the goddess. The couplets in between present a catalogue of M.'s female preferences. This passage lacks the artistry of a more experienced poet, and suggests that the author was a student, who simply made use of the lists of synonyms and synonymous expressions he had been required to memorize.\(^3\)

The *vituperatio* is constructed to parody a *consolatio*, but a few conventions are borrowed from classical elegy. For example, the morbid preoccupation with death is a Roman elegiac conceit - the Augustan poets created characters who were "dying of unrequited love",\(^4\) whereas M.'s life is painted as worse than death because of, so to speak, "unrequited death". Moreover, M. addresses *Senectus* as if she were his lover - a lover whose victory over him is complete. In contrast, consider Propertius when he says *vicit victorem candida forma* (cf. Prop. 3.11.16). The mock-heroic figure of the old lover, like the old soldier in Ovid's *Am. 1.9.4, turpe senex miles, turpe senilis amor*, is the character M. assumes. A few (six) very common mythological allusions add some interest: *Bacchus ... stupuitque* (1.43), *Venus ... vindicat ipsa colorem* (1.91), *vivum Tartareas constat inire vias* (1.118), *sitiens vicinas Tantalus undas / captat* (1.185), *pervigil observat non sua poma draco* (1.190) and the riddle of the sphinx (1.219).

\(^3\) The practise of memorizing lists of synonyms was frowned on by Quintilian, (10.1.7). Roberts, p. 45.

\(^4\) McKeown, Vol.1, p.18.
The language of the poem, enriched with so many phrases from antiquity, seems classical on the surface, yet reveals late Latin vocabulary (carneus [1.86], scabidus [1.136]), spelling (exuperare [1.28]; Cypris [1.92]), and usage (in + ablative for "motion towards" [1.2]; dum maneret [1.9]; dulce magis [1.61]; fuerat petita [1.65]). Though most of the vocabulary is familiar to the ancients, words are put together in sentence structures that classical scholars find curious: e.g. quod est sapiens, desipit inde magis (1.200).

Furthermore, phrases from Christian poetry are imitated (see Introduction, p.6) - this is not surprising, as the poem was most likely written during the time when the Roman Empire was officially Christian - yet it contains no Christian thought.

Baldwin's theory (cited in the introduction) is that the author of these elegies is like Luxorius in Vandal Africa and the Greek epigrammatists of the 6th century who were nostalgic for antiquity. Since this thesis will allow some interesting comparative ideas, a review of the life and work of these people may be instructive. Luxorius lived near Carthage in the early 6th century, and wrote obscene youthful epigrams, similar to the work of his contemporary, Ennodius, in Italy.\(^{55}\) He used classical models, especially Martial, but probably was not a pagan himself, though he kept his religious beliefs unclear - perhaps deliberately.\(^{56}\)

With regard to the Greek epigrammatists, Averil Cameron has an interesting study in her book *Agathias*. Agathias, born A.D. 532, made his earliest friendships, both Christian and pagan, as a student in Alexandria where he composed the *Daphniaca*, erotic myths in

\(^{55}\) Raby, p.115.
\(^{56}\) Baldwin, p.318-20.
hexameter verse, and some poetry in imitation of Callimachus. Later, as a law student in Constantinople he moved in relatively high circles amongst men with a taste for literature and scholarship. There, he wrote "pagan"-seeming compositions in the elegiac metre, sometimes using Homeric vocabulary and incorporating whole phrases, often half lines with only slight changes. He also frequently borrowed from Nonnus, especially verse-beginnings or endings. These poems were mainly secular and often exceptionally long, verbose and repetitive; they were reflective and clever, but without passion, and were moralizing, but not Christian. He and his contemporaries had a liking for erotic epigrams and sepulchral poetry. As poets their aim was to vie with the ancients; they were trying to preserve the language, and so interspersed the erudite language they spoke with phrases from the Hellenistic and earlier poets. The result was an incongruous mixing of styles in a poetry whose chief merit was the manipulation of existing phraseology. And in this climate of anti-modernism, Christianity was passed over, but there is no doubt that these writers were Christian.

From these descriptions of Luxorius and the Greek epigrammatists, the author or authors of the elegies would seem to fit right in with them; his poetry, like theirs, is written in the language of the educated elite of the 6th century and sprinkled with classical citations. His death-wish theme heaving on the undercurrent of eroticism is not unlike the themes of

---

57 Cameron, p.8-10.
58 Ibid. p.24-25.
59 Ibid. p.20-23.
60 Ibid. p.26-29.
61 Ibid. p.63-64.
62 Ibid. p.75-76.
their epigrams. His skills in virulent satire and his literary talents were given prominence; these are largely word-games and, like the Byzantine taste for erudition, were considered valuable for their own sake. Verbosity in this climate was not a means to expound ideas, but an end in itself. Finally, his writings reflect his nostalgia for antiquity, which eliminates Christianity. This "nostalgia" was inevitable, since education was not based on the study of contemporary Latin, but on the authors of the past.

My research has verified that 62 phrases from the first 220 lines of M.1 have antecedents in the Latin literature from 250 B.C. to 200 A.D.. However, I regret that this does not provide enough information to conclusively date the elegy. Too many lines and phrases are not accounted for. The poem should be examined also with the aid of a CD-ROM disk of late and medieval Latin literature such as CETEDOC or the Patrologia Latina. If such research shows that many of the phrases I have identified from early Latin literature and phrases for which I found no antecedent appear in later Latin authors, then this would help to date the elegy to a later period. Hopefully, the statistics presented here will be useful to anyone wishing to do further studies.

The poem can be read with the most interest if it is seen as a humourous exercise. One must imagine that students were given an assignment to compose a vituperatio by employing all the rhetorical skills they had learned. They subsequently decided to use their skills to parody someone's life, and, perhaps, the consolatio as well. Scrounging around in their collective memories, phrasebooks, and possibly even some original texts, they came up with phrases that fit the metre and topos, as expected by their rhetor. But they also enriched

---

63 Raby, p.125.
the exercise by caricature. Each phrase of the poem contributes to the superficial theme of the *vituperatio senectutis*, and by association of the remembrance of the origin of the phrase the authors exposed the past erotic life and inflated ego of the old man. As mentioned in the introduction and throughout the commentary, the richest irony about M.'s life comes from the Ovidian and satirical traditions. The slightly different humour of mock-heroism is the gift of the Vergilian and other epic lines, which serve to belittle the egocentric hero. Since the students and their audience were immersed in an education that required the recitation and emulation of early authors, they would have recognized that the sayings came from love poetry, or satire, or heroic literature, even if they did not always remember the exact poems. Nowadays, in their conversation people use quotations from Shakespeare that will provoke laughter. Only recently, I heard high school students mix into their modern Canadian English the following Shakespearean phrases: "friends, Romans, countrymen, lend me your ears", "out, damned spot, out" (a student misquoted by putting the two "outs" together, and was corrected) and "lay on, Macduff" (one of the students was named instead of Macduff); there was a lot of giggling as a result of these quotations.

If the poem is shallow from a philosophical point of view and lacking in artistry, it at least presents the usual view of senility from the perspective of youth - unsympathetic and cruel. Yet, it is a clever parody; the author(s) knew how to use rhetorical artifices, how to compose epideictic orations and how to exploit the satirical value in phrases from their literary studies. Students, still immersed in the recitation and emulation of the curriculum

64 *Julius Caesar*, Act 3, Scene 2.
65 *Macbeth*, Act 5, Scene 1.
66 Ibid., Act 5, Scene 7.
authors, were prepared and poised to caricature both the *consolatio* and an unadmirable leading citizen. The evidence is consistent with the suggestion in this thesis that the elegy could have been a school exercise written by an immature student or group of students.
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