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INTRODUCTION

“Healthcare is political.” That phrase seems obvious. While healthcare is constitutionally a provincial responsibility, it has become a hallmark of Canadian federalism with all levels of government taking part in its function. Furthermore, it has become one of the core Canadian values, with Canadians continuing to place healthcare as the strongest symbol of their national identity. Yet, as future physicians, medical students are wary of “getting political” in fear of taking sides, losing impartiality, and losing focus on patient care. However, political actions and issues can have a significant impact on the clinical practices of all physicians. This article will argue that changes to the Interim Federal Health Program (IFHP) have hindered the ability of physicians to provide best practice, evidence-based medicine, and will outline how members of the medical profession, including University of Ottawa medical students, have played an important role in advocating for those affected by the changes to the IFHP.

In April of 2012 the federal government announced changes to the IFHP, a health insurance program developed in 1957, intended to provide temporary coverage to refugees, refugee claimants, and protected persons who are not covered by provincial or territorial health insurance plans. Prior to June 2012, the IFHP covered medical care, diagnostics and laboratory testing similar to that covered by provincial health plans. The IFHP also covered medications, emergency dental and vision, similar to what is available to people on provincial social assistance plans [1].

The changes announced in 2012 created different tiers of coverage for eligible individuals based on their refugee status in Canada. Most refugees (those found by the Government of Canada to be refugees or persons in need of Canada’s protection following an examination of their case) and refugee claimants (those awaiting a decision on their case in Canada) lost supplemental coverage for prescription medication, vision and dental care. Refugee claimants from countries designated by the Government of Canada to not normally produce refugees and failed claimants [2], and those whose cases are determined to not fit the definition of a refugee, retained coverage only for issues posing a risk to public health and safety [3].

The reduction in coverage is resulting in negative health outcomes for refugees and claimants, while also making it difficult for health practitioners to follow best practices and provide evidence-based care. The following case study describes a hypothetical case that illustrates the challenges facing individuals and practitioners affected by the changes to the IFHP.

CASE

You are a third year medical student working at a family medicine clinic seeing Ahmad Awatt, a 30 year old male. The doctor agrees to see him despite the fact that he does not have a valid OHIP card. He gives a vague history of being born with a disease involving copper build-up in his body and his older brother having the same condition. You think of Wilson’s disease. Ahmad outlines that, beginning at age 20, he progressively developed difficulty pronouncing his words and developed a resting tremor in his right hand. Back at home, his doctor gave him daily pills and he had regular tests of his urine and blood, but his speech impediment persisted despite treatment [4].

His physical exam was unremarkable, with the exception of a pigmented ring around the outer rim of his cornea. On further history, Ahmad tells you he came to Canada last year. He says he had brought medication with him, but he only has a few pills left. He has tried to see a doctor to refill his prescription, but has been turned away at the reception of 5 other clinics. He says he is grateful you are seeing him today.

While waiting to review your history and physical examination with your supervising physician, you look up some information on Wilson’s disease. Your search supports your suspicion that Mr. Awatt has primarily pseudosclerotic neurologic Wilson’s disease [5,6]. For investigations, you plan to suggest a urine test looking for copper, and genetic testing to confirm the diagnosis, and then a workup for hepatic and neurologic complications with consults to specialists, and re-initiation of chelation therapy as soon as possible [7].

You review this case with your preceptor and she explains that Ahmad is lucky because the neuropsychiatric manifestations of Wilson’s disease has better prognostics than the hepatic manifestations, and Ahmad is typical in that 50% of neuropsychiatric symptoms, like his speech impediment, worsen or do not improve with chelation therapy. Your preceptor agrees with your suggested plan to re-initiate chelation therapy with D-penicillamine or Trientine (the pills he is likely taking), but notes that this requires close follow-up for dose titration to target urinary copper excretion, therefore Ahmad will need to return to the clinic for more follow-up. If he does not return for follow-up and receives a sub-therapeutic dose or no chelation at all, the natural course of Wilson’s disease is fulminant liver failure, progressive...
neurologic dysfunction, and death.

Your preceptor starts filling out some lab requisitions and you step back into the room. You ask Ahmad some more questions about why he was turned away at other clinics. Ahmad reveals that he arrived in Canada from Iraq and claimed refugee status. His claim was denied. Usually, a failed refugee claimant would face removal from Canada, which may lead to deportation. However, in Ahmad’s case, because the Government of Canada does not remove individuals to Iraq due to the ongoing insecurity in that country, Ahmad is able to remain in Canada until the insecurity in his home country resolves. He had previously been able to access healthcare with IFHP, but since the decision on his claim, he is only covered for treatment related to risks to public health and safety. As a medical student, you wonder how this lack of insurance coverage will affect the management plan you proposed to your preceptor.

DISCUSSION

The case illustrates a tension between what the physician (and medical student) plans to provide as best practice care, and the level of care available through the patient’s insurance. Given that the patient does not present a risk to public health or safety, diagnostic testing and follow-up care is not covered by the IFHP. As a result, the physician cannot run the appropriate tests to confirm Wilson’s disease or provide the patient with preventative care. The standard of care in this case, assuming confirmation of Wilson’s disease, would be to provide chelation therapy. However, without insurance coverage, the physician is forced to either refuse care, compromising her professional responsibility [8], or seek funding from other community sources for the investigations and treatments, which is scarce. Without the chelation therapy to prevent the continued deposition of copper in the liver, the patient will likely progress to liver failure and will die without a liver transplant.

Many physicians, when presented with such situations, have refused to compromise patient care and their professional and ethical standards. Rather than accepting these changes and turning patients away, physicians have taken on an important role in health advocacy for people affected by the IFHP changes. Advocacy efforts in opposition of the IFHP changes have taken a multifaceted approach, including organizing protests against inflammatory language used in flyers sent out by MP Kelly Block, and raising the issue with speakers invited to the University of Ottawa, including an ethicist and financial expert, to assess how the hospital should deal with individual cases of refugees with limited coverage and non-urgent or life threatening health needs [13]. Local Health Integration Networks and individual physicians have also been collaborating to create low barrier clinics and compiling resources to provide best care to the under-insured [14].

Following the example of physicians, physicians-in-training have also taken up the challenge to advocate for those affected by the changes to the IFHP. Like their physician mentors, University of Ottawa medical students have taken a multifaceted approach, including organizing protests against inflammatory language used in flyers sent out by MP Kelly Block, and raising the issue with speakers invited to the University of Ottawa by various interest groups. A large number of students also participated in letter writing campaigns to Canada’s Citizenship and Immigration Minister. Students also participated in various community activities and awareness events, such as performing a skit at Refugee Night at the University of Ottawa, in collaboration with the law school [see figures below].

Figure 1. June 2013 silent banner drop with Dr Danielle Grondin at the North American Refugee Health Conference

Students took a broad interest in advocating for those affected by the IFHP changes. Most notably, students began developing long-term strategies on how to help mitigate the effects of these changes with the Refugee Health Initiative Community Service Learning Program (RHI CSL). With RHI CSL, students were able to facilitate the integration of newly arrived refugees into the Canadian healthcare system and Ottawa community, collaborate with community services and organizations, and gain hands-on experience that helped develop an understanding of the barriers faced by marginalized populations to accessing health services. Through the training provided by the program, students are able to advocate for the refugees with whom they are working, and through their experience, learn how to become better physician advocates in the future.

Figure 2. November 2012 awareness campaign for IFHP with Jason Kenney’s University of Haifa honorary degree.

The student advocacy efforts relied heavily on support from the University of Ottawa Faculty of Medicine, which was provided through both curricular and extracurricular programs. Pre-clerkship electives and family medicine preceptorships provided students the ability to receive close mentorship from community physicians who have been involved in advocacy projects. Additionally, the Office of Global Health and the global health curriculum provided a variety of learning opportunities and tangible training on how to be advocates in the real world. Interest groups, as part of the medical education structure, provide a forum to foster student interest. The implementation of the community service-learning program provided structure to community outreach activities that are otherwise more difficult to attain. Through the mentorship provided by community physicians and faculty members in the field, medical education components, and administrative support for projects, programs and events, students have been given the tools to become successful advocates in their communities and globally.

As the changes to the IFHP continue to pose a barrier to physicians providing best practice and evidence-based medicine, the need for the healthcare profession to be more involved in advocacy efforts regarding this issue persists. Medical students have the opportunity to develop the skills necessary to be effective advocates, in large part through university and faculty support, and should be encouraged to continue to engage in advocacy. We hope for the continued support of the faculty and the continued involvement of students as we sustain our advocacy for a reversal of the changes to the Interim Federal Health Program.

Next protest National Day of Action June 16, 2014. Email docsforrefugeecare@gmail.com to learn more.
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Figure 3. October 2012 protest of MP Kelly Block’s flyers.

Figure 4. March 2013 medical students present at Refugee Night at University of Ottawa Forum with the Canadian Association of Refugee Lawyers.

Figure 5. February 2013 Dr Hedy Fry speaks to University of Ottawa medical students about IFHP changes and promises changes by the liberal party.

Figure 6. December 2012 winter holiday petitions to Jason Kenney